Washington. D. C. 20505

9 July 1986

	·	
MEMORANDUM FOR:	The Honorable Michael H. Armacost Under Secretary of State for Political Affairs	
SUBJECT:	Measures on South Africa	
measures that co the impact of ea Allies. I am pr Rich Armitage.  2. There a consider but tha  App be  Arr hea	d is our analysis of the impact of various "illustrative" uld be taken against South Africa. We have tried to assess ch measure on South Africa, the region, and the US and its oviding copies to Chet Crocker, Mort Abramowitz and  re a few rather controversial actions that you might want to t are outside our competence to assess:  oint a black American as Ambassador to South Africa. It would a unique gesture; an unequivocal statement to Pretoria.  ange for a high-level US official to meet with the regional ds of state to discuss the US role in the region.	25X1
	ounce expanded financial assistance for black labor unions, luding the development of strike funds.	
the	icate official US support for antigovernment groups, including African National Congress, with plans for regular high-level tings.	25X1
		25 <b>X</b> 1

Richard J. Kerr Deputy Director for Intelligence

Attachment: As stated

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved f	for Release 2012/09/04	: CIA-RDP90G01359R00	00300040016-6	<b>V</b> 1
			20.	<b>Л</b> I

SUBJECT: Measures on South Africa

DDI (9 Jul 86) 25X1

Distribution:

Orig - Addressee 1 - DDI 1 - DDI Registry

2		

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/04: CIA-RDP90G01359R000300040016-6



Central Intelligence Agency Office of the Deputy Director for Intelligence

9 July 1986



Central Intelligence Agency Office of the Deputy Director for Intelligence

9 July 1986

NOTE FOR: The Honorable Chester A. Crocker Assistant Secretary of State for African Affairs

Attached are the impact statements on the list of illustrative measures.

Kichard J. Kerr

Deputy Director for Intelligence

Attachment: As stated

> Identical notes sent to: Armitage (via CPAS courier) Ringdahl, NSC

NOTE FOR: The Honorable Morton I. Abramowitz Director, Bureau of Intelligence and Research

Attached are the impact statements on the list of illustrative measures.

Kichard J. Kerr

Deputy Director for Intelligence

Attachment: As stated

25X1

#### Article

## Southern Africa: Economic Vulnerability

South Africa dominates a regional economic network upon which all of its neighbors except Angola depend.

- Pretoria controls the most efficient ports and 75 percent of the rail system, giving it immediate leverage over trade by the region's six landlocked countries.
- It provides its neighbors with a large percentage of the petroleum, food, machinery, finished consumer goods, and chemicals they need.
- It officially employs 350,000 foreign workers—and from 200,000 to 700,000 illegal workers—who provide critically important revenues to their financially strapped home countries.

#### The View From Pretoria

Pretoria has made it clear it will use this economic might to counter new sanctions. Early moves probably would include expelling selected foreign workers or disrupting certain rail links.

— Pretoria also may decide to engage in a campaign of economic sabotage by attacking Zimbabwe's alternative oil routes through Mozambique or striking at Angola—where its economic leverage is limited.

Pretoria earns about \$1.2 billion a year in foreign exchange from trade and other economic ties to neighboring countries, but many punitive measures could be carried out with relatively little cost to itself. We estimate, for example, South Africa would lose only about \$100 million in revenue if it cut regional rail service and

would not be substantially hurt if it embargoed the export of selected goods or services to its neighbors.

— The dismissal of some foreign workers, who make up nearly 40 percent of the mining work force, would cause short-term disruptions, but the region's high unemployment would prevent longer term labor shortages.

#### The View From Outside

South Africa's neighbors have no realistic shortterm options for reducing their vulnerability or developing economically feasible alternate routes for imports and exports.

- Rail lines through Zaire, Tanzania, and Mozambique are incapable of handling additional traffic because of poor maintenance or are vulnerable to disruption by insurgents.
- More oil could be shipped from Dar es Salaam through the Tazara pipeline, but only at a substantially higher cost.

We believe a South African squeeze not only would produce immediate economic hardships but also would serve as a catalyst for political instability. Reporting from several of the affected countries indicates the beleaguered leaders are aware that either capitulation to Pretoria or an economically infeasible defiance carries costs for already unsteady governments.

