ARTICLE APPEARED ON PAGE 224

MONEY MAGAZINE December 1983

STAT

WITH GUILE AND GALL, THIS MAN GUILLED HAWAII

by Marlys Harris

n the evening of Friday, July 29, 1983, Ronald Ray Rewald, 41, chairman of the Honolulu investment firm of Bishop Baldwin Rewald Dillingham & Wong, checked into the Sheraton Hotel on Waikiki's tourist-packed main drag. At four o'clock the next afternoon, in a routine inspection of rooms, the assistant manager found Rewald lying in a pool of blood. Using a razor blade, he had slashed deep into his wrists and left forearm in an unsuccessful attempt to kill himself.

His near suicide followed a local television news report contending that Rewald was not the successful and upstanding businessman he claimed to be. This combination of events was one of the biggest bombs to hit Honolulu since Pearl Harbor. Several hundred people—celebrities, prominent businessmen and top-ranking military men, as well as middle-income families and even widows of modest means—had a total of \$12 million invested with the firm.

During the next week, investors frantically pounded at the locked doors of Rewald's impressive 26th-floor offices in Honolulu to demand their money. Letters from troubled clients on the mainland began pouring in too. But Bishop Baldwin's doors were locked for good. On Friday, Thomas E. Hayes, whom the court had appointed interim bankruptcy trustee, gave investors the bad news: he had found no evidence that Bishop Baldwin "owns or holds any assets... that begin to equal the amount of investments outstanding."

Ultimately, a federal court would assert that Ronald Rewald had operated a Ponzi scheme the time-worn scam in which a promoter pock-

ets investors' principal while stringing them along with interest paid out of funds obtained from newcomers to the deception. But Rewald's was a particularly stunning example, not so much in terms of the money involved as in his sheer gall and the depth of his victims' trustfulness.

How did so many people—often college educated, well connected, sophisticated—succumb to such a swindle? By taking Rewald on faith and his firm at face value. They asked no questions, or if they did, they heard the answers they wanted to hear. What's more—and it's hard to blame them for this-they put their confidence in people and institutions that were supposed to guard their interests: lawyers and financial planners, who had referred them to Bishop Baldwin, the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Internal Revenue Service and other government agencies. Many clients became unwitting accomplices, recommending Rewald to colleagues and acquaintances. Nobody ever checked Rewald's background-not even, it appears, the master checkers at the Central Intelligence Agency, with whom Ronald Rewald says he was deeply involved.

Rewald's firm had inspired trust. The names Bishop, Baldwin and Dillingham were those of kamaaina samilies-the 19th-century pioneers in Hawaii who had built large fortunes and whose names still enjoy considerable influence in the Islands. Rewald's firm claimed to have 24 "consultants," many of them lawyers, accountants and insurance specialists. In addition to the investment accounts that it managed, the firm offered individuals and businesses a wide range of services, from "Estate Coordination" to "Psychiatric Evaluation of Personnel." A network of Bishop Baldwin offices spanned the globe from London to Tahiti, where the company supposedly entertained clients on a double-hulled canoc.

CONTENTED

Some 300 trusting investors lost \$12 million in a stunning example of a Ponzi scheme. When the scandal broke, it erupted over the Islands like Kilauea.



Bishop Baldwin brochures described worldwide effices. In Tahiti, clients supposedly were entertained on a double-hulled cance.

There was comfort too in the firm's claims that the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insured investors' money for up to \$150,000. The accounts were also said to be tax deferred, and Rewald promised 20% annually—a generous return, although not so huge as to provoke utter disbelief.

Rewald himself radiated wealth and respectability. With his wife Nancy, 41, and a broad of five well-mannered teenagers, he lived in a mansion in Honolulu. He also owned a fleet of classic cars, two Hawaiian ranches and a string of polo ponies, including one named Gucci. His Marquette University diplomas in business and law bespoke legitimacy, and his mentions of a stint in professional football commanded admiration. He numbered among his friends Lieutenant Governor John Waihee, TV actor Jack Lord and other notables. News of his doings regularly made the society pages, and the business pages respectfully quoted his views on the international economy.

Alas, most was sham: The degrees, the football career, the business expertise. No ruling-class Bishops, Baldwins or Dillinghams were associated with the firm. Rewald just appropriated the names. Most of the worldwide offices were little more than mail drops. The FDIC protection was bogus too; the federal government covers only bank accounts and not for more than \$100,000 each.

