

Central Intelligence Agency



DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

11 August 1987

Moscow Changes Its Tactics In Central America

Summary

Moscow apparently has concluded that the Contadora Peace is not likely to achieve a political settlement in Central America and therefore poses little danger that the Sandinistas will have to accede to the plan's calls for democratization and demilitarization. At the same time, the Soviets reportedly have come to view the continuation of the peace process itself as a major impediment to direct US military When the Contadora talks intervention in Central America. seemed to stall early last year, Moscow initiated a series of actions aimed at creating the impression of movement in the process, apparently hoping to preserve the appearance of Contadora as a viable alternative to a military confrontation.

25X1

In tandem with these moves, Moscow has assumed a more conciliatory attitude toward three of the "Core Four" Central American democracies--Guatemala, Honduras, and Costa Rica. contrast to Moscow's previous condemnation of the Central

The Contadora Group was established in January 1983 by the foreign ministers of Mexico, Venezuela, Panama, and Colombia. Their aim was to draw up a treaty that would reduce tensions between Nicaragua and its democratic neighbors--the "Core Four" democracies of Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras, and Costa Rica. The proposed treaty includes a ban on foreign military bases or installations and external aid to insurgent groups, calls for the reduction of armies and arms inventories in Central America, and advocates political pluralism for the region. In 1984 the Contadora Support Group, composed of Peru, Argentina, Uruguay, and Brazil, was formed to support the efforts of the Contadora participants.

25X1

This memorandum was prepared in the Office of Soviet Analysis Comments and queries are welcome and may be addressed to the Chief, Regional Policy Division

25X1 25X1

25X1

SOV M 87-20078X

25X1 25X1

Copy 58 of 111

25X1

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/12 : CIA-RDP90T00114R000800350002-1

American democracies as willing pawns of the United States, Soviet media have commended the trend toward democracy in these countries and frequently excused their support for US policies as the product of poverty and economic dependence. Moreover, the Soviets have extended a number of diplomatic and commercial overtures, including an offer to renew diplomatic relations with Guatemala City and to develop trade with Tegucigalpa and San Jose.

25X1

Moscow appears to have chosen this course of action as a means to buy time for the Nicaraguans. It hopes to reduce regional responsiveness to Washington and the willingness of the Central American democracies to cooperate with the United States in activities against Managua. Moscow also hopes to foster a benign image of the USSR as a peacemaker both locally and internationally and eventually carve out a larger role for itself in regional affairs.

25X1

The Central American states may entertain Moscow's overtures, but they recognize that the Soviets are prepared to offer only token economic assistance. Regional leaders are also sensitive to the likelihood that developing significant ties to Moscow would expose them to strong domestic criticism and could endanger current levels of US economic and military assistance.

25X1

The Soviet Approach to Contadora

The Soviets initially were cautious about endorsing the Contadora process. Despite requests for support from Contadora's sponsors, Moscow demurred, declaring--according to various sources--that overt Soviet support might tarnish the legitimacy of the initiative for international audiences. Moscow may have hesitated because the initiative, if successful, could force the fledgling Nicaraguan regime to make important concessions, such as domestic democratization and limiting its ties with the Soviet Bloc and Cuba. The Soviets also may have been suspicious of the Contadora Group's sympathies; in 1980, for example, Panama withdrew its advisers from Nicaragua, and in 1984 Mexico cut off credits to the Sandinistas for the purchase of oil.

25X1

The US invasion of Grenada and growing assistance to the Nicaraguan insurgents, however, appears to have reinforced Moscow's perception of an increased US challenge to its interests in the Third World. Seeking ways to limit Washington's options in Central America, the Soviets apparently seized on Contadora as a useful forum for building regional nationalism and opposition to US influence. This assessment was reinforced as the negotiations proceeded and some of the Contadora and Support

0	Also last November, Soviet UN envoys made an offer to
	their Honduran counterparts to hold bilateral talks on the
	region in either Moscow, Tegucigalpa, or a third country,
	according to the US mission at the UN.