25**X**1

#### **Economic Links With South Africa** Zaire- More than 40 percent of minerals exported in 1985 shipped through South Africa . . . three-fourths of food, petroleum, and chemicals imported through South Africa. Z a/i/r e Shaba Tazara Pipeline Malawi- About 18,000 Malawi work in South Africa and earn Zembi nearly \$12 million in foreign copperbé exchange . . . approximately 95 percent of Malawi's petroleum comes from South Africa. Zambia LILONGWI LUSAKA Zambia- Nearly half of mineral exports shipped through South Africa Ndola refinery receives some of its A STATE OF THE PARTY OF feedstock from South Africa. 7.4 19671 HARARE 1. 四州 神 Mozambique: MIT TO Tion The Mozambique- South Africa provides 60 percent of Botswana electricity . . . more than 50,000 A trailer Mozambican miners work in South Africa. Botswana- All petroleum products come via South Africa . . . nearly 19,000 miners employed in South Africa . . . receipts from Southern African Customs Union account for nearly 20 percent of government Zimbabwe- About 90 percent of GABORONE Zimbabwe's export and import traffic uses South African transport system PRETORIA .. South Africa is Zimbabwe's largest trading partner, accounting MBABARE for about 20 percent of total. Swaziland TOTAL CHAMBER AS EN . :/L Swaziland- Southern African Customs Union accounts for more than 60 percent of MASER government revenues . . . more than 13,000 Swazi miners work in South Africa. proper security to a think Carry Age Lesotho- Remittances from 110,000 Basotho miners employed in South Africa account for about 50 percent of GNP . . . South Africa supplies 100 percent of Lesotho's electricity tions are in East .. over 95 percent of imports originate in South Africa and all exports either sold to or Maria Maria Santa Sa Maria Santa Sa transshipped through South Africa. Port Elizabeth and in 400 Kilon Boundary representate not necessarily author 200 708120 (A00045) 7-86

#### UNILATERAL U.S. ACTIONS

## Proposed Action: Expelling all South African Defense Attaches

Impact on South Africa: Would reinforce South Africa's already strong sense of diplomatic isolation. South Africa traditionally has tried to maximize its overseas presence and recently fought to maintain some form of attache representation following Argentina's expulsion of the South African attache. Pretoria also would stand to lose some intelligence reporting capability, although much of its attache intelligence undoubtedly is from open sources, and some capability for covert purchases of proscribed items. Since the recent expulsion of the senior South African attache, Pretoria's attache office in the US has an air attache, a naval attache, a sergeant, and two secretaries accredited to the US.

25X1

Impact on Region: Probably none. Many of its security liaisons have been suspended following South Africa's recent raids against ANC facilities in Botswana, Zimbabwe, and Zambia.

25X1

Impact on US and Allies: Pretoria probably would respond in kind, expelling the US attache contingent consisting of an army attache, a naval attache, an assistant air attache, four enlisted men, and one secretary. The US intelligence loss probably would exceed South Africa's because of the attache's valuable reporting on South African military affairs. For example, an attache report in early June on the call up of military reserves provided the US intelligence community one indicator of the coming state of emergency on 12 June. Moreover, the attache office in Pretoria operates a C-12 passenger plane in support of US Embassies throughout southern Africa.

25X1

Alternative Options: Expel commercial attache, science attache or nonessential Embassy personnel. In each case, the principal impact is diplomatic, signaling South African isolation, but the US would be hurt somewhat more than South Africa since our representation is usually larger and performs more valuable services—e.g. contacts that our commercial attache is making with black businessmen.

Proposed Action: Reducing South African official scientific personnel in the U.S.	25 <b>X</b> 1
Impact on South Africa: Little overall impact on South African access to	
technology as most transfers occur through commercial ties, especially	
direct foreign investment. Probably would increase cost of obtaining	
technology and restrict access to export licensing. Official scientific presence consists of a science and technology attache, who also serves as	
the official liasion for the government-supported Council for Scientific	
and Industrial Research (CSIR), and at least one CSIR representative at the	
South African Consulate in Beverley Hills. CSIR conducts fundamental	
scientific research from agricultural development to space research and has	
also applied for numerous U.S. export licensing requests, particularly in the semiconducter industry. South African scientists frequently attend	
academic seminars, training and research programs. South Africa probably	
would try to expand scientific ties with other countries, such as Israel	
where CSIR already has a bilateral exchange program.	25 <b>X</b> 1
Impact on on region: Probably none as Pretoria likely to retaliate	
directly. Minor economic sanctions against neighbors possible, however,	·
including withdrawal of technological advisory programs, and abandoning	
joint scientific reserach projects particularly in the area of vaccine and	25 <b>X</b> 1
disease research.	20/1
Impact on the U.S. and Allies: Minimal. South Africa would undoubtedly	
expel the U.S. scientific attache	• 25X1
	20/(1
Pretoria may close the door on movement toward	
signing IAEA nuclear safeguard agreements on its semi-commercial uranium	
enrichment plant, but these negotiations already rife with problems.	25 <b>X</b> 1
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

