

S14260

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD - SENATE

October 11, 1984

M-7
13

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY PERSONNEL
MANAGEMENT IMPROVEMENTS

Section 501 of the Senate committee bill contained new authorities relating to civilian personnel management at the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA).

The House bill contained no corresponding provision.

Section 501 of the Senate-House compromise contains the Senate committee bill provision with modification of the special DIA personnel termination authority and elimination of the DIA exemption from disclosure laws.

Section 501 is intended to improve the management of civilian personnel within the Defense Intelligence Agency. This would be accomplished by amending chapter 83 of title 10, United States Code, to exempt DIA from civil service classification provisions, authorize compensation for DIA civilian personnel, and authorize the Secretary of Defense, during fiscal years 1985 and 1986, to terminate the employment of DIA civilian personnel when he considers such action to be in the interests of the United States and he determines that other relevant provisions of law cannot be invoked in a manner consistent with the national security.

The Defense Intelligence Agency does not have flexibility in personnel matters similar to that currently available to the CIA and NSA under applicable statutes. As a consequence, DIA has been significantly handicapped in its ability to recruit and reward outstanding analysts and other intelligence specialists and otherwise to operate an equally effective civilian personnel system. If the benefits of "competitive analysis," a concept which both the Administration and the intelligence committees strongly sup-

13

13

port, are to be realized. It is imperative that DIA have analytical capabilities comparable to its sister agencies.

The additions to chapter 83 of title 10 contained in section 501 will enhance DIA's capabilities to attract and retain high quality personnel in competition with other intelligence agencies. Classification authority would be granted to permit establishment of compensation based on individual capabilities and to ensure timely assignment and utilization of high quality personnel to meet changing intelligence requirements. DIA also will achieve maximum utilization of authorized manpower through enhanced and simplified authority for termination of employees. The additional costs resulting from these provisions are estimated to be relatively small and will be absorbed within authorized appropriation levels. It is anticipated that such costs will be offset by efficiencies to be realized by relief from current cumbersome procedures.

Section 501 amends chapter 83 of title 10, United States Code, by adding a new section 1604 on "Civilian Personnel Management." Chapter 83 of title 10 is entitled "Defense Intelligence Agency Civilian Personnel" and contains the provisions on the Defense Intelligence Senior Executive Service and DIA merit pay system enacted as Title VII of the Intelligence Authorization Act for fiscal year 1982.

Subsections (a) through (d) of the new section 1604 would authorize the Secretary of Defense to establish a flexible personnel management system for those personnel not in the Defense Intelligence Senior Executive Service. Salaries and pay would be fixed in relation to the General Schedule and Wage Grade (prevailing rate) system. A flexible classification system would be established which would incorporate the concepts of both position classification and rank in the person. The system would be structured to permit assignment, movement, and career development without cumbersome classification and related administrative procedures. These flexibilities would permit DIA to rapidly deploy and focus its best talent to vital intelligence areas.

Subsection (a) authorizes the Secretary of Defense to establish civilian positions in the Defense Intelligence Agency and to appoint individuals to such positions, without regard to civil service requirements.

Subsection (b) authorizes the Secretary of Defense to fix pay for positions established under subsection (a) in relation to the General Schedule (GS) rates.

Subsection (c) authorizes a prevailing rate system of basic compensation for positions in or under which the Agency may employ individuals in a trade, craft, or manual labor occupation.

Subsection (d) authorizes additional compensation for employees stationed outside the continental United States or in Alaska at rates not to exceed those authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5941(a). Such allowance shall be based on living costs substantially higher than in the District of Columbia or conditions of environment which differ from those in the continental United States.

Subsection (e) of new section 1604 authorizes the Secretary of Defense, during fiscal years 1985 and 1986, to terminate employment of any civilian officer or employee of DIA whenever he considers that action to be in the interests of the United States and he determines that the procedures prescribed in other provisions of law that authorize the termination of such employment cannot be invoked in a manner consistent with the national security. Termination authority may be delegated only to the Deputy Secretary of Defense, the Director of DIA, or both. Termination action would

be appealable to the Secretary of Defense whose decision would be final.

