2
2
2
2
2
29
۷
25
2
25

reluctant to raise the profile of their relationship with the United States. Many Gulf states have reacted to Iran's growing hostility by signaling their desire for accommodation rather than confrontation. Some have even taken steps to improve relations with Tehran believing such a course will deter Iranian aggression. Nonetheless, the Gulf states will look to the United States for support in the event of sustained Iranian attacks.

25X1

. . . . . . . . . . . . .

Two recent events indicate Iran has adopted a more confrontational approach to the states of the Gulf Cooperation Council as the implementation of the US protective regime nears. Iran on 26 June took full control of Abu Musa island in the lower Gulf. The island is claimed by Sharjah, one of the states in the United Arab Emirates, but has been held jointly with Iran since 1971. Iranian forces lowered Sharjah's flag and expelled Sharjan workers. Tehran's transparent excuse was the alleged uncertainties created by the abortive coup against Sharjah's ruler, who has maintained friendly relations with Iran. By the time Iran seized the island, the political situation in Sharjah had been resolved with the former ruler back in power, although forced to share power with his brother.

25X1

Also on 26 June, Assembly Speaker Rafsanjani in his weekly prayer sermon directed some of the most explicit threats against Persian Gulf states any Iranian leader has made since the US agreed to the reflagging of Kuwaiti ships. He called the states in the southern Gulf the servants of the West and asserted they were more vulnerable than Iran to attacks on their water purifiers and oil facilities. He said in the event of war, their installations would not be safe, even if the states themselves were not directly involved in the conflict.

25X1

In our judgment, Rafsanjani's speech markedly intensified Iran's attempt to intimidate the Gulf states into opposing the US protective regime by raising the threat of expanded conflict. Rafsanjani also signaled Tehran's intensified belligerency by explicitly threatening the states of the lower Gulf. These states—the UAE and Oman—have throughout the Iran—Iraq war maintained a more moderate posture toward Iran than other Gulf states.

25X1

Rafsanjani's willingness to antagonize the friendlier Gulf states as part of Iran's strategy for confronting the US indicates the seriousness with which Tehran views increased superpower involvement in the Gulf. It also suggests Tehran perceives that increased intimidation might push the wary Oman and UAE into working actively against increased US intervention.

25X1

2

## The Terrorist Threat

In our judgment, Rafsanjani's remarks are a clear indication of Iran's willingness eventually to initiate attacks against any of the Gulf states if other methods fail to force the US and Kuwait to back down. Terrorism is likely to be one of Tehran's primary instruments.	25 <b>X</b> ′
Iran has substantial networks among the Shia populations of the Gulf that provide assets for terrorist operations. Some militant Shias have been brought to Iran for religious and paramilitary training and infiltrated back into their native countries. Pro-Iranian cells are most prevalent in Kuwait, Bahrain, and the United Arab Emirates. Some almost certainly exist in Saudi Arabia. Pro-Iranian Shias probably lack the capabilities to threaten the immediate survival of any Gulf government. They can, however, foment	25 <b>X</b> ′
serious security problems and probably increase sectarian tension between Shias and Sunnis by conducting selective terrorist acts.	25 <b>X</b> ′
Oil facilities, government and commercial offices, and desalinization plants are likely to be targetted first. If Iran decides to escalate further, the assassination of officials and diplomats will become more likely.	25 <b>X</b> ′
Kuwait remains the most immediate target.	25X1
Radical Shias this year have conducted four bombings against oil and gas facilities and commercial offices and have staged demonstrations to protest tougher government security measures.  Once the US protective regime is implemented, Bahrain is likely to	25X′
become a target for renewed Iranian subversion because of its large Shia population and the presence of the US Middle East Force. Iranian-backed Shias in 1981 attempted the violent overthrow of the government.	25X′
The longer the US protection program remains in force, the more likely Iran is to activate terrorism and subversion against other Gulf states with large Shia populations—such as the UAE and Saudi Arabia. The terrorist threat will increase further if there are military clashes in the Gulf between the US and Iran; for example, US tactical retaliation against Iranian ships attempting to strike escorted ships. US retaliation against Iranian territory almost certainly would lead to sharply increased Iranian—sponsored terrorism against the Gulf states. Iran might even sponsor attacks against Saudi targets, although Saudi Arabia probably will remain a lower priority for Iranian terrorism than other Gulf states because of the value Tehran places on good bilateral relations with Riyadh.	25X
	<b>23A</b>

Declassified in Part - Sanitiz	zed Copy Approved	d for Release 2012/05/07	: CIA-RDP90T00114R000700	390001-9
--------------------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	----------

25	X	1
	, ,	•

## The Conventional Threat

If the above methods fail to obtain Iran's objectives, the Iranians would be increasingly likely to use conventional military forces against the Gulf states. Iran has the largest naval force in the Persian Gulf, and despite equipment and personnel problems that limit the effectiveness of its ships and aircraft, it represents a significant threat to the Gulf Arabs. Moreover, the rapidly growing Revolutionary Guard naval forces pose a threat of expanded attacks on Gulf shipping and could provide support to terrorist operations. The Iranian Air Force has 50-60 operational aircraft to use against Gulf shipping or mainland targets. Iran has used these aircraft effectively in the past, but is increasingly hampered by parts shortages and unreliable munitions.