25X1

- ° In January, Soviet Foreign Minister Shevardnadze hosted a meeting with ambassadors from the Contadora and Support Group nations, who presented texts of the Contadora declarations for Soviet approval. The meeting received extensive coverage in the Soviet press, and Izvestiya noted the apparent "reactivation" of the Contadora process.
- o In April, Soviet spokesman Gennadiy Gerasimov announced that the USSR expressed full solidarity with the efforts of the Contadora and Support Group foreign ministers, who were then meeting in Buenos Aires.

Moscow apparently hopes that the revitalization of the talks will serve as a subtle way to ease some of the pressure on Managua.

25**X**1

Reaching out to the Central American Democracies

In addition to trying to portray itself as a regional peacemaker, Moscow has sought maneuvering room by assuming a more conciliatory attitude toward Guatemala, Honduras, and Costa Since early last year, Soviet press and academic publications have commended the transition to civilian Soviet media have toned democracies in Guatemala and Honduras. down their previous attacks on these countries, and instead now frequently characterize them as states whose growing poverty and economic dependence have placed them in "bondage" to Washington. In December a prominent Soviet journal sympathetically quoted a Honduran scholar's lament that the regional states assist Washington's policies "not because we are in sympathy but because we are poor," and referred to the new Central American democracies as "a success for the region's progressive forces."

25X1

Concurrently, Moscow has been pressing some of the Central American democracies to improve ties with Managua.

25X1

4

	o Most recently, our mission at the UN reported that the
	Soviet UN First Secretary met with his Costa Rican counterpart to propose that the two missions set up a "permanent dialogue" aimed at improving Nicaraguan-Costa Rican relations.
Nic coc als	Soviets probably calculate that improved relations between caragua and its neighbors will complicate US efforts to ordinate policies among the Central American states. They may so hope that improved relations might result in a more rusting" atmosphere in which at least some of these countries all be less exacting in their demands for concessions from
Mar	nagua during regional peace negotiations.
Cer di,	The clearest manifestations of Moscow's new tactics in ntral America are its attempts to build direct commercial and plomatic ties with several Central American states.
of	Honduras. In early May the chief of the regional Soviet ade mission, based in San Jose, met with the Honduran Minister the Economy to discuss the beginning of permanent commercial
Teg	lations. While the Honduran response has been mixed, gucigalpa has shown other signs of interest in maintaining some rm of contact with Moscow.
	Guatemala. Since last spring, the Kremlin has persistently

5

have been dormant since 1954. At ceremonies in Costa Rica in May last year, the leader of the Soviet delegation offered Guatemalan President Cerezo diplomatic ties and unspecified economic and military assistance, according to the US Embassy.	25
Last February the Soviet Ambassador to Costa Rica made a "private" visit to Guatemala to explore economic and cultural ties. The timing of the visitwhile both President Cerezo and the Guatemalan Foreign Minister were out of the countryprobably was intended to avoid a Guatemalan rebuff. Strong opposition from the still influential Guatemalan military, as well as other conservatives, is likely to prevent Cerezo from responding to Soviet overtures	0.5
In a statement probably calculated to preserve the appearance of Guatemalan neutrality, the Foreign Minister recently announced that diplomatic relations with the USSR had never been formally interrupted, but that there is no economic justification to open an Embassy at this time.	25X
Costa Rica. Moscow also has stepped up its efforts to strengthen ties with Costa Ricathe only democracy in Central America which has diplomatic relations with the USSRsince the inauguration of President Arias in May 1986. The Kremlin sent a relatively high-level delegation to Arias's inauguration, headed by the Chief of the MFA's First Latin America Department, who was formerly the Soviet Ambassador to San Jose. Perhaps hoping to play to Arias's aspirations as a regional leader, last November the Soviets invited him to Moscow, but Arias refused the invitation, according to the US Embassy.	25
Commercial contacts between the two states have also increased over the past year. San Jose purchased 260 Soviet Lada vehicles for its security forces early this year, and the Soviets purchased 32,000 tons of Costa Rican sugar after the United States reduced San Jose's sugar quota by half to 17,000 tons. Although fear of endangering US economic aid will limit Costa Rica's trade with the USSR (as well as Soviet trade with other Central American democracies), San Jose probably is not above using Soviet contacts as pressure for increased benefits from Washington. Increased trade with Moscow also provides a non-threatening way for San Jose to	25 25
demonstrate some independence from the United States.	25. 25X