This provision is designed to facilitate, while preserving basic due process, the removal of personnel whose performance or security suitability is demonstrably unsatisfactory or unacceptable, or who are otherwise impairing the effective performance of the Defense Intelligence Agency's mission. The intelligence environment requires security suitability and unusually high standards of performance to ensure the accuracy and reliability of intelligence products. Tolerance of unsatisfactory performance or security suitability is necessarily low. The flexibility granted by this provision would relieve DIA from the external public review procedures to which preference eligible members would otherwise be entitled upon appeal to the Merit Systems Protection Board. The DIA system, however, would provide strict safeguards to assure internal appeal to the Secretary of Defense thereby ensuring equity and consistency. It is expected that the authority conferred by subsection (e) will be used with great care, and not for routine administrative convenience. The Secretary of Defense is required to notify the intelligence committees of each instance in which the termination authority is exercised.

The Committee amendment specifies that the termination authority shall expire on September 30, 1986. This limited duration is designed to provide the Congress with an opportunity to assess the use made of the authority during a two year period in order that an informed decision can be made as to whether the authority should be made permanent.

TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS RELATING TO DIA PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT PROVISIONS

Section 502 of the Senate committee bill made technical and conforming amendments to title 5, United States Code corresponding to the changes made by the DIA personnel management provisions contained in Section 501.

Section 502 of the Senate-House compromise bill contains the same technical and conforming provisions as the Senate committee bill contained.

**CHAPTER 83—DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL**

M-7
143

Sec.
1604. Civilian personnel management.

Sec.
1605. Benefits for certain employees of the
Defense Intelligence Agency.

1985 Amendment. Pub.L. 99-145, Title XIII,
§ 1302(a)(2), Nov. 8, 1985, 99 Stat. 737, added
item 1605, which was formerly set out as item 192
within chapter 8 of this title.

1984 Amendment. Pub.L. 98-618, Title V,
§ 501(b), Nov. 8, 1984, 98 Stat. 3302, added item
1604.

§ 1604. Civilian personnel management

(a) The Secretary of Defense may, without regard to the provisions of any other law relating to the number, classification, or compensation of employees—

(1) establish such positions for civilian officers and employees in the Defense Intelligence Agency as may be necessary to carry out the functions of such Agency;

(2) appoint individuals to such positions; and

(3) fix the compensation of such individuals for service in such positions.

(b) The Secretary of Defense shall, subject to subsection (c), fix the rates of basic pay for positions established under subsection (a) in relation to the rates of basic pay provided in the General Schedule under section 5332 of title 5 for positions subject to such Schedule which have corresponding levels of duties and responsibilities. Except in the case of an officer or employee of the Defense Intelligence Agency serving as a member of the Defense Intelligence Senior Executive Service, no officer or employee of the Defense Intelligence Agency may be paid basic compensation at a rate in excess of the highest rate of basic pay contained in such General Schedule.

(c) The Secretary of Defense is authorized, consistent with section 5341 of title 5, to adopt such provisions of such title as provide for prevailing rate systems of basic pay and to apply such provisions to positions in or under which the Defense Intelligence Agency may employ individuals described by section 5342(a)(2)(A) of such title.

(d) In addition to the basic compensation payable under subsection (b), officers and employees of the Defense Intelligence Agency who are citizens or nationals of the United States and who are stationed outside the continental United States or in Alaska may be paid compensation, in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, not in excess of an allowance authorized to be paid by section 5941(a) of title 5 for employees whose rates of basic pay are fixed by statute. Such allowances shall be based on—

(1) living costs substantially higher than in the District of Columbia;

(2) conditions of environment which differ substantially from conditions of environment in the continental United States and warrant an allowance as a recruitment incentive; or

(3) both of the factors described in paragraphs (1) and (2).

