ROUTING							
то	: NAME	AND ADDRESS	3	DATE	INITIALS		
1	D/OCA						
2							
3							
4							
	ACTION	DIRECT RE	PLY	PREPA	RE REPLY		
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH		RECOM	MENDATION		
	COMMENT	OMMENT FILE		RETURN			
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATI	FORMATION		SIGNATURE		
REMARKS:							
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS, AND PHONE NO.					DATE		
ER 7E12 Hqs 26613							

	SECHEL			
OCA FILE_	(Security Classification)			
REGPT #_	Record			

CONTROL NO. _____ER SC5166X 86 ______

Handle Via

COMINT

Channels

Access to this document will be restricted to

those approved for the following specific activities:								
•								




Warning Notice
Intelligence Sources and Methods Involved
NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION

Unauthorized Disclosure Subject to Criminal Sanctions

SECRET
(Security Classification)

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/03/18 : CIA-RDP90B01390R000100080025-4



DISSEMINATION CONTROL ABBREVIATIONS

NOFORN-

Not Releasable to Foreign Nationals

NOCONTRACT-

Not Releasable to Contractors or

Contractor/Consultants

PROPIN-

Caution-Proprietary Information Involved

USIBONLY-

USIB Departments Only

ORCON-

Dissemination and Extraction of Information

Controlled by Originator

REL . . .-

This Information has been Authorized for

Release to . . .



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755

Serial: J-423-86 20 August 1986

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

SUBJECT: Possible Investigation of Former Commerce Official for Unauthorized Disclosure - INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

l. This responds to your letter of 6 August 1986	
	25X1
2. This view is based on the following considerations:	
	25X1
- There is no evidence that any SIGINT was disclosed to any unauthorized person.	
- The GAO reported to the Congress the results of their investigation of the apparent leak. During their investigation, cleared GAO people reviewed the SIGINT with us and concluded that there was no evidence of a SIGINT leak. In response to a specific question by the Chairman of the	
House Government Operations Subcommittee on Commerce, Consumer, and Monetary Affairs, the GAO said they had no evidence that Mr. Lenahan had leaked any information.	
	25 X 1
- The GAO referred the matter of possible conflict of interest on the part of Lenahan to the DoJ, but had no	

of interest on the part of Lenahan to the DoJ, but had no basis for referral of the unauthorized disclosure issue.

WILLIAM E. ODOM

Lieutanant General, USA

Director

ER SC5166X 86

Copy <u>9</u> of <u>10</u>

CLASSIFIED BY: DCI Letter, 6 August 1986

HANDLE VIA COMINT CHANNELS ONLY

SECRET