Today Rewald resides at the Oahu Community Correctional Center, charged with two counts of first-degree theft. He has no money to pay his \$200,000 bail because the court declared his firm bankrupt and froze his personal assets. He has pleaded not guilty, and federal and state grand juries are conducting investigations. Also, last August the SEC filed a complaint against Rewald in federal court for civil securities fraud. More than 200 investors are lined up as creditors in bankruptcy court. A hundred or so have not stepped forward, partly out of embarrassment but also because they figure they have little to gain. Those who have filed claims don't know if they will ever see a nickel.

Anyone who cared to investigate Rewald's origins in Milwaukee could easily have learned that he was a resume puffer and a small-time cheat who had earned not degrees or football laurels but a conviction for business fraud. At South Division High School, his grades were mediocre. Except for his high school sweetheart, Nancy Imp, whom he married in 1962, Rewald was a loner. He joined the football and track teams, but according to Pat Jankiewicz,

who then coached both sports, "Ronnie had limited ability as an athlete." He rarely played in a game and did not win a varsity letter. Rewald never went to Marquette; he didn't even complete his graduation requirements at the Milwaukee Institute of Technology, the junior college that he attended in 1961-63.

Rewald filed an affidavit with the court that was censored heavily by the CIA before it was made public. Money has obtained a document that appears to be an early unsigned draft of the complete affidavit. In it, Rewald says that in his second year of college a dean introduced him and another student to a man who said he was from the CIA. The man asked the two students to spend some time at the University of Wisconsin at Madison spying on radical groups. Rewald says he was paid \$120 a week in-cash for about nine months' undercover work. The dean says he can't recall the incident.

He quit to take a job as a wholesale sporting goods salesman. It was during this time that Rewald's football exploits took their inflated form. He told people around Milwaukee that he was playing with the semipro Racine (Wis.) Raiders, but the team's records make no mention of him. He did try out for a few professional teams and was one of many in 1965 who signed a contract with the Cleveland Browns, but he never played in a game. Rewald says he spent a summer at the Kansas City Chiefs training camp, but the club does not keep records that would verify his claim.

fter working at his sales job for a year, Rewald acquired 15% of College Athletic Supply Co., a retail chain, using \$12,000 in borrowed funds. In 1972, he borrowed more and bought out his partner. Right away, claims a former colleague, "Ron started manipulating the books to make the company look more profitable." Rewald was two-faced in more areas than double-entry bookkeeping during this period. A pious convert to Catholicism, he started business meetings with prayers. On many afternoons, a former associate relates. Rewald had sexual encounters with various women—one a secretary with the CIA. Before returning to the office, he would stop at Marquette University's chapel for confession.

Cooking the books did not seem to gain Rewald any business advantage. In 1973 he ran short of money and began selling sporting goods store franchises. As the franchisor, Rewald was obliged to keep the stores stocked, but he didn't

CONTINUED

have enough capital to do so. Most of the franchises eventually went under.

Even as the small businesses he franchised were struggling, Rewald was proclaiming in an interview that appeared in a September 1974 edition of the Milwaukee Sentinel that his chain was thriving. The article described him as "a Marquette University business administration graduate." He also lied to the reporter in asserting that the loan to buy out his partner had been paid off and that the company regularly leased a plane and other equipment.

Rewald and a former employee were charged that year with criminal violations of Wisconsin's franchise investment law: failing to register with the state and making untrue and misleading statements to franchisees. The two men eventually pleaded guilty to a misdemeanor, and each paid \$2,500 to the franchisees in restitution. In 1975, College Athletic went bust. Soon afterward, Rewald filed for personal bankruptcy. Most of his creditors are their losses.

Bankruptcy did not humble Rewald. Only seven days after notice appeared in the newspaper of his inability to pay his debts, he ventured into a new area—financial consulting—establishing a company called CMI Investment Corp. When he applied for registration, the SEC asked about prior federal securities violations only, so Rewald didn't tell the agency of his run-in with state law. He did tell the SEC that he was a Marquette graduate. As it routinely does, the SEC approved his registration without checking the information.

With his SEC papers in hand, Rewald moved his family and consulting business to Hawaii in 1977. He also took with him \$300,000 to \$400,000 raised among Milwaukee friends to open a sporting goods store in the Islands. He told people there that he had earned his capital in a successful business in Wisconsin.