(e)(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Defense may, during fiscal years 1986 and 1987, terminate the employment of any civilian officer or employee of the Defense Intelligence Agency whenever he considers that action to be in the interests of the United States and he determines that the procedures prescribed in other provisions of law that authorize the termination of the employment of such officer or employee cannot be invoked in a manner consistent with the national security. The decisions of the Secretary under this paragraph are final and may not be appealed or reviewed outside the Department of Defense. The Secretary of Defense shall promptly notify the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate whenever this termination authority is exercised.

(2) Any termination of employment under this subsection shall not affect the right of the officer or employee involved to seek or accept employment with any other department or agency of the United States if he is declared eligible for such employment by the Director of the Office of Personnel Management.

(3) The Secretary of Defense may delegate authority under this subsection only to the Deputy Secretary of Defense or the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency or both. An action to terminate any civilian officer or employee by either such officer shall be appealable to the Secretary of Defense.

(Added Pub.L. 98-618, Title V, § 501(a), Nov. 8, 1984, 98 Stat. 3301, and amended Pub.L. 99-661, Title V, § 502, Oct. 27, 1986, 100 Stat. 3198.)

Legislative History. For legislative history and purpose of Pub.L. 99-569, see 1986 U.S. Code Cong. and Adm. News, p. 5327.

§ 1605. Benefits for certain employees of the Defense Intelligence Agency

(a) The Secretary of Defense may provide to civilian personnel of the Department of Defense who are United States nationals, who are assigned to Defense Attaché Offices and Defense Intelligence Agency Liaison Offices outside the United States, and who are designated by the Secretary of Defense for the purposes of this subsection, allowances and benefits comparable to those provided by the Secretary of State to officers and employees of the Foreign Service under paragraphs (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), and (13) of section 901 and sections 705 and 903 of the Foreign Service Act of 1980 (22 U.S.C. 4081(2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), and (13), 4025, 4083) and under section 5924(4) of title 5. The Secretary may also provide to any such civilian personnel who are subject to chapter 84 of title 5, special retirement accrual benefits in the same manner provided for certain officers and employees of the Central Intelligence Agency in section 303 of the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement Act of 1964 for Certain Employees (50 U.S.C. 403 note).

(b) The authority of the Secretary of Defense to make payments under subsection (a) is effective for any fiscal year only to the extent that appropriated funds are available for such purpose.

(c) Regulations issued pursuant to subsection (a) shall be submitted to the Committee on Armed Services and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Armed Services and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate before such regulations take effect.

(Added Pub.L. 98-215, Title V, § 501(a), Dec. 9, 1983, 97 Stat. 1478, § 192, redesignated § 1605, and amended Pub.L. 99-145, Title XIII, § 1302(a)(1), Nov. 8, 1985, 99 Stat. 737; Pub.L. 99-335, Title V, § 507(b), June 6, 1986, 100 Stat. 628; Pub.L. 99-569, Title V, § 501, Nov. 27, 1986, 100 Stat. 3198.)

References in Text. Sections 901(2), (3), (4), (6), (7), (8), and (13), 705, and 903 of the Foreign Service Act of 1980 [Pub.L. 96-465], referred to in subsec. (a), are set out, respectively, as sections 4081(2), (3), (4), (6), (7), (8), and (13), 4025 and 4083 of Title 22, Foreign Relations and Intercourse.

Section 303 of the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement Act of 1964 for Certain Employees, referred to in subsec. (a), is section 303 of Pub.L. 88-643, Oct. 13, 1964, 78 Stat. 1043, which is set out as a note under section 403 of Title 50, War and National Defense.

1986 Amendment. Subsec. (a). Pub.L. 99-335 inserted provision authorizing the Secretary to provide to any civilian personnel subject to chapter 84 of Title 5 special accrual benefits in the same manner provided for certain officers and employees of the Central Intelligence Agency in section 303 of the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement Act of 1964 for Certain Employees.

1985 Amendment. Subsec. (a). Pub.L. 99-145, § 1302(a)(1)(A), (B), substituted "4025, 4083" for "4081(2), (3), (4), (6), (7), (8), and (13) of title 22

references to the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency; military personnel, section 2308 of the Foreign Service Act of 1980, and 22 U.S.C. 4025.

