

UNCLASSIFIED WHEN BLANK
CONTROL SHEET FOR TOP SECRET DOCUMENT (COLLATERAL)
(Top Secret Control Automated Data System (TSCADS) Data Entry Copy)

CIA TOP SECRET CONTROL NUMBER CIA COPY/SERIES NUMBER DOCUMENT DATE (YYMMDD)

ICS
PPO
Office

[Redacted]

88

Year

7

Copy

Series

20 June 1988

25X1

NON-CIA DOCUMENT RECEIPT DATE (YYMMDD)

COLLATERAL TS ATTACHMENT(s)—Control Numbers

LOGGED BY:

SUBJECT/TITLE:

[Redacted]

Fundigg Issue

[Redacted]

25X11

CIA ORIGINATOR (Directorate, Office, Division, Branch)

NON-CIA ORIGINATOR (Dept, Agency) Control No., Copy No.

DCI/ICS/PPO

CMTTE II-SK

TOP SECRET CONTROL OFFICER TO ENTER INFORMATION INTO TSCADS
DESTROY COPY FOLLOWING SYSTEM INFORMATION ENTRY
ICS Registry

TOP SECRET

**Director
Intelligence Community Staff
Washington, D.C. 20505**

20 June 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR: The Honorable Gordon A. Smith
Assistant Secretary of Defense for
Command, Control, Communications, and Intelligence

SUBJECT: [redacted] Funding Issue [redacted]

25X1

1. As you are aware, the Defense Resources Board (DRB) meeting scheduled for 21 June 1988 will be discussing items contained in Issue Book 4 (Modernization and Investment). [redacted] cost-sharing proposal is a significant discussion topic from an Intelligence Community perspective. However, the internal DoD resource-oriented nature of the DRB precludes our direct participation and voice in the forum. It is in this context that I solicit your support and intercession on behalf of the DCI's equities in this matter to voice, in the strongest terms possible, the Intelligence Community objections to the cost-sharing course proposed by the Air Force. Particularly troublesome to us is:

25X1

- o The methodology utilized by the Air Force to exceed fiscal guidance through establishment of a negative program element which can only be accommodated by redistribution of costs to "user" activities.
- o The resource management impacts on the NFIP as a result of changing the funding rules during the acquisition cycle of a major program.
- o The continued program instability at this critical decision point if cost-sharing is approved.
- o The double-jeopardy funding impacts on the Intelligence Community because of Air Force program management problems earlier recognized by Congress. [redacted]

25X1
25X1

- o The precedent-setting nature of the action that could lead to cost-sharing on other critical programs [redacted]

25X1
25X1

25X1

TOP SECRET

SUBJECT: MILSTAR Funding Issue



25X1

2. In view of the policy considerations inherent within a cost-sharing approach to program funding, I do not feel the DRB is the proper forum to consider this particular issue, specifically since the impact will reach beyond the DoD Community. Accordingly, your support on my behalf to reject the cost-sharing proposal is necessary. Additionally, your success in arguing for consideration of any MILSTAR funding issues in a policy forum where I may participate as a full member and personally present the DCI's views would be appreciated.



25X1

25X1



~~Edward J. Heinz~~
Lieutenant General USAF



25X1

SUBJECT: Funding Issue

25X11

DISTRIBUTION:

25X1

Copy 1 - Addressee

2 - DDCI

3 - D/ICS

4 - D/PPO

5 - PPO Subject

25X1

6 - PPO Chrono

7 - ICS Registry

DCI/ICS/PPO (17 June 1988)

25X1

25X1

TOP SECRET

SUBJECT: [redacted] Funding Issue [redacted]

25X1

DISTRIBUTION: [redacted]

25X1

- Copy 1 - Addressee
- 2 - DDCI
- 3 - D/ICS
- 4 - D/PPO
- 5 - PPO Subject [redacted]
- 6 - PPO Chrono
- 7 - ICS Registry

25X1

DCI/ICS/PPO/[redacted] (17 June 1988)

25X1

ADDITIONAL DISTRIBUTION

- 8 - D/NIESO [redacted]
- 9 - CIA/OC [redacted]

25X1

[redacted]

25X1