

~~ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

OIT #0894-88  
7 September 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director  
Deputy Director for Intelligence  
Deputy Director for Operations  
Deputy Director for Science and Technology

VIA: Deputy Director for Administration

FROM: Edward J. Maloney  
Director of Information Technology

SUBJECT: Request for a Requirements Focal Point

1. As a first step toward streamlining and improving the Office of Information Technology's requirements handling process, I have established a central Requirements and Planning Staff (RPS). The role of RPS will undoubtedly evolve over the next year as other organizational changes occur within OIT, and I would appreciate your help in this evolution.

2. Would you identify a senior officer from your organization with whom we can work closely to define RPS's roles and responsibilities as we strive to be more responsive to the requests from your Directorate. I envision that your representative would help us identify areas for improvement while also speaking to the OIT service priorities for your Directorate. In the next few years, as resources become tighter Agency-wide, I want to be certain that OIT's priorities are those which best serve your needs. Please send your responses to  C/RPS/OIT,

Edward J. Maloney

OIT/RPD  (7 Sept 88)

Distribution:

- Originals - Addressees
- 2 - DDA
- 3 - OIT Registry
- 2 - O/D/OIT

~~ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

CONFIDENTIAL

OIT-0685-88

13 JUL 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Information Resources, DI

FROM: Edward J. Maloney  
Director of Information Technology, DA

SUBJECT: Request for Certification of a DFT Card

REFERENCE: Memo for D/OIT/DA fm DD/OIR/DI, Unnumbered,  
dtd 11 May 88, Same Subject

1. Per your request, OIT/Engineering Group has been in contact with  and is in the process of obtaining a system from him in order to effect the certification of that device and associated software. After the system is received from your office and forwarded to the contractor, it is estimated that 90-120 days will be required before a determination of certification for use can be made. When the Apple DFT card is available, we will effect the certification of that card also.

2. We will keep your office advised of the progress of this effort. Please direct any related questions to

Edward J. Maloney

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1 SUBJECT: Request for Certification of a DFT Card

25X1 OIT/EG/CED  (29 Jun 88)

Distribution:

- Orig - Addressee
- 2 - D/OIT
- 1 - C/EG/OIT
- 1 - CED/EG Chrono
- 3 - OIT/Registry

CONFIDENTIAL

UIC [redacted]

11 MAY 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Information Technology, DA

FROM: [redacted]

Deputy Director of Information Resources, DI

SUBJECT: Request for Certification of a DFT Card

OIT/TRIS  
LOGGED  
13 MAY 1988

1. The Office of Imagery Analysis recently decided to purchase a large number of Apple Macintosh II workstations. These microcomputers will replace OIA's current workstations. Since Macintosh II equipment does not conform to OIT's approved method for connecting to Agency mainframes (OIT Information Processing Standard, 3270 Protocol for Terminals and Workstations), OIT had to issue OIA a waiver to purchase the devices. Implicit in the issuance of the waiver is the fact that OIA must resolve its mainframe connectivity problems no later than late 1989 when it moves to the Headquarters complex.

2. OIR has tested a Distributed Function Terminal (DFT) card that allows Macintosh devices to communicate with Agency mainframes. The interface card is manufactured by CXI, Inc., a subsidiary of the Novell Company. Nomenclature for the card and related software is as follows:

- PCOX/3270 SR-M
- PCOX/MULTI-M
- PCOX/COAX-M

OIR also understands that Apple is developing a DFT card that will allow Macintosh workstations to communicate with IBM mainframes.

3. I request that OIT work with INTECOM, the PBX contractor, to ensure that the PCOX card and software undergo certification testing as soon as possible. Early completion of testing, and the positive results, will eliminate OIA's connectivity problems well before it moves to Headquarters. When the Apple card is available, OIR would like to have it undergo certification testing as well.