In 1978. Rewald set out to manufacture a brand-new image for CMI, putting out the word that the firm would specialize in investments in the Far East. Rewald and a new partner, Sunlin Wong-who is now cooperating with federal investigators-changed the company name to Bishop Baldwin Rewald Dillingham & Wong. They also had considered calling it Castle & Cooke, which is the name of the sugar and pineapple conglomerate that is to Honolulu what U.S. Steel is to Pittsburgh. In new brochures, the two men endowed Bishop Baldwin with a long history. One mailing said, in a flat lie, that the concern had been doing business in Hawaii for as long as four decades—"since territorial days." Rewald also claimed that the firm "served the past four national administrations."

he kamaaina names caught on quickly with investors who never questioned their authenticity. One woman who planned to leave her savings with the firm says she didn't inquire for fear of embarrassment. "I was chagrined that I had never heard of this company. I thought I was ignorant, and I was not going to admit it by asking about those names."

Revald's extravagant style of living seemed to back up his reputation as a brilliant investment counselor. He lived in a four-hedroom, five-bath waterfront house purchased in 1980 for \$950,000. Four bodyguards protected Rewald and his family. The children went to their music lessons in a chauffeurdriven limousine. In 1982, Rewald bought the foundering Hawaii Polo Club and began pouring money into it. He imposed a dress code and was able to give the club some snob appeal. There he hobnobbed with wealthy foreign businessmen-Enrique Zobel, the Philippine banking billionaire, the Sultan of Brunei and Indiri Gautama, an Indonesian industrialist. Some of them invested with Bishop Baldwin.

Clients were accepted "by referral only." Most people heard about Bishop Baldwin and its high-yielding accounts from trusted friends or business associates—who usually turned out to be consultants in Rewald's pay or friends of consultants. Ken Pickering, a tile layer, and his wife Jean invested \$25,000 two years ago at the suggestion of Bishop Baldwin consultant Richard Spiker. Spiker was a former bank branch manager and a longtime friend. Says Jean Pickering: "I made up my mind to invest on the basis of my friendship with Dick. If I hadn't known him and trusted him, I wouldn't have made this deal." Spiker's lawyer says his client took no commissions. None of the consultants has been charged with any wrongdoing.

Sizable accounts were brought in by consultants. One of them, William Jolly, maintained an active law practice on the so-called Big Island, Hawaii. Lawyer Mark Thomason, who is suing Jolly on behalf of a group of investors, asserts that "when Jolly had a client with a settlement, inheritance or trust, he often would direct the money to Rewald." Thomason estimates that Jolly's efforts brought about \$1 million into Bishop Baldwin coffers. Jolly could not be reached for comment.

CONTRACT

Rewald instructed consultants to explain that the firm handled only high rollers. That made small investors drool to get in, and Rewald was always ready to make an exception. Patricia Brug, 49, an Air Force pilot's widow who invested the \$75,000 in insurance she received at her husband's death, was told by a Bishop Baldwin consultant that the company normally didn't accept such small sums but that as a favor she would get Mrs. Brug an account. "That made me feel very fortunate," she says.

Far from being con men, some consultants were investors themselves. Chester Owen, 73, a retired real estate developer, met Rewald in Hawaii and was impressed. He invested \$200,000 with Bishop Baldwin—practically everything he had. When Owen decided to relocate to California last year, Rewald asked him to pass out Bishop Baldwin brochures to friends. On the strength of Owen's say-so, his neighbors in a Santa Maria trailer park, half a dozen relatives in Oregon and a score of their friends in the Northwest mailed in at least \$600,000.

Rewald displayed a talent for using those he'd sucked in to dupe others. Incredibly, 'the CIA appears to be one of his victim/recruiters. Rewald had resumed his association with the CIA before he left the mainland. He alleges that in 1977 a CIA man operating out of Chicago told him to volunteer his services to the Honolulu station chief. In the draft affidavit, Rewald asserts that he told the station chief that he, Rewald, had important international clients, although at that time he didn't. He says he was then asked to develop intelligence on China and other countries in Asia. Rewald also claims the station chief helped him transform his little consulting business, CMI, into the far-flung Bishop Baldwin and that the CIA used his offices as clearinghouses for messages to agents.

n reality, his activities on behalf of the CIA seem to have been much more limited. Because his office served as a message center, Rewald's organization was reimbursed by the CIA for some telephone and telex bills. However, Thomas Hayes, now employed as a consultant to the law firm handling the bankruptcy, says that since 1978 Rewald has collected no more than \$1,500 from the CIA.