Soviet interest in Costa Rica has heightened since President Arias proposed his regional peace initiative in February. Initially the Soviet reaction reflected suspicion of Arias's motives and concern that the new peace plan might undermine the Contadora process. Early Soviet commentary, for example, referred to the initiative as barely differing from "US plans to	
remove the Sandinistas from power."	25 X
The Soviets probably now calculate that the Arias proposal may serve to impede US policies in a way similar to Contadora. Moscow has praised the proposal, but has also expressed some reservations about its substance. For example, Arias received high marks from the Soviet media for adopting a neutral position "in defiance" of US pressure	25 X
Such comments convey the impression that the Soviets will support any regional proposal that holds out the prospect of limiting US actions in Central America, but also indicates Moscow's desire to avoid alienating either the Contadora Group nations or the Core Four	25^
democracies.	25X
The Kremlin's new use of diplomatic activism in Central America is part of a larger pattern of attempts to reassert its importance as a player in regional conflict issues throughout the Third World, but it also appears to be tailored for specific goals.	25)
Buying time for Managua. Soviet officials have repeatedly discussed the need to buy time for the Sandinista regimeby preventing direct US military intervention or a drastic surge in aid to the insurgentsuntil the next US administration, when, Moscow is reportedly convinced, US policy toward Nicaragua will become less "aggressive."	25)
Despite their concern that a regional settlement might limit Sandinista	_ <i></i>
	25X1 25X1
7	20/(1
·	25 X 1

internal prerogatives, the Soviets apparently believe that the ongoing negotiations act as a shield against possible US intervention. In February, for example, TASS approvingly noted a statement by the Chairman of the European Economic Community (EEC) that the EEC viewed the Contadora process as an "effective instrument for preventing military confrontation." Moscow seemed eager to try to create the illusion of movement in the talks when they appeared permanently stalled last year, lest Washington use the stalemate in the talks as a rationale for forceful action. Moscow has made similar use of the Arias plan by holding it up as evidence of Latin America's ability to pursue a settlement independent of the United States.	25 X 1
Fostering a Non-Threatening Image. The Soviets have an interest in altering Central American perceptions of their influence in the region as threatening. In addition to playing down their assistance to Central American insurgents, they have gone to some lengths to publicize their sensitivity to the "realities" of the region and the pressures faced by Central	
American leaders.	25 X 1
Promoting A Larger Soviet Role. Finally, the Soviets may hope that a higher profile in regional conflict negotiations will gain them some voice in the outcome, in the unlikely event that a settlement is concluded. For their part, the Central American countries may seek to include Moscow in the process as a signatory to a protocol obliging the Soviets to respect a regional accord because they believe Soviet compliance is necessary for any Central American settlement to succeed. Signs of this attitude are already apparent. For example, President Arias has stated publicly that the USSR and Cuba are parties to the Central American conflict and that it is advisable to hold a dialogue with them in order to achieve peace in the region. But while Moscow derives benefit by portraying itself as a regional peacemaker, there is no evidence that the Kremlin is willing to make substantial moves toward a settlement, such as pressuring Nicaragua to make political or military concessions.	25X1
Outlook	
Moscow apparently hopes its new efforts will limit US options in the region and buy time for the Sandinistas until the	25 ¥ 1
	ノウX1

8

25X1

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/12: CIA-RDP90T00114R000800350002-1

1988 US elections. The Kremlin will probably use this interlude to provide additional military and economic assistance, as well as political advice, aimed at guaranteeing the survival of the Sandinista regime and establishing a stable, pro-Soviet, Communist government in Managua.

25X1

The USSR almost certainly will be unable to wean the Central American states from their pro-US orientation in the near term. While these states may entertain Soviet overtures, the democracies recognize that Moscow is prepared to offer only token economic assistance. Regional leaders are also sensitive to the likelihood that developing significant ties to Moscow would expose them to strong domestic criticism--especially from the military--and endanger current levels of US economic and military assistance. They may use the prospect of limited commercial deals or diplomatic concessions with the USSR, however, as a bargaining chip for additional aid from Washington.