Subsec. (b). Pub.L. 99-145, § 1302(a)(1)(A), struck out provisions relating to authority of the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency.

Subsec. (c). Pub.L. 99-145, § 1302(a)(1)(C), struck out subsec. (c), relating to issuance of regulations pursuant to subsec. (a) of this section. Former subsec. (d) was redesignated (c).

Subsec. (d). Pub.L. 99-145, § 1302(a)(1)(C), redesignated subsec. (d) as (c).

Effective Date of 1986 Amendment. Amendment by Pub.L. 99-335 effective Jan. 1, 1987, see section 702(a) of Pub.L. 99-335, set out as a note under 8401 of Title 5, Government Organization and Employees.

Legislative History. For legislative history and purpose of Pub.L. 99-145, see 1985 U.S. Code Cong. and Adm. News, p. 472. See, also, Pub.L. 99-569, 1986 U.S. Code Cong. and Adm. News,

CYS proven
KTT



THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

M-7
15

16 January 1986

MEMORANDUM FOR DIRECTOR, DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

SUBJECT: Delegation of Authority to Implement Section 501(a)
of Public Law 98-618

Pursuant to Section 133(d) of title 10, United States Code, I hereby delegate to the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency the authority to undertake all actions necessary and appropriate to implement the provisions of Section 501(a) of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1985, PL 98-618, as codified in Section 1604 of title 10, United States Code and as outlined in the November 1985 DIA Plan for Implementation. Such implementation with respect to Paragraph 13.e. of Section B of DIA Regulation 22-7 should provide for some form of grievance or appeal rights within DIA for suspensions of 14 days or less at a level higher than the deciding official. Specific policies, directives or regulations prepared in connection with the DIA Plan should be forwarded for review to the Assistant Secretary of Defense (FM&P) prior to implementation.

William H. Taft, IV
Deputy Secretary of Defense

25000

Enclosure 15

**TITLE VI—DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL
IMPROVEMENTS**

M-7
16

DIA CIVILIAN UNIFORM ALLOWANCE

SEC. 601. (a) COMPARABILITY WITH STATE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEES.—Chapter 83 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting at the end thereof the following new section:

“§ 1606. Uniform allowance: civilian employees

“(a) The Secretary of Defense may pay an allowance under this section to any civilian employee of the Defense Intelligence Agency who—

“(1) is assigned to a Defense Attaché Office outside the United States; and

“(2) is required by regulation to wear a prescribed uniform in performance of official duties.

“(b) Notwithstanding section 5901(a) of title 5, the amount of any such allowance shall be the greater of the following:

“(1) The amount provided for employees of the Department of State assigned to positions outside the United States and required by regulation to wear a prescribed uniform in performance of official duties.

“(2) \$360 per year.

“(c) An allowance paid under this section shall be treated in the same manner as is provided in subsection (c) of section 5901 of title 5 for an allowance paid under that section.”

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new item:

“1606. Uniform allowance: civilian employees.”

**EXTENSION OF SPECIAL TERMINATION AUTHORITY FOR CERTAIN DOD
INTELLIGENCE EMPLOYEES**

SEC. 602. (a) DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.—Section 1604(e)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out “during fiscal years 1986 and 1987” and inserting in lieu thereof “during fiscal years 1988 and 1989”.

(b) **MILITARY DEPARTMENTS.**—Section 1590(e)(1) of such title is amended by striking out “during fiscal year 1987” and inserting in lieu thereof “during fiscal years 1988 and 1989”.