Rewald seemed infatuated with the idea of being a spy. In Milwaukee, he had frequented a nightclub called the Safe House, where waitresses were abbreviated trench coats and the lights looked like hand grenades. Rewald planned to open a similar establishment in Honolulu, but nothing ever came of the project.

On several occasions, Rewald used his relationship with the CIA to cover questionable transactions. Clients would entrust securities to the firm, and Rewald sometimes had employees or relatives sell them. He explained that the securities came from highly placed foreigners who wished to shelter funds in the U.S. with the help of Bishop Baldwin and the CIA.

Along with his two forged Marquette diplomas, on his office wall hung a certificate identifying him as a member of the Association of Former Intelligence Officers. To credulous would-be investors, he displayed another bit of paraphernalia: a CIA identification card. A Honolulu psychologist invested \$18,000, partly because a Bishop Baldwin consultant said the firm was associated with the CIA. "The agency had suffered so many embarrassments in the past that I was sure they would screen a company they did business with to make certain that it was legitimate," says the psychologist.

Rewald snared at least two CIA men as investors. One was John Kindschi, a successor to the station chief who was his original contact. Kindschi joined Bishop Baldwin as a consultant when he retired. He put in \$185,000 of his own and \$112,000 for his mother Hazel in Wisconsin. Kindschi withdrew \$140,000 the day before Rewald's suicide attempt. Jack Rardin, who

later took over the station-chief post, invested at least \$1,700.

Rewald used associations with military brass in the same way he used his CIA ties—as evidence for investors that the firm had high government connections. Gen. Hunter Harris, retired former Air Force commander for the Pacific, was arrested twice for drunken driving in 1981 and 1982. Rewald helped get him into an alcoholism rehabilitation program and paid some of his debts (using investors' funds). Rewald also obtained power of attorney over \$75,000 worth of South Korean oil drilling leases owned by Harris, promising in return to provide him a steady income. Impressed by this seemingly selfless gesture, high-ranking officers in the Air Force and Army invested substantial sums with Rewald.

Some would-be clients did try to check out Bishop Baldwin. The customary sources of information—the Better Business Bureau and the Hawaii Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs—had nothing to report. Lawyer Thomason says that many of those he represents "called the usual run of investment advisers, and they simply wouldn't commit themselves. Investors took a 'not negative' response as an endorsement." An investigator used by General Harris told him that Rewald wasn't who he claimed to be. Confronted with the accusation,

DOMEN TO STATE OF

Rewald offered to return funds to Harris on the spot. That was enough to convince Harris of his probity.

Bishop Baldwin's financial statements—footnoted with the phrase "audited by Price Waterhouse" (they weren't)—received barely a glance from most investors. Says Chester Owen: "When I saw that the firm had \$1.23 billion in assets in 1981, what did I have to ask?" Yet the statements were amateurish fakes. The 1982 balance sheet was precisely the same as that of the previous year except that the last digit of each entry was dropped, reducing the firm's net worth by a factor of 10.

What projects was Bishop Baldwin investing in that could produce an annual yield of 20%, as promised? Most people didn't ask, but everybody who did got ! a different explanation. Patricia Brug thought the funds went for start-up construction loans. The psychologist was told that the money went for wrap-up construction loans. There was also talk of real estate developments on Oahu, the mainland and in Europe, steel companies in Asia, tea plantations in Indonesia and small businesses that were just about to become profitable. Rewald told his closest associates that the company would earn big commissions on CIA arms deals with India and Taiwan. When one woman inquired what her money would be going for, Rewald said that he wanted to hear clients' views on how their funds should be invested. She replied that she had heard that real estate in the Kona district on the Big Island was soaring in value. Indeed, Rewald readily affirmed, Bishop Baldwin already had substantial holdings there.

So far, bankruptcy consultant Hayes has found no proof that clients' money was invested in such ventures. It went in a single bank account into which Rewald dipped to pay his personal expenses. Not only did he draw \$20,000 a month in salary, but also, according to Hayes, in the two months before his attempted suicide, Rewald took from investors' funds about \$50,000 for mortgage payments on his house and ranches, \$9,000 to pay his kids' tutor and \$13,000 for relatives. A lot of money disappeared into Bishop Baldwin, the polo club and other unprofitable enterprises. Today Rewald's businesses and related assets, including General Harris'

Reporter associates: Laura Meyers, Lisa Redd, Nancy Stesin oil drilling investments, are worth \$1.5 million. After Bishop Baldwin funds pay the fees of Hayes and the bankruptcy lawyers, however, little will be left.