25X1

25X1

9

External Distribution

NSC

- Dr. Fritz W. Ermarth Special Assistant to the President for European and Soviet Affairs, NSC Room 368, Old EOB
- 2. Raymond F. Burghardt Special Assistant to the President for Latin American Affairs, NSC Room 391, Old EOB
- William Perry
 Director for Latin American Affairs
 NSC
 Room 393, Old EOB
- 4. George Van Eron Director Secretariat NSC Room 381, Old EOB
- Ronald C. St. Martin Senior Director, Crisis Management Center Room 303, Old EOB
- Colonel Tyrus W. Cobb Director, European and Soviet Affairs NSC Room 361, Old EOB
- 7. The Honorable Donald P. Gregg Assistant to the Vice President National Security Affairs, NSC Room 298, Old EOB

External Distribution

- The Honorable Anne Armstrong Chairman, President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board Room 340, 01d EOD
- Peter R. Sommer Director, European and Soviet Affairs NSC Room 361, EOB
- Paula J. Dobriansky Director, European and Soviet Affairs NSC Room 368, EOB
- Peter W. Rodman Deputy Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs (Foreign Policy) The White House
- 12. Michael K. Bohn Director, Situation Room West Wing White House
- William H. Courtney Deputy Executive Secretary, NSC Situation Room The White House

DOD

14. Dr. Darnell Whitt Intelligence Adviser to the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy Room 42E812, The Pentagon

25X1

External Distribution

- 15. Col. David R. Brown
 Executive Secretary
 Office of the Secretary of Defense
 Room 3A948. The Pentagon
- 16. Nestor D. Sanchez
 Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for
 Inter-American Affairs
 Room 4C800, The Pentagon

DIO for Latin America
Defense Intelligence Agency
Room 2C238. The Pentagon

STAT

STAT

- DIO for European and Soviet Political and Military Affairs
 Defense Intelligence Agency
 Room 2A520, The Pentagon
- 19. Dr. Andrew W. Marshall Director of Net Assessment Department of Defense Room 3A930, The Pentagon
- 20. Donald C. Latham
 Deputy Under Secretary of Defense
 Command, Control, Communications/Intelligence
 Room 3E172, The Pentagon
- 21. Ronald H. Stivers
 Assistant Under Secretary of Defense (Policy), OSD
 Room 2E812, The Pentagon
- 22. Lt General John H. Moellering Assistant to the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff Room 2E872, The Pentagon
- 23. Vice Admiral Powell Carter, Director Joint Chiefs of Staff Room 2E936. The Pentagon

25 X 1

External Distribution

- 24. Lt General Dale A. Vesser
 Director, J-5 (Plans and Policy)
 Joint Chiefs of Staff
 Room 2E996, The Pentagon
- 25. Peter P. Herrick
 ANIO/W
 National Warning Staff
 Room 1C921, The Pentagon
- 26. Maj General Schuyler H. Bissell, USAF Assistant Chief of Staff, Intelligence Room 4A932, The Pentagon
- 27. RADM William O. Studeman
 Director of Naval Intelligence
 Department of the Navy
 Room 5C600, The Pentagon

State

- 28. Thomas Simons
 Deputy Assistant for Secretary of State
 European/Canadian Affairs
 Room 6219
 Department of State
- 29. Steve Coffey Special Assistant for Under Secretary Armacost Room 7244 Department of State

External Distribution

- Robert Gelbard 30. Deputy Assistant Secretary for South America Room 6263 Department of State
- Richard H. Melton Director, Central America and Panama Inter-American Affairs Room 4917 Department of State
- 32. Peter Askin Director of Central American and Panamanian Affairs (Lac/Cap) Room 5258A Department of State
- John J. Taylor 33. Deputy Assistant, Secretary for Intelligence and Coordination Room 6534 Department of State
- Richard N. Holwill Director of Caribbean Affairs Inter-American Affairs Room 3248 Department of State
- 35. Henry S. Myers Executive Assistant to the Director, INR Room 6531 Department of State