**REQUIREMENTS TO DISCLOSE ORGANIZATIONAL AND PERSONAL DATA:
DIA EXEMPTION**

SEC. 603. (a) Chapter 83 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting the following new section:

“§ 1607. Exemption from disclosing organizational and personal data

“Notwithstanding the provisions of any other law, and except as provided herein and as required by section 552 or section 552a of title 5, United States Code, the Defense Intelligence Agency shall not be required to disclose the organization or any function of the Defense Intelligence Agency or the names, official titles, occupational series, grades, salaries or numbers of personnel employed by such Agency. This section shall not apply to information provided

M-9
17

HOUSE REPORT NO. 100-93 (I)

TITLE V—DOD INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL IMPROVEMENTS

Section 501: DIA civilian uniform allowance adjustment

Section 501 would authorize the Secretary of Defense to pay \$360 annually for the purchase of uniforms for Defense Intelligence Agency civilian personnel overseas employed by Defense Attaché Offices who are required to wear uniforms during the course of their employment. At present all such employees are foreign nationals who serve as chauffeurs assigned to Defense Attaché Offices. In situations where Department of State regulations permit a uniform allowance greater than \$360 annually, the Secretary could provide covered DIA civilians a uniform allowance of like amount.

Section 502: One-year extension of DIA special termination authority

Section 502 of the bill extends for one more fiscal year the extraordinary authority of the Secretary of Defense to terminate a Defense Intelligence Agency civilian employee without regard to normal federal personnel termination procedures.

Section 501 of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1985 (P.L. 98-618) enacted Section 1604 of title 10, United States Code, relating to Defense Intelligence Agency civilian personnel management. Subsection 1604(e) granted the Secretary of Defense authority during fiscal years 1985 and 1986 to terminate the employment of any DIA civilian employee whenever he considered it to be in the interest of the United States and he determined that normally applicable federal employment termination procedures could not be invoked in a manner consistent with national security. Section 502 of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1987 extended that authority for fiscal year 1987. Section 502 of the bill would extend this authority for fiscal year 1988, a one-year extension instead of the permanent extension requested by the executive branch.

The DIA authority granted by Section 1604(e) has not been exercised since implemented by DIA regulations in 1986. The committee therefore has no basis on which to evaluate the use of the authority. The committee has concluded that extension of the termination authority is appropriate, but has decided not to consider extending this authority permanently until the Commission created by Section 601 of the bill has submitted its report.

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY
HOUSE CONF. REP. NO. 100-432

[page 20]

TITLE VI—DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL

SECTION 601

Section 601 of the conference report would authorize the Secretary of Defense to pay a civilian uniform allowance to DIA civilian personnel overseas employed by the Defense Attache Offices who are required to wear uniforms during the course of their employment.

Section 601 of the conference report is identical to Section 501 of the House bill and substantially the same as Section 501 of the Senate amendment.

SECTION 602

Section 602 of the conference report would extend for two fiscal years the extraordinary authority of the Secretary of Defense to terminate a Defense Intelligence Agency civilian employee without regard to normal Federal personnel termination procedures.

Section 602 is identical to Section 502 of the Senate amendment. Section 502 of the House bill would have extended this authority only for fiscal year 1988.

The conferees have agreed to consider permanent extension of DIA termination authority based on the findings and recommendations of the study on intelligence personnel systems to be performed by the National Academy of Public Administration pursuant to Section 701 of the conference report.

SECTION 603

Section 603 of the conference report would exempt the Defense Intelligence Agency from any requirement to disclose information on its organization, functions, or personnel, except as required by the Freedom of Information Act or the Privacy Act.

Section 603 of the conference report is identical to Section 505 of the Senate amendment except that the exemption from disclosure would not apply to the requirements for record searches and disclosure of the Freedom of Information Act and the Privacy Act. The House bill contained no comparable provision.

The purpose of Section 603 is to permit DIA to avoid various executive branch personnel reporting requirements to which CIA and NSA are not required to respond. It will permit the DIA to protect classified personnel data from inappropriate dissemination throughout the executive branch. At present, the DIA is required to submit personnel data which is classified but which receiving executive branch offices cannot securely handle or use. The conferees determined that it was appropriate to remove the requirement for classified submissions by DIA in connection with such internal executive branch reports, but unnecessary to exempt DIA from either the Freedom of Information Act or the Privacy Act, since compliance with those statutes has not been a problem for DIA and because both statutes provide adequate protection for classified information.