It was an anonymous phone call that led to the TV report that brought about Rewald's undoing. It wasn't the diligence of government watchdog agencies, which had received complaints but failed to press investigations. The SEC didn't make its move until a week after the TV report.. The Internal Revenue Service launched an inquiry, for reasons officials won't disclose, in November 1982, but it never went anywhere. When the IRS' agent in Honolulu demanded to see his and Bishop Baldwin's financial records, Rewald attempted to get Jack Rardin, who was then CIA station chief in Honolulu, to have the IRS "stand down." But in February the IRS again pushed, though not very hard, for records. Meanwhile, Bishop Baldwin was taking in more investment money than ever before—\$3.2 million in April and May and \$2.6 million in June and July.

The firm's collapse left investors with questions about government agencies. What's the point of requiring firms to register with the SEC if they don't have to disclose key information and if the information they do provide is never checked? Why did the IRS allow Rewald to stall its investigation for nine months, and would he still be stalling that agency if others hadn't caught up with him? If Rewald was only a bit player in the murky drama of cloak and dagger, should the CIA have allowed him to impersonate a major operative? Or if he was a fulfledged agent, why did the CIA let him

His relatives did not escape his anti-Midas touch. His wife has been looking for jobs cleaning houses.

operate a Ponzi scheme? To many observers, it looks as though the CIA was a party to a swindle of U.S. citizens.

Indeed, Rewald's victims have been left with questions and little else. One 46year-old nurse was planning to retire to part-time work on her Bishop Baldwin interest payments. The loss of her money has forced her to keep her job, take in a roommate and look for ways to moonlight. Patricia Brug, the Air Force widow who invested \$75,000, can no longer afford the cost of treatment for a son with a chronic bone disease. An elderly doctor was forced to sell his house and move to a smaller one. One Big Island retiree. cheated out of his nest egg, is working at a minimum-wage job. Even Rewald's relatives did not escape his anti-Midas touch. His wife's uncle, Nicholas Imp of Sherman Oaks, Calif., lost \$52,000. And Nancy Rewald, who has returned to Milwaukee, has been looking for jobs cleaning houses.

A few people stand to profit by Rewald's exploits. Consultant Hayes is currently getting \$9,000 a month. The case has been a windfall for Honolulu's legal community. And then there are the people on the sidelines trying to make money from Hawaii's morbid fascination with the case. For \$7.50 at Mr. Lucky's, a novelty shop in Honolulu's Chinatown, you can buy a T-shirt that proclaims: FREE REWALD. IT'S ONLY MONEY.

GONTINUED

Bishop, Baldwin, Newald, Billingham &

SECOND QUARTER REPORT -- 1983

Signs of success: a monsion and Rolls-Royce; a day at the polo club with Jean Ariyoshi, wife of the gover nor; and lavish offices

As we begin the second quarter of 1983, a gradual thawing and splintering of the economic freeze is becoming apparent. This change emanates in part from OPEC's monomic freeze is becoming apparent. This change emanates in part from OPEC's economic freeze is becoming apparent. This change emanates in part from OPEC's monomic freeze is becoming apparent. The results are monomic freeze in the second quarter of 1983, a gradual thawing and splintering of the economic freeze is becoming apparent. This change emanates in part from OPEC's monomic freeze is becoming apparent. This change emanates in part from OPEC's monomic freeze is becoming apparent. This change emanates in part from OPEC's monomic freeze is becoming apparent. This change emanates in part from OPEC's monomic freeze is becoming apparent. This change emanates in part from OPEC's monomic freeze is becoming apparent. This change emanates in part from OPEC's monomic freeze is becoming apparent. This change emanates in part from OPEC's monomic freeze is becoming apparent. This change emanates in part from OPEC's monomic freeze is becoming apparent. The reserve is monetary expansion in the part from OPEC's monomic freeze is becoming apparent. The reserve is monetary expansion in the part freeze is the part freeze





With the Danking purchases. interest rates, imprudent percent interest ar progress

hank loan policies, prophyling campaign oping much below current levels. on to levels ill spur consumer sper

"Swivel Hips" REWALD, RONALD Football; Track; Cardinal Men's Club.



Rewald (No. 84) falsely claimed a pro football career. His high school yearbook listed him as on the team, but he rarely played. The diploma is forged.

MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY

RONALD RAY REWALD

Clayson

