

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)		Initials	Date
1.	C/PPS	B	19 Jan
2.	EO 1/19		
3.			
4.	Registry - file		
5.			

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

Any comments, Sid!

never contacted me about this. I would suggest D/SEC or DD/PS confront him directly about this to find out what is going on and then put it to rest.

STAT

STAT

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)

EO

Room No.—Bldg.

Phone No.

CONFIDENTIAL

OS REGISTRY
0050x-88

30 SEP 1988

12 January 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR: [redacted]
Executive Officer, Office of Security

FROM: [redacted]
Community Counterintelligence and Security
Countermeasures Office, IC Staff

SUBJECT: Sponsorship of Project Slammer [redacted]

REFERENCE: MFR fr [redacted] dtd 18 Dec 87

1. On 4 January 1988 [redacted] came to our office at our request to discuss the content of the reference, attached. He talked first to [redacted] and then with the undersigned. [redacted] spent about 45 minutes with me and the thrust of his remarks left the firm impression that he was totally satisfied with the OS/CIA sponsorship of the project under the overall umbrella of the Personnel Security Committee, IG/CM(P). He added that he had never been dissatisfied with the support his effort has received, starting with SECOM, then CCISCMO, and now OS/CIA. He remarked repeatedly that he has always received anything he asked for and has had no basis for complaint. [redacted]

2. [redacted] agreed that Slammer was of high interest to FBI, NSA, and DoD and other agencies. [redacted] remarked that the project was always a Community effort, without parochial motivations or objectives. He said he thought he knew [redacted] very well and had difficulty believing [redacted] would have told [redacted] that they desired a change in sponsorship or inferred that there was uncertainty or lack of confidence in the OS/CIA sponsorship since [redacted] had never even intimated such feelings to him. [redacted] felt certain [redacted] would have talked to him before broaching the matter with an "outside" element. [redacted] was only vaguely aware of [redacted] relationship with SAFSS and did not know [redacted] or any of the other personalities in that office. He opined that somehow perhaps [redacted] may have misinterpreted something [redacted] said. [redacted] offered to talk to [redacted] for clarification of the [redacted]

[redacted]

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT: Sponsorship of Project Slammer [redacted]

25X1

foregoing. [redacted] said he was a personal friend and professional colleague of [redacted] and if [redacted] was talking about Slammer in a substantive policy sense to "outside" organizations, he owed [redacted] an explanation since [redacted] was the project director. [redacted] added that he didn't want that to sound immodest or authoritative.) I encouraged him to contact [redacted]

25X1
25X1
25X1
25X1
25X1

3. On 5 January [redacted] called me. He said [redacted] was surprised at the [redacted] communication. [redacted] claimed he never expressed any dissatisfaction with the project sponsorship and never even hinted that a change would be beneficial. [redacted] is now convinced that [redacted] for unknown reasons, was himself, or speaking for his organization, suggesting that the change of sponsorship would be a good idea. [redacted] again stated that he was totally pleased with his support...physical, moral, and financial, and hoped he has convinced us of that. He wanted OS/CIA to know that also, in case they heard otherwise. [redacted]

25X1
25X1
25X1
25X1
25X1

4. For our part, I believe this issue is closed. But because of the contents of these memoranda, you can see why I believe it is important for [redacted] to make an appearance at the Slammer Working Group meeting on 17 February. I attempted to learn if [redacted] had called [redacted] as I had recommended, but [redacted] was unavailable today when I called. Speaking to [redacted] she felt sure [redacted] would have told her if [redacted] had talked to him about Slammer. I related the essence of the foregoing to [redacted] and told her I would send a memo to you. [redacted]

25X1
25X1
25X1
25X1
25X1
25X1



25X1

Attachment:
a/s

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT: Sponsorship of Project Slammer



25X1

CCISCMO/ICS:



25X1

Distribution:

- Original - Addressee
- 1 - Project Slammer file
- 1 - CM chrono

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

18 December 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

FROM: [REDACTED]

