

CRCON



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
US ARMY INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY COMMAND
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755
NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

IAFM-OPS--HU-SA

17 March 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Summary of Session CC81, Conducted at 1400 hrs, 04 February 1980.

SG1A

SG1A

SG1A

SG1A

1. (S) The target for this session was [REDACTED]. The coordinates were provided to both facilitator and viewer at the beginning of the session. In addition the facilitator was directed to guide the viewer in ascertaining what the most predominant activity was within the target area. Both viewer and facilitator were aware of which analyst had assigned the target and that the target pertained to either project 8002 or 8006. Both viewer and facilitator know quite generally that project 8002 [REDACTED] but neither had access to any other details pertinent to the above target.

SG1B

SG1A

2. (S) The following information was provided by the viewer concerning the proximity of the target coordinates:

a. (S) Viewer reported his location as being in a "plain...basin...valley..." with a "mountain range...very rugged."

b. (S) Viewer had an extremely difficult time of it. Most of what he reported as being on target was determined prior to being read the coordinates. While there appears to be very little target correlation, the following was never-the-less reported:

(1) (S) "Tall building...or a town...big clock type tower...massive tower type thing...smooth, white concrete construction...rocket or ...testing stand...rocket or missile test stand."

(2) (S) A "track" vehicle.


(3) (S) A "herd of elephants or...buffalo."

3. (S) The above comments were reviewed for accuracy by Mr. John Armstrong (ITAC Estimates Division, Building #213). Mr. Armstrong stated that outside of a very general description of the local terrain features provided by the viewer, there was apparently no other target correlation.

GRILL BLAME
CLASSIFIED BY DIRECTOR DIA
DECLASSIFY ON

17 MARCH 2000
DIRECTOR DIA
2-301C, 3&6

4. (S) Target assessment for intelligence value is (0) zero. Target details are assessed as (0) zero. Overall target rating is (0) zero. (NOTE: Correct terrain feature were provided in that viewer was in rugged mountainous area, verses over water or in a desert region; so although this information was not of value, it was assessed as correct.)


JOSEPH W. MCMONEAGLE
CW2 ANALYST

~~SECRET~~

CONFIDENTIAL