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2014/04/07 :
CIA-RDP90-00530R000300530014-1

Declassified

STAT

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2014/04/07 :
CIA-RDP90-00530R000300530014-1

S 14258

TITLE V—DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT IMPROVEMENTS

CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

SEC. 501. (a) Chapter 83 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new section:

"§ 1604. Civilian personnel management

"(a) The Secretary of Defense may, without regard to the provisions of any other law relating to the number, classification, or compensation of employees—

"(1) establish such positions for civilian officers and employees in the Defense Intelligence Agency as may be necessary to carry out the functions of such Agency;

"(2) appoint individuals to such positions; and

"(3) fix the compensation of such individuals for service in such positions.

"(b) The Secretary of Defense shall, subject to subsection (c), fix the rates of basic pay for positions established under subsection (a) in relation to the rates of basic pay provided in the General Schedule under section 5332 of title 5 for positions subject to such Schedule which have corresponding levels of duties and responsibilities. Except in the case of an officer or employee of the Defense Intelligence Agency serving as a member of the Defense Intelligence Senior Executive Service, no officer or employee of the Defense Intelligence Agency may be paid basic compensation at a rate in excess of the highest rate of basic pay contained in such General Schedule.

"(c) The Secretary of Defense is authorized, consistent with section 5341 of title 5, to adopt such provisions of such title as provide for prevailing rate systems of basic pay and to apply such provisions to positions in or under which the Defense Intelligence Agency may employ individuals described by section 5342(a)(2)(A) of such title.

"(d) In addition to the basic compensation payable under subsection (b), officers and employees of the Defense Intelligence Agency who are citizens or nationals of the United States and who are stationed outside the continental United States or in Alaska may be paid compensation, in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, not in excess of an allowance authorized to be paid by section 5941(a) of title 5 for employees whose rates of basic pay are fixed by statute. Such allowances shall be based on—

"(1) living costs substantially higher than in the District of Columbia;

"(2) conditions of environment which differ substantially from conditions of environment in the continental United States and warrant an allowance as a recruitment incentive; or

"(3) both of the factors described in paragraphs (1) and (2).

"(e)(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Defense may, during fiscal years 1985 and 1986, terminate the employment of any civilian officer or employee of the Defense Intelligence Agency whenever he considers that action to be in the interests of the United States and he determines that the procedures prescribed in other provisions of law that authorize the termination of the employment of such officer or employee cannot be invoked in a manner consistent with the national security. The decisions of the Secretary under this paragraph are final and may not be appealed or reviewed outside the Department of Defense. The Secretary of Defense shall promptly notify the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate

whenever this termination authority is exercised.

"(2) Any termination of employment under this subsection shall not affect the right of the officer or employee involved to seek or accept employment with any other department or agency of the United States if he is declared eligible for such employment by the Director of the Office of Personnel Management.

"(3) The Secretary of Defense may delegate authority under this subsection only to the Deputy Secretary of Defense or the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency or both. An action to terminate any civilian officer or employee by either such officer shall be appealable to the Secretary of Defense.

(b) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 83 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding after the item relating to section 1603 the following new item: "1604. Civilian personnel management."

TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS

SEC. 502. (a) Section 5102(a)(1) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out "or" at the end of clause (viii);

(2) by inserting "or" at the end of clause (ix); and

(3) by inserting after clause (ix) the following new clause:

"(x) the Defense Intelligence Agency, Department of Defense."

(b) Section 5342(a)(1) of such title is amended—

(1) by striking out "or" at the end of subparagraph (I);

(2) by inserting "or" at the end of subparagraph (J); and

(3) by inserting after subparagraph (J) the following new subparagraph:

"(K) the Defense Intelligence Agency, Department of Defense."

TITLE VI—COUNTERINTELLIGENCE AND OFFICIAL REPRESENTATION POLICY TOWARD CERTAIN AGENTS OF FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS

SEC. 601. (a) It is the sense of the Congress that the numbers, status, privileges and immunities, travel, accommodations, and facilities within the United States of official representatives to the United States of any foreign government that engages in intelligence activities within the United States harmful to the national security of the United States should not exceed the respective numbers, status, privileges and immunities, travel accommodations, and facilities within such country of official representatives of the United States to such country.