MULTILATERAL ACTIONS WITH ALLIES

(but Possible U.S. Unilateral Actions)

# Proposed\_Action: Revoke South Africa's Most Favored Nation Status

Impact on South Africa: Most South African goods would not be affected by its loss of Most Favored Nation status which would have effects similar to a selected boycott of South African products. Our analysis of past trade boycotts against South Africa and other countries suggests that such actions are most likely to be effective when the goods involved are easily traceable to country of origin and substitutes are readily available at similar prices from other sources of supply. This would affect South African exports such as coal, steel, agricultural products, and gold coins, but these goods account for less than 20 percent of total South African export earnings. By contrast, boycotting or, if Most Favored Nation status is revoked, imposing a higher price on South African gold bullion, diamonds, and platinum--which account for more than half of export earnings -- would be difficult to enforce as they are readily marketable, easily transshipped, and difficult to trace. An Embassy contact reports that in an effort to circumvent possible trade sanctions, some exporters of mineral commodities already are laundering forwarding documents to disguise South African origin.

25X1

Impact on Region: Pretoria might respond with minor economic sanctions against neighbors, including expulsion of some foreign workers, rail slowdowns, and the like. South African companies probably would try to use neighboring states to disguise country of origin with some minor benefits for those countries.

25X1

Impact on US and Allies: Pretoria probably would tighten foreign currency controls on the repatriation of dividends and profits by foreign companies, which would affect the book value of foreign assets in South Africa. Pretoria might threaten to impose limited embargoes on strategic mineral sales to the West, but may be deterred from taking action by fear of prompting tougher sanctions.

25X1

# Proposed Action: Terminate South African Airlines Landing Rights

Impact on South Africa: Would reinforce South Africa's already strong sense of diplomatic isolation. Pretoria clearly anticipates further sanctions on its civil aviation. The state-run South African Airlines (SAA) already is experiencing financial difficulties due to the recession, the falling rand, inflation, and increased costs, and sanctions would further cripple its sinking revenues. SAA already is developing elaborate schemes to counter the effects of lost landing rights in the West by developing alternatives in Zambia, Swaziland, and Mauritius. Obtaining agreement to a total ban on SAA landing rights in Europe will be difficult since negotiations reportedly are underway with Olympic Airways (Greece), Alitalia (Italy), Sabena (Belgium), KLM (The Wetherlands), and Uta (France) to increase the weekly number of flights to South Africa from 2 to 3, with the provision that they pay a special surcharge to SAA. Termination of landing rights by only a few countries would have only limited impact.

25X1

Impact on Region: Some countries in the region would stand to gain additional revenues due to increased international traffic through their facilities. However, South Africa is likely to retaliate for lost landing rights by restricting some rail transit in the region.

25X1

Impact on US and Allies: Pretoria would likely retaliate by denying foreign carrier landing rights in South Africa at a substantial loss of revenues to individual Western air carriers. For example, Prime Minister Thatcher recently stated that stopping direct flights to South Africa could cost British Airways about \$100 million in lost revenues. Loss of South African landing rights also would require significant re-routing of Western air traffic to the region. In addition, South Africa could retaliate by restricting access of some Western ships to ports and refueling facilities. More than 12,000 ships of numerous registries call annually in Durban, Cape Town, Port Elizabeth, and other South African ports annually.

