Approved For Release 2008/10/24: CIA-RDP90B01370R001601990038-5

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT BOARD MORRIS K. UDALL, ARIZ., CHAIRMAN

Congress of the United States OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

JOHN H. GIBBONS DIRECTOR

TED STEVENS, ALASKA, VICE CHAIRMAN

ORRING HATCH, UTAH CHARLES McC MATHIAS, JR., MD. EDWARD M. KENNEDY, MASS ERNEST F. HOLLINGS, S.C. CLAIBORNE PELL, R.I. GEORGE E. BROWN, Jr., CALIF. JOHN D DINGELL, MICH LARRY WINN. JR., KANS. CLARENCE E MILLER, OHIO COOPER EVANS, IOWA

JOHN H. GIBBONS

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

LEGISLATIVE LIAISON

November 28, 1984

STAT

Office of Legislative Liaison Room 7BO2 Central Intelligence Agency Washington, DC 20505

STAT

Dear

This letter responds to our telephone conversation today, in which you confirmed that "senior management" at CIA (specifically the DDI) had instructed you to reject our requests for documents, and to seek more information regarding our requests for meetings. These requests are contained in our letter of November 20, a copy of which is attached for reference.

I understand that before you feel able to schedule the requested meetings with CIA analysts regarding Soviet policies and attitudes towards ballistic missile defense, you want a more detailed statement of the questions we wish to discuss. We have drawn up such a list, and it is attached to this letter. course, we are quite aware that most of these questions cannot be answered with high confidence, and that some of them are difficult to answer at all. For our purposes, it is just as important to gauge the degree of uncertainty and gaps in information which confront American policy-makers as it is to understand what it is that we do know. It is for this reason, among others, that we want to talk at least briefly with some of your most senior analysts.

With regard to the documents we wish to read before these meetings, I am frankly astonished to encounter a response so inconsistent with our previous experiences with the Agency. policy has been that OTA staff can have access to appropriate CIA documents when these documents are pertinent to an ongoing OTA assessment approved by the Congressional Technology Assessment While the CIA has never permitted us to review intelligence products routinely in order to discover whether some new development might call for a technology assessment, we have never before been refused access when the specific need-to-know was for an existing OTA project. It has been my understanding, based on conversations with of your office at the time (1979-1980) when OTA staff first received codeword access, that while CIA would not necessarily give us access to the most

STAT

sensitive material, we could (given a bona fide need-to-know) review any of the documents that are widely available within the national security community, and that we might request access to information in the smaller intelligence compartments on a case-by-case basis. We have further understood that while CIA was willing to let OTA have copies of less sensitive collateral intelligence analyses, we would have to visit CIA headquarters to read anything codeword and/or sensitive.

On the basis of this understanding, OTA staff members have from time to time received access to NIEs and to documents of roughly equivalent sensitivity. For example, in the summer of 1980 Jeremy Kaplan and I reviewed all the volumes of NIE 11-3/8 in the course of OTA's study of MX Missile Basing. In the spring of 1981, I reviewed a contractor report on Soviet silo design and silo hardness in support of the same study. Approximately one year ago, Bruce Blair (the Project Director of our study of Strategic C<sup>3</sup>I) reviewed sensitive materials relating to Soviet command, control, communications and intelligence.

You mentioned on the telephone that it is CIA policy to restrict access to sensitive materials to those Congressional committees whose duties require such access. This is why our November 20 letter called attention to the fact that our study of ballistic missile defense technology is being carried out at the request of two Committees (House Armed Services and Senate Foreign Relations) whose staffs have routine access to sensitive intelligence materials.

Finally, I call to your attention a provision of Public Law 92-484, the "Technology Assessment Act of 1972," which established OTA. Section 6 (d) reads as follows: "The Office [of Technology Assessment] is authorized to secure directly from any executive department or agency information, suggestions, estimates, statistics, and technical assistance for the purpose of carrying out its functions under this Act. Each such executive department or agency shall furnish the information, suggestions, estimates, statistics, and technical assistance directly to the Office upon its request." You will note the inclusion of the word "estimates."

3

I hope that you will be able to act expeditiously on this request, in view of the fact that the requesting Committees have imposed rather tight time constraints upon us. Please call me (226-2020) if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Peter Sharfman Program Manager

International Security and Commerce Program

cc: Alex Gliksman (Senate Foreign Relations Committee staff)
Warren Nelson (House Armed Services Committee staff)

Attachments

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT BOARD MORRIS K. UDALL, ARIZ., CHAIRMAN TED STEVENS, ALASKA, VICE CHAIRMAN

· Congress of the United States OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

JOHN H. GIBBONS DIRECTOR

ORBIN G. MATCH, UTAN
CHARLES McC. MATHAS, Jr., MD.
EDWARD M. KENNEDY, MASS.
SMISST F. HOLLINGS, S.C.
CLAISONNE FELL, R.L

GOOPER SYMMS, IOWA

JOHN H. GIBBONS

November 20 1004

	november 20, 1984
STAT	
	OTTICE OT LEGISIATIVE Liaison
	Room 7B02
	Central Intelligence Agency
	Washington, DC 20505
STAT	Dear
	As you may recall from my letter of August 10, 1984, we at
	OTA are conducting a study of ballistic missile defense for the
	House Armed Services Committee and the Senate Foreign Relations
	Committee. Our visit to the CIA following that letter was extremely helpful, and we would like to make at least one more
	visit to read certain documents and to confer further with
	appropriate CIA personnel. I understand that Dr. Robert Rochlin
	telephoned you yesterday about our request.
STAT	We would like to meet informally with
STAT	and any other people you may
SIAI	suggest, to discuss Soviet policies and attitudes regarding
	ballistic missile defense, the ABM Treaty, and strategic arms
	control in general. There would be no need for formal briefings.
	We would be glad to meet with these people either separately or
STAT	together, to suit their convenience. invited us to
STAT	visit him, and nave also indicated
	willingness to meet with us, but no dates have been fixed as
	yet.)
	Before holding these discussions, we would like an
	opportunity to read appropriate documents, including the six
	listed below and any other available CIA reports which cover
	Soviet ballistic missile defense activities and policies. If
	possible, we would like to arrange to read the documents in the
	morning and then meet with CIA experts that afternoon.
	Approachle Contact Despenses to the WC Charles in Defense
	"Possible Soviet Responses to the US Strategic Defense Initiative (U)"
STAT	THITCIGCIAE (O)
01711	
	(12 pp.)

2

STAT		
STAT	"Soviet Directed-Energy Weapons: An Overview (U)"	
	(17 pp.)	
STAT		
STAT	(classified title)	
SIAI	SECRET, January 1984.	
	NIE 11-13-82	
	NIE 11-3/8-83	
STAT		
	Typescript memo on Soviet directed energy responses to the U.S. Strategic Defense Initiative (Fall 1984).	
	The five OTA staff members who would like to make this vis	it
STAT	Gerald L. Epstein	
	Thomas H. Karas	
	Robert S. Rochlin	
	Peter J. Sharfman	
	Alan H. Shaw	
	I believe SI/TK clearances for all of them are on file at CIA.	
	Our preferred dates for this visit are December 3, 6, or 1 Please phone Dr. Rochlin (226-2021) or me (226-2014) to let us	.0.

Thank you very much for your help.

know whether one of these dates would be satisfactory.

Sincerely,

Thomas H. Karas Project Director