25X1

Some of Iran's alternatives against the Gulf states are:

- -- Attacks on ships in the trade of Gulf states other than Kuwait, including the mining of additional Gulf shipping lanes;
- -- Selective air strikes against Gulf oil or economic targets;
- -- The use of Silkworm missiles against Gulf shipping;
- -- An invasion of Kuwait's Bubiyan Island or--less likely--an invasion of Kuwait proper.

Iran is unlikely to launch systematic attacks on critical Gulf oil facilities unless its own oil exports are reduced substantially.

25X1

Iran's regular and Revolutionary Guard military forces have confronted Gulf Arab forces in the past. Last year, Iranian naval units twice fired on aircraft of the United Arab Emirates and an Iranian F-4 fired warning shots at an Omani reconnaissance aircraft. Iranian ships regularly challenge Omani patrol boats and trespass into Omani and UAE waters. Recently, despite limited Kuwaiti patrolling, Iranian forces laid mines in the deep-water channel leading to Kuwait's ports. Additionally, the Revolutionary Guard has employed rubber rafts and other small craft for landing infiltrators in the UAE without detection by coastal patrols.

25X1

25X1

While all the Gulf states will risk being subjected to some form of Iranian violence, Tehran probably will attempt to use terrorism and other forms of intimidation selectively in an effort to foster divisions among the GCC states on how to deal with the US protective regime. Iran probably will privately signal its willingness to refrain from attacks on those GCC states that oppose—or at least do not support—the US presence. Iran's ability to fine tune its operations, however, will be limited by the extent

•		2071.
with large Shia population Iranian attacks—even if to run the risk of Irania continue to show hostility potential divisions; that	ilize against any one of the GCC states. State ons and those most vulnerable to conventional they are conciliatory toward Iranwill continuan-sponsored subversion, if their allies in the ty toward Iran. Tehran will attempt to fuel the t seems to be the message Rafsanjani delivered y threatening the relatively friendly states in	nue e GCC ese in
Gulf States: Handling th	he Threat	
military pressure by sign confrontation. Already, relations with Iran, weak Tehran's perception that reluctant to accept a clo would open them to Irania intervention in the Gulf, presence in the region we	e reacted to the increase in diplomatic and naling they want accommodation rather than several Gulf states have taken steps to improve kening the Gulf Cooperation Council and reinfor support for Iraq can be eroded further. Most oser relationship with the United States because an charges of complicity in US military. Gulf states generally believe that a greater ould increase domestic threats and provoke Iran ates still view the United States as their fine st Iranian expansionism.	rcing are se it . US
move in defiance of Iran. as a fence straddler and perceives that Tehran is Soviet naval protection to generally, and specifical	Soviet and US protection of its ships was a bo . Over the past year, Kuwait has changed its in hardened its stand against Iran because it threatening its sovereignty. Kuwait sought US to deter Iranian attacks on Kuwaiti-bound shipp lly, attacks on the most vulnerable ships in	mage Sand
Kuwait's merchant fleet.		25X1
deal to secure US and Sov pressure. Kuwait is hopi protection arrangements a diplomatic offensive last	o curtail its support for Iraq or to renounce in viet protection, despite increasing Iranian ling to galvanize regional support for its naval and sent senior Kuwaiti officials on a major to week to Gulf states, as well as to Jordan, Sy	L
Egypt and Iraq.		25X1
has endorsed the Kuwaiti states might consider ass	ly has urged the United States not to cut and reflagging effort, and has indicated the Gulf sisting the US effort. Nonetheless, Riyadh fear presence in the region will lead to greater involving the Saudis.	•
	5	

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/05/07 : CIA-RDP90T00114R000700390001-9

The Gulf states are aware of the vulnerability of their petroleum and associated facilities. Nonetheless, their response to terrorist violence has generally been firm.

Significant deficiencies in the internal security services of the Gulf states, however, will continue to limit their ability to counter Iranian subversion. Manpower shortages, lack of training, and bureaucratic infighting are prevalent.

25X1

UBJECT: Iranian Threats to Persian Gulf States NESA M 87-20067	25X
istribution:	
1 - Ambassador Robert Oakley, NSC	
1 - DDI	
1 - NIO/NESA	
1 - NIO/CT	
1 - C/PES	
1 - C/NID	
1 - C/PDB	
6 - CPAS/IMC/CB	
1 - C/OGI	25)
1 - DO/NE. 1 - D/NESA	25)
1 - DD/NESA	
1 - C/PPS/NESA	
2 - NESA/PPS	
1 - C/NESA/AI	
1 - C/NESA/IA	
1 - C/NESA/SO	
1 - C/NESA/PG	
1 - C/NESA/PG/I	
1 - C/NESA/PG/P	
1 - PG/P	25)
1 - PG/I 1 - PG/I	
6 - PG/file	
0 - rd/111e	
OI/NESA/PG/I (29Jun87)	25)

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/05/07 : CIA-RDP90T00114R000700390001-9 25X1