25X1

Proposed Action: Visa restrictions on employees of South African Government and certain private citizens.	<b>25X</b> 1
Impact on South Africa: Would reinforce South Africa's already strong sense of diplomatic isolation by limiting highly valued diplomatic contact. Also restricts reporting capabilities of its diplomatic presence. Could sharpen divisions among white South Africans by cutting access to potential haven for whites, especially professionals and English-speakers, seeking to	
emigrate.	25 <b>X</b> 1
Impact on region: Probably little as Pretoria likely to respond directly. Pretoria could tighten border controls or introduce new regulations on visa requirements for individuals transiting through South Africa to neighboring	
countires.	25 <b>X</b> 1
Impact on U.S. and Allies: Almost certain retaliation in kind limiting U.S. and European official travel to South Africa. Pretoria is not a signatory to the Vienna Consular Covention, which provides guidance on diplomatic relations, and it already has bent rules by demanding that new U.S. Embassy positions be approved and the names of newly assigned personnel submitted before a diplomatic identity card is issued. This action could portend, according to the Embassy, limitations on the number of diplomatic personnel recognized by Pretoria, particularly the five new personnel assigned to the new USAID group. Loss of US and Allied diplomatic presence probably exceeds that of South Africa because of West's relatively limited access to events and people inside South Africa, particuarly in the wake of nationwide declaration of emergency. Pretoria probably also would refuse again to grant travel visas for government opposition leaders, such as Bishop Tutu.	
Alternative action: The U.S. and its Allies could demand descriptions of the duties of South African diplomatic personnel, as we do with Cuba and the Soviet Union, or limit renewals of visas for diplomatic personnel. Could be labelled as retaliation for South African moves and probably would lead to significantly reduced diplomatic presences, both in South Africa	
and in the West.	25X1
·	

25X1

25X1

25X1

Proposed Action: No New Investment For Non-Sullivan (non-EC Code) Signatories

Impact on South Africa: Would have little economic impact since we expect little new foreign investment in South Africa until the domestic unrest subsides, which is unlikely in the near term. The recent debt crisis—triggered by a loss of foreign confidence in South Africa—clearly indicates investor concern over domestic political and economic uncertainties. During 1985, foreign investment in South Africa fell by nearly \$4 billion as the result of disinvestment, loan repayment, and capital flight.

Estimated Foreign Investments in South Africa\*
(1985)

Country	Total	Direct	
United Kingdom	\$15 billion	\$4.5+ billion	
United States	\$13 billion	\$2.1 billion	
West Germany	<b>\$3</b> billion	\$1+ billion	
France	\$2 billion	\$1.6 billion	
Other	\$8 billion		
Total	\$42 billion	\$19 billion	

\* Includes direct investment, foreign-owned shares on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange, other types of equity investment and overseas debt.

Impact on Region: Pretoria probably would respond with minor economic sanctions against neighbors, including expulsion of some foreign workers, rail slowdowns, and the like. If part of comprehensive package, more severe punitive actions likely.

Impact on US and Allies: Pretoria probably would retaliate by tightening foreign currency controls on the repatriation of dividends and profits by foreign companies, which would affect the book value of foreign assets in South Africa. Pretoria might threaten to impose limited embargoes on strategic mineral sales to the West, but may be deterred from taking stiffer action by fear of prompting tougher sanctions.

Alternative Option: Ban All New Foreign Investment and Loams: We believe that many studies overstate South African economic vulnerability to foreign restrictions on new investment and loans, although widespread investmentand loan restrictions would reduce long-run growth potential. South African investment has not depended heavily on foreign funds: net capital inflows accountedfor only 9 percent of domestic fixed investment between 1963 and 1980, according to South African Government data. Since 1980, capital outflows have exceeded capital inflows by an average of \$400 million per year, and investment has been funded from internal corporate savings. A portion of these internally-generated funds have come from subsidiaries of foreign companies, but, to date, bans on new investment have not applied to reinvested profits.

25X1

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/04 : CIA-RDP90G01359R000300040	016-6 25 <b>X</b> 1
Proposed Action: No computer sales to South African Government	
Impact on South Africa: Relatively minor and short-lived for a US only cut-off of computer sales. Some government agencies and government-owned companies undoubtedly would be caught short	25X1
switching to an IBM architecture as their common standard, which allows them to use a wide variety of of IBM-compatible computers. Stopping sales only to government offices is probably unenforcable since South Africans undoubtedly would divert US and Western supplied computers from private use.	25X1
Impact on region: Pretoria probably would respond with minor economic sanctions against neighboring states, including expulsion of some foreign workers, rail slowdowns, and the like. If part of a comprehensive package, more severe, punitive actions likely.	25X1
Impact on US and Allies: Since a ban on sales only to government offices is probably unenforcable, acquisition by South African business firms probably would compensate for reduction in government demand, thus negating impact on US and Allies.	25X1
Alternative Option: Total Ban on all Computer Sales. A total ban would have a significant short-term impact, but could prove difficult to enforce. Producing computers and other sophisticated electronic devices is probably beyond existing South African technological capabilities, but most of the vital components not produced domestically are small enough to be carried in by suitcase, making an effective embargo difficult. Moreover, South Africa has made significant progress in semiconductor fabrication and we have little doubt that as in oil and arms procurement, Pretoria would employ clandestine means to acquire	
computer and electronic equipment.	25X1
related equipment were the single largest category of goods exported by the US to South Africa in 1985. A total ban on sales to South Africa would cost US computer manufacturers over \$100 million and its allies over \$500 million.	25 <b>X</b> ′
	201

