

Journal

Office of Legislative Counsel

Monday - 21 July 1952

- - - - -

STATINTL

1. Senator Ferguson requested that I call on him and explain the Agency's position in the [redacted] case. I, therefore, set forth the facts in the case to him in great detail. As Senator Ferguson has received a letter from [redacted] he proposes to tell them that as CIA has determined not to go through with the program, it would be impossible for us to expend funds to complete the clearance of [redacted] and even if we did so we could not inform them as to the result. Senator Ferguson agreed that we were correct in not employing [redacted] under the circumstances and that we could not properly pay him for services he did not render. He agreed that we could make a small payment in quantum meruit and also the cost of his round trip fare. He completely backed us in this matter and stated that CIA, as also the FBI, should not be called upon to answer in these matters.

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

2. The case of [redacted] including [redacted] were discussed at a meeting between [redacted] Mr. Houston, Mr. Pforzheimer, [redacted] of Personnel. This meeting was brought about by a letter from [redacted] to the DCI. [redacted] urged full payment of the claims of [redacted] but was informed by Mr. Houston that the letter on which these men relied was written by an unauthorized employee of the Agency, and therefore by Supreme Court decision could not be considered binding. Comptroller Generals' Opinions render any payment on the contract illegal other than for services rendered.

STAT

STATINTL

STATINTL

STAT

STAT

STATINTL

STAT