Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/05 : CIA-RDP90G01353R001400110037-9

ROUTING SLIP

TO:			ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
	1	DCI				
	2	DDCI				
	3	EXDIR				
	4	D/ICS				
	5	DDI		X (w/	o report)
	6	DDA				
	7	DDO				
	8	DDS&T				
	9	Chm/NIC				
	10	GC				
	11	IG				
	12	Compt				
	13	D/OCA		X (w/	o report)
	14	D/PAO				
	15	D/PERS				
	16	D/Ex Staff				
	17	D/OSWR		X		
	18					
	19					
	20					
	21	ER		_X		
	22					
		SUSPENSE		Date		

Remarks		
TA ['] T		
	Executive Sec	retary
	<u>10 June 1</u>	988
	Date	

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/05 : CIA-RDP90G01353R001400110037-9

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/05 : CIA-RDP90G01353R001400110037-9

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT BOARD MORRIS K. UDALL, ARIZONA, CHAIRMAN TED STEVENS. ALASKA. VICE CHAIRMAN

ORRIN G. HATCH, UTAH CHARLES E. GRASSLEY, IOWA EDWARD M. KENNEDY, MASSACHUSETTS ERNEST F. HOLLINGS, SOUTH CAROLINA CLAIBORNE PELL, RHODE ISLAND

GEORGE E. BROWN, JR., CALIFORNIA JOHN D. DINGELL, MICHIGAN CLARENCE E. MILLER, OHIO DON SUNDQUIST, TENNESSEE AMO HOUGHTON, NEW YORK

JOHN H. GIBBONS

88-2168X

JOHN H. GIBBONS

Congress of the United States

OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

Washington, DC 20510-8025

June 7, 1938

The Honorable William H. Webster Director Central Intelligence Agency Washington, DC 20505

Dear Mr. Webster:

I am pleased to enclose OTA's Report on <u>SDI: Technology, Survivability, and Software.</u>

Public Law 99-190 (continuing appropriations) called for the Office of Technology Assessment to conduct a "...comprehensive classified study...together with an unclassified version...to determine the technological feasibility and implications, and the ability to survive and function despite a preemptive attack by an aggressor possessing comparable technology, of the Strategic Defense Initiative Program." In addition, the accompanying Conference Réport specified that..."This study shall include an analysis of the feasibility of meeting SDI computer software requirements."

This report responds to the above Congressional mandate. It puts SDI technologies in context by reporting the kinds of ballistic missile defense (BMD) system architectures that the SDI organization has been considering for "phased deployment." It reviews the status of the various SDI technologies and system components. It analyzes the feasibility of producing dependable software of the complexity that advanced BMD systems would require. Finally, it summarizes what is now known--and unknown--about the probable survivability of such systems against concerted enemy attacks of various kinds.

The study found that major uncertainties remain about the probable cost, effectiveness, and survivability of the kinds of BMD system--relying on kinetic rather than directed energy weapons--that might be deployable in the mid to late 1990s. In addition, several more years of research would be needed to determine whether it is feasible to construct the kinds of directed energy weapons contemplated by the SDIO as follow-ons to its "phase one" BMD systems. The survivability of both nearer-term and farther-term BMD systems would depend heavily on the outcome of a continuing competition in weapons and countermeasures between the United States and the Soviet Union. Finally, developing dependable software for advanced BMD will be a formidable challenge because of the difficulty of testing that software realistically.

I hope you will find the Report useful and informative.

Sincerely,

Tach 1

M. Gibbons

DCI EXEC REG

Enclosure

6-231-15