External Distribution

- 36. Mark R. Parris
 Director, of Soviet Union Affairs
 European and Canadian Affairs
 Room 4217
 Department of State
- 37. Robert K. German
 Director, INR/SEE
 Room 4758
 Department of State
- 38. Wayne Limberg Chief, INR/SEE/FP Room 4843 Department of State
- 39. Richard A. Clarke
 Deputy Assistant Secretary for Regional Affairs
 Intelligence and Research
 Room 6535
 Department of State
- 40. Office of Soviet Political Affairs Soviet Union and Eastern Europe (INR/SEE/FP) Room 4225 Department of State
- 41. E. Raymond Platig
 Director, Long Range Assessments, INR
 Room 6842
 Department of State
- 42. David G. Smith
 Chief, INR/IAA/SA
 Room 7637
 Department of State
- 43. Richard H. Solomon
 Director, Policy Planning Council
 Room 7311
 Department of State

25**X**1

External Distribution

- 44. V. James Fazio, Jr.
 INR-IS
 Room 6510A
 Department of State
- 45. Robert Fouche Director, INR/IAA Room 7538 Department of State
- 46. Arthur P. Shankle, Jr. Director, Mexico Inter-American Affairs Room 4258
 Department of State
- 47. Everett Briggs
 Deputy Assistant Secretary of
 Inter-American Affairs
 Room 6263
 Department of State
- 48. Ambassador Morton I. Abramowitz
 Director of Intelligence and Research (INR)
 Room 6531
 Department of State

NSA

- 49. GCO/NSA PO 532 2W1111 Fort Meade, Md.
- 50. D/NSA Special Assistant
 Q42
 Room 9A171, Fort Meade, Md.

Other

51. DIA/RTS-2C2 Bolling AFB

25X1

25X1

25X1

SUBJECT: Moscow Changes Its Tactics in Central America

Internal Distribution

52. 53.	D/DCI/DDCI Executive ED/DCI	Staff			
54.	Executive Registry				
55.	DDI				
56.	Senior Review Panel				
57.	OCPAS/IMD/CB				
58.	OCPAS/IMD/CB				
59.	OCPAS/IMD/CB				
60.	OCPAS/IMD/CB				
61.	OCPAS/IMD/CB				
62.	OCPAS/IMD/CB				
63.	Vice Chairman, NIC				
64.	NIC/AG				
65.	NIO/USSR				
66.	NIO/LA				
67.	PDB Staff				
68.	ILS				
69.	OCA/DDI Rep.				
70.	CIA Rep NMIC				
71.	DI Rep., CINCLANT				
72.	DI REP., CINCSOUTH				
73.	C/DDO/SE				25X1
74.	C/DDO/LA				
75.	D/ALA				
76.	ALA/MCD/CUB				25 X 1
77.	D/OIA				
78.	C/OIA/TWFD/ALA				
79.	C/OIA/IID/ATB	7			
80.	OIA/IID/ATB				25X1
81.	OIA/TWFD/ALA				
82.	OIA/TWFD/ALA				
83.	D/OGI C/OGI/ISID/AT				
84. 85.	C/OGI/ISID/IT				
86.	OGI/ISID/AT				25V1
87.	OGI/ISID/AT				25X1
88.	D/NPIC				
89.	C/NPIC/IEG/TWFD				
90.	D/SOVA				
91.	DD/SOVA				
92.	SA/SOVA				
93.	C/SOVA Executive Sta	ff			
94.	C/ES/CIB	•		•	
95.	C/SOVA/RIG				
	-,,				05)//
					25 X 1

Declassified	in Part - Sanitized	Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/1	2 : CIA-RDP90T00114R000800350002-1	25X1
•				
1				
96.	C/SOVA/NIG			
97.	C/NIG/DPD			
98.	C/NIG/EPD			
99.	C/SOVA/SIG			
100.	C/SIG/FSD			
101.	C/SIG/SPD			
102.	C/SOVA/DEIG			
103.	C/DE IG/DED			
104.	C/DEIG/DID			

103. C/DEIG/DED
104. C/DEIG/DID
105. C/SOVA/TWA
106. C/SOVA/TWA/A
107. C/SOVA/TWA/AFLAME
108. C/SOVA/TWA/FA
109. AFLAME Chrono
110. SOVA/TWA/AFLAME

SOVA/TWA/AFLAME

111.

25X1

. 25X1 25X1