CCISCMO/ICS [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: Sponsorship of Project SLAMMER [REDACTED]

1. On this date, [REDACTED] of SAFSS called on the secure line concerning the captioned subject. [REDACTED] recounted how SLAMMER began under SECOM sponsorship, what the program was all about, and what the objectives were. Over my comment that I was rather familiar with SLAMMER, [REDACTED] continued talking, perhaps hoping to win his point by sheer weight of speech. His point was that SLAMMER needed a strong organizational sponsor and it was felt that the program lacked that at present. [REDACTED]

2. [REDACTED] said he was talking to me to enlist support for the concept that CCISCMO, as an element of the ICS, should be the sponsor as preferred by the principal personnel working the program. By way of explaining this, [REDACTED] said he had [REDACTED] in his office and [REDACTED] had expressed concern that FBI, NSA, and DoD would all like to take over sponsorship of SLAMMER. According to [REDACTED] were fearful that the program could change in character and direction if any one agency/department had the control of sponsorship. It was inferred that the present sponsorship (Personnel Security Committee under IG/CM[P]) was not strong or powerful enough to retain the sponsorship. It was stated that Chairman, Personnel Security Committee, did not evidence strong interest or enthusiasm for SLAMMER. To the latter I remarked that I had been present at the PSC meeting at which [REDACTED] distributed the pilot report on SLAMMER to the Committee members. I found [REDACTED] remarks about the program to be enthusiastically supportive. Further, OS/CIA had provided [REDACTED] in FY 88 for continued work on the program. That would seem to indicate a genuine interest. [REDACTED]

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT: Sponsorship of Project SLAMMER [redacted]

3. [redacted] cited a meeting relative to SLAMMER in which [redacted] had affirmed to [redacted] his support for the program and urged that the results be disseminated to Community agencies where it could be put to use. To this I remarked that if [redacted] made that remark to [redacted], it may well have been "filler" comment in their conversation because the meeting with [redacted] was only ostensibly to discuss SLAMMER and there was another more weighty matter discussed between [redacted]. I opined that [redacted] may have misinterpreted [redacted] remarks. We know very well that SLAMMER is only through the initial stage of development and results thus far are not intended to be considered anything more than those of the pilot phase. Results have in fact been passed to PSC members with just such a caveat. Messrs. [redacted] and others working with SLAMMER are not ready to draw any firm and final conclusions on which they will put their professional endorsement. [redacted]

4. [redacted] said that there was a feeling that perhaps the sponsorship could be shifted on the basis that it was a technical effort. I told him we did not agree. We felt that SLAMMER properly belonged under the Personnel Security umbrella. It was acknowledged that certain technical (medical) measurements and computer-aided analyses were employed in the program, but the problem was one concerned with human behavior and motivation and was not a technical problem. For those reasons we felt that sponsorship under the PSC was the natural and logical place for SLAMMER. [redacted]

5. I urged [redacted] to talk to [redacted] Executive Secretary of the PSC, and express the same feelings and convictions with him to get his reaction to a shift in sponsorship. I agreed to make D/CCISCMO aware of the conversation and the suggestion for CCISCMO to sponsor SLAMMER again, but I added that I would recommend against it. I reminded [redacted] of the expression "if it works, don't fix it" and told him we saw nothing wrong with PSC sponsorship that needed fixing. [redacted]

6. D/CCISCMO was verbally briefed on this date regarding the above. [redacted] [redacted] also advised and [redacted] agreed to invite [redacted] to our office in the next few days to learn whether [redacted] is on the same wavelength as [redacted]. Fred will also ask [redacted] if he thinks a change in sponsorship is necessary and, if so, why. [redacted]

SUBJECT: Sponsorship of Project SLAMMER

25X1

CCISCMO/ICS:

25X1

Distribution:

- Original - CM chrono
- 1 - SLAMMER file
- 1 -

25X1