Proposed Action: Extending arms embargo against military and police	
Impact on South Africa: Minimal. South Africa has been operating under a voluntary UN arms embargo since 1963, made mandatory in 1977. The result has been a resilient, thriving arms industry, which already meets most domestic defense and security requirements, with such exceptions as advanced aircraft, large naval vessels, and certain high	
technology electronics.	25X1
Impact on Region: Probably none.	25X
Impact on US and Allies: We believe some US allies already turn a blind eye to covert trade with South Africa. Enforcement would be difficult as country of origin labels on weapons and shipping manifests are easily altered. Moreover, dealing with dual use	
commodities has always been difficult.	25X1
Alternative Options: Further discourage purchase of South African-produced arms by third countries, such as Iraq, Taiwan, Chile, and Argentina. The UN General Assembly in 1984 passed a nonbinding resolution, requesting UN member nations not to buy military equipment produced in South Africa. Despite South Africa's aggressive efforts	 •
to market its arms, actual sales are still fairly limited and the loss of these markets would have only a limited impact in South Africa.	25X1
and the start and a manager in boddin and ica.	25X
	1

25X1

# Proposed Action: Stockpile strategic minerals

Impact on South Africa: Would reinforce South Africa's diplomatic isolation by signaling West's determination to reduce longstanding dependence on Pretoria. Any significant Western stockpiling effort, however, undoubtedly would boost the price of South African minerals—chromium, manganese, platinum—group metals, and vanadium—and add to foreign currency earnings, about 9 percent of which come from these minerals. Over the long haul, however, higher prices would trigger accelerated recycling and substitution efforts, and encourage competing producers to gear up production. As the main alternative supplier of these strategic minerals, the Soviet Union probably would profit.

Strategic Minerals: Estimated World Production, 1985 (Percent)

South Africa			USSR
Mineral	Share of Western Production	Share of World Production	Share of World Production
Chromium	<b>5</b> 3	31	21
Manganese	29	15	43
Platinum group	86	43	50
Vanadium	58	42	31

Impact on Region: Would benefit Zimbabwe as producer of about 5 percent of world chromium supplies, with negligible impact elsewhere.

Impact on US and Allies: Aside from the cost of stockpiling and higher price of minerals for current use, would have little impact except in unlikely case Pretoria imposed preemptive embargo on strategic mineral sales. Pretoria would fear that an embargo would lower export earnings and could trigger reprissals against South Africa's export-dependent economy. The US has substantial stockpiles or reserves of all four minerals, West Europe has limited stockpiles, but Japan is the most dependent, receiving 97 percent or more of each of the four minerals from South Africa.

Alternative Option: Promote Substitution: An announcement that the US and its allies will push the development of alternative materials and sources of supply could have an important pyschological effect on Pretoria since it would signal the West's determination to reduce a longstanding dependence on South Africa. The immediate economic impact, however, would be negligible.

25X1 ■

25X1

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/04 : CIA-RDP90G01359R000300040016-0	3 25 <b>X</b> 1
Proposed Action: Ban all exports to the South African Government except medicine.	
Impact on South Africa: A ban on all sales to government offices could have a significant impact but is probably unenforcable. South African firms have developed considerable skill in evading end-use certification and probably could divert needed items to the government and government-owned companies. Moreover, we estimate that between 1960 and 1979 Pretoria spent over \$2 billion building and maintaining nonmilitary strategic stockpiles and can weather even comprehensive import embargoes for several years. In addition, Pretoria has engaged in subtrefuge trade that has enabled it to circumvent all previous economic embargoes.	25X1
Impact on the region: Pretoria probably would respond with minor economic sanctions against neighboring states, including expulsion of some foreign workers, rail slowdowns, and the like. If part of a comprehensive package, more severe, punitive actions likely.	25 <b>X</b> 1
Impact on the US and Allies: Since a ban on sales only to the government is probably unenforcable, acquisition by South African business firms would compensate for a reduction in government demand, thus negating impact on US and Allies	25X1
· ·	
	Į.
	ı