4. The OIR point of contact for this request is [redacted] Chief, Technology Exploitation Branch. [redacted] may be contacted on extension [redacted]

[redacted]

Administrative - Internal Use Only

STAT  
STAT  
STAT  
STAT

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
**OIT STAFF SUMMARY SHEET**

SUBJECT:

Request for Certification of a DFT Card

PURPOSE OF ACTION:

ACTION OFFICER (Incl. Ext.):

REFERENCES:

Memo for D/OIT/DA fm DD/OIR/DI, Unnumbered, dtd 11 May 88, Same Subject

RESOURCE PACKAGE & COSTS (If applicable):

THIS PAPER IS FOR YOUR:

COMPONENT/ OFFICER	INFORMATION/ COMMENT	CONCURRENCE	APPROVAL/ SIGNATURE	INITIALS	DATE
C/EG/OIT	X			JAL	7/5/88
DD/OIT	X			B	—
D/OIT			X		

DISCUSSION:

note: Our reply addresses only George's first request, that we get certification on the CXI card. to George's second request, that we get certification for the Apple card (that will be introduced soon), is ignored. We should also seek certification for that device, as

reported,

SIGNATURE OF ACTION OFFICER

6-30-88

DATE

FORM 7-85 **4026** OBSOLETE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

(34)

→ Last sentence of ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ **CONFIDENTIAL** added per telecon approval of  7/17/88

## Explanatory Notes

**Subject:** Self-explanatory - include ODP number if applicable.

**Purpose:** What will action accomplish, e.g., "Reply to letter from OMB," "Obtain DDA approval to spend \$100M," "Comply with periodic reporting requirements," etc.

**Action Officer:** Name, organization, extension.

**References:** List of pertinent references. Copies should be attached in order listed.

**Resource Package and Costs:** Identify the Resource Package and total costs for each fiscal year if the action involves funds.

**Routing:** Who should see the action, whether for information, comment, concurrence, or signature/approval. The individual reviewing the action should initial and date where indicated. Place an "x" under the appropriate column for each component. If concurrences are contained on record copy of action, simply refer to the action.

**Discussion:** Narrative discussion of action - what led up to the action, why is it necessary, what do you want done. The pertinent references should be explained insofar as they relate to this action. If the action itself contains all this information, simply refer to the action.

**Signature of Action Officer:** Sign and date form.

**Classification:** Mark at the top and bottom of page, as appropriate.

OIT-0496-88

06 JUL 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director, Near Eastern and South Asian Analysis

FROM: Edward J. Maloney  
Director of Information Technology

SUBJECT: Additional Mainframe Connections in the NHB

REFERENCE: Your Memo, dtd 3 June 88, Same Subject

1. OIT will fully support the installation and connection to the mainframe for your analysts. Regardless of whose oversight, 17 additional connections will be made for NESAs.

2. Because funding was baselined on the surveys, OIT does not have the money to establish additional mainframe LANMARK (PCs) connections. This was briefed to the Comptroller as an FY-89 unfunded. In light of other priority unfundings, we do not believe we will be successful acquiring the funds. We therefore request \$51,000 from NESAs to provide this connectivity.

STAT



Edward J. Maloney

SUBJECT: Additional Mainframe Connections in the NHB

STAT

DC/CSG-T/OIT,  (24 June 88)

Distribution:

- Original - Addressee
- 2 - D/OIT
- 1 - CSG Chrono
- 3 - OIT/ISC



CONFIDENTIAL

LOGGED

7 JUN 1988

OIT 0638,88

3 June 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director, Office of Information Technology

FROM: [redacted] Director  
Near Eastern and South Asian Analysis, DDI

SUBJECT: Additional Mainframe Connections in the NHB [redacted]

1. It is requested that 17 PC connections to the mainframe computer be provided for workstations in the NHB as detailed on the attached sheet. These stations do have PBXed green phones. We also would need to have one existing terminal linked to the DESIST System. [redacted]

2. Our original intent was to provide for a mainframe connection for every workstation. When the survey was completed, because some equipment was still on order, some personnel did not actually have computer terminals. To comply with instructions of the survey team, the notation "no equipment" was made on the worksheets. Therefore, your office did not provide mainframe connections for those designated workstations. Regrettably, instructions by the survey team and the intentions of this Office conflicted and were not resolved before installation of the mainframe connections. However, without these connections, we will have analysts who will be unable to read their SAFE delivered mail or to fulfill their analytical duties. It is unrealistic to expect these individuals to wait eight to ten weeks after we move, as your office has informed us, to obtain these mainframe connections. [redacted]

3. With more than 90 days before occupancy, it would seem that a solution could be worked out so our analysts would not essentially be out of work when they move to the NHB. Your cooperation will be appreciated. [redacted]

Attachment: as stated

cc: D/OIR

CONFIDENTIAL