


**Journal**

**Office of Legislative Counsel**

**Monday - 23 March 1959**

1. [redacted] who stated he had replaced [redacted], former General Counsel of the National Security Agency, telephoned Legislative Counsel. [redacted] was interested in our thoughts on H. R. 1870 proposing to provide that all positions in Government are sensitive, thus overcoming the Supreme Court case of Cole v. Young. (A letter to the Post Office and Civil Service Committee has been signed by the DCI requesting exemption for the Agency and is being held for Bureau of the Budget clearance.) [redacted] seemed satisfied with our assistance and it was suggested he might wish to visit with us to discuss the matter in more detail.



3. Attempted to see Representative Richard H. Poff (Va.) in connection with the [redacted] case. In his absence talked with his assistant, Mr. Bradford and explained to him that [redacted] did not meet the criteria for employment by the Agency according to the investigation which we had conducted. Mr. Bradford said he was grateful and he was sure Mr. Poff would appreciate the information.

4. [redacted] stated the Director had met with Arthur Krock and apparently there was some discussion of the Freedom Commission Act bills by Herieng and Judd. [redacted] requested that copies of these bills be sent to the DCI.

**MISSING PAGE**

**(S)**

**ORIGINAL DOCUMENT MISSING PAGE(S):**

---

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel  
Monday - 23 March 1959

Page 3

10. Richard Bray, Foreign Operations and Monetary Affairs Subcommittee of House Government Operations Committee, telephoned Legislative Counsel about eight o'clock to advise that in open session one [redacted] disclosed that he had accepted a [redacted] "gift" from [redacted] was at the time employed by ICA.

11. [redacted], NSA, called again in connection with H. R. 1870, the bill to provide uniform legislation authorizing separation of employees in the interest of national security. [redacted] is concerned as to what this bill might do to NSA's exception to the Lloyd LaFollette Act which was granted administratively. Inserting such an exception in the bill would appear to be somewhat cumbersome, but several informal suggestions were made to [redacted] It was also suggested that we get together at his convenience.

13. Advised Mr. Charles Johnson, counsel on the House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, that while a letter had been prepared stating our views on H. R. 1870, we had not as yet obtained a clearance from the Bureau of the Budget on this report. Johnson said that inasmuch as the hearings had been postponed until after the Easter recess there was no particular rush for the letter at this time.

cc: A-DD/S  
Item 3 - Personnel & Security  
Items 4 and 6 - Col. Grogan  
Item 8 - DCI, DDCI & Comptroller  
Item 10, Chief, FE

JOHN S. WARNER  
Legislative Counsel