POSITIVE ACTIONS WITH OR WITHOUT ALLIES

#### Proposed Action: Expand US AID programs

Impact on South Africa: Would beef up existing programs and increase US ties to affected individuals but, in our view, would be unlikely to win many points for the United States among blacks in general. Growing anti-American sentiment among South African blacks reflects the perception that the United States supports Pretoria's "neo-apartheid" policies that change the image but not the reality of racial oppression. Increased US assistance to blacks under the current system reinforces the radical view that the US government is tacitly aiding SAG efforts to coopt blacks. Moreover, a rapid infusion of US aid targeted at blacks could overwhelm the already extended managerial capacity of many black business and community groups. Pretoria's recent crackdown on antigovernment activity also raises problems for possible US ventures since many community groups are affiliated with the United Democratic Front, whose local level leaders have been a specific target for detentions. Finally, the SAG's toleration of specific programs targetted at blacks already has been strained: increasing them will be viewed as more "foreign meddling" in internal affairs and could well be rejected by Pretoria, especially if these measures are announced in conjunction with an economic sanctions package.

Impact on Re	egion: None, unless aid progr	rams of neighboring
countries ar	re reduced to accommodate inc	creased funding for
South Africa	a.	
	he United States and Its All:	
normal budge	et problems, increased US or	Allied assistance
targetted at	t blacks under the current s	ystem always risks
the percept:	ion, both domestically and in	nternationally, that
efforts to l	help blacks, without ending a	apartheid, amounts to
	than helping blacks adjust	

rule.

25**X**1

25X1

<u>Proposed Action</u>: Increase aid to rebuild townships damaged in antiapartheid unrest, particularly in the areas of housing, education, health, and business development

Impact on South Africa. Unrest-related destruction in the townships stems largely from attacks by radicals which probably would continue despite US efforts to rebuild schools and other government buildings. Rapid urban growth is straining the already inadequate health. housing, and educational facilites and probably can be alleviated only by longterm development programs, themselves possible only if unrest subsides, which is unlikely. US assistance in such areas as housing undoubtedly would be seen by many blacks as American collaboration with Pretoria to make apartheid more acceptable. Pretoria, increasingly about "outside meddling." is unlikely to permit any crash program that is not carefully meshed into its own program for blacks--which obviously undercuts the potential impact on South African blacks. Impact on Region: Probably some resentment from neighboring states where living conditions often fall short of those for urban blacks in South Africa.

2	5	X	1

25**X**1

Imapet on the US and Allies: Would require dramatic increases in funding to achieve even marginal results in the short-term. A coordinated effort by the US and its Allies, however, would likely allow greater funding and possibly defuse opposition from past critics of US projects.

25X1

Impact on South Africa: Strong demonstrations of Western support for neighboring black-ruled states would have significant psychological impacts on Pretoria, which also counts on its neighbors' dependency on South Africa to stave off sanctions. In the short term, however, significant progress toward reducing dependence on South Africa is unlikely. Moreover, any improvement in neighboring economies probably would raise their demand for South African imports. We estimate that South Africa earns about \$1.2 billion from all of its regional economic ties.

25X1

Impact on Region: Depends on nature and extent of assistance. Major infrastructural projects, such as upgrades of rail lines or port facilities, could take years to have any significant impact and could be derailed by continued regional turmoil. The Southern African Development Coordination Conference—formed in 1980 by nine southern and eastern African nations with the goal of reducing economic dependence on South Africa—has received donor contributions or commitments exceeding \$1 billion, but is further than ever from achieving its goals, in our view. Poor economic policy choices, drought, and low world prices for the region's major commodity exports have combined to boost economic dependence on South Africa, Western donors, and policy advice from organizations such as the INF.

25X1

Impact on US and Allies: An effective Marshall-type plan would involve a massive multi-year effort. For example, in the extreme case of a South African blockade against its neighbors, balance of payments assistance to compensate for the loss of all exports currently funneled through South African ports would total nearly \$2 billion per year.