(b) Beginning one year after the date of enactment of this section, and at intervals of one year thereafter, the President shall prepare and transmit to the Committee on Foreign Relations and Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs and Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives a report on the numbers, status, privileges and immunities, travel, accommodations, and facilities within the United States of official representatives to the United States of any foreign government that engages in intelligence activities within the United States harmful to the national security of the United States and the respective numbers, status, privileges and immunities, travel, accommodations, and facilities within such country of official representatives of the United States to such country, and any action which may have been taken with respect thereto.

(c) Section 203 of the State Department Basic Authorities Act of 1956 (22 U.S.C. 4263) is amended—

with sentence; and

(2) by amending subsection (b) to read as follows:

"(b) There shall also be a Deputy Director of the Office of Foreign Missions. Either the Director or the Deputy Director of such Office shall be an individual who has served in the United States Foreign Service, while the other of the two shall be an individual who has served in the United States Intelligence Community."

(d) The amendments made by subsection (c) shall apply only with respect to any appointment of a Director or Deputy Director of the Office of Foreign Missions, as the case may be, after the date of enactment of this section.

TITLE VII—GENERAL PROVISIONS

AUTHORITY FOR THE CONDUCT OF INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

SEC. 701. The authorization of appropriations by this Act shall not be deemed to constitute authority for the conduct of any intelligence activity which is not otherwise authorized by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

INCREASES IN EMPLOYEE BENEFITS AUTHORIZED BY LAW

SEC. 702. Appropriations authorized by this Act for salary, pay, retirement, and other benefits for Federal employees may be increased by such additional or supplemental amounts as may be necessary for increases in such benefits authorized by law.

TITLE VIII—ACTIVITIES IN NICARAGUA

MILITARY OR PARAMILITARY ACTIVITIES

SEC. 801. No funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or by the Intelligence Authorization Act for fiscal year 1984 (Public Law 98-215) may be obligated or expended for the purpose or which would have the effect of supporting, directly or indirectly, military or paramilitary operations in Nicaragua by any nation, group, organization, movement, or individual, except to the extent provided and under the terms and conditions specified by House Joint Resolution 648, making continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 1985, and for other purposes, as enacted.

Mr. President, Today marks the eighth time in as many years that a separate budget authorization bill has been introduced for the American intelligence family. This budget authorization process is now standard procedure and represents clear evidence that our constitutional responsibilities can be fulfilled while, at the same time, maintaining that element of confidentiality necessary for an effective intelligence system.

Mr. President, no other Nation in the world does what we have been doing now for the past 8 years. No other Nation in the world allows its legislative branch to oversee, in detail, and to authorize the budget for its intelligence community. I believe that this is the best system there is to provide accountability for the intelligence activities of our Government. I also believe that it is a work well to insure that we have a strong and effective intelligence community which, at the same time, is held accountable to the American people through its elected representatives.

October 11, 1984

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — SENATE

S 14259

Earlier this year, the Budget Subcommittee of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence held numerous closed hearings. The staff has engaged in hundreds of hours of interviews and briefings in order to pull together the bill which have before us today. We have examined in detail the budgets of the Central Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, the intelligence activities of the Departments of Defense, State, and Treasury, and of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

In addition, the full committee met on numerous occasions to draft and refine this budget authorization bill. In particular, we devoted a tremendous amount of time and attention to the administration's covert action requests and activities. So I want to reaffirm to my colleagues the seriousness with which we assume our responsibilities for authorizing these activities. Also, I would like to reiterate to all Members of this body the documentary materials in the possession of the committee are available for their review. If Members have a question about what we are doing or what we have done, let us know, and we will be glad to share these materials with you under the provisions of Senate Resolution 400.

Mr. President, I would like to take this opportunity to thank my colleagues for their hard work and effort in preparing this legislation. In particular, I would like to thank Senators WALLOP and INOYUE, the chairman and vice chairman of our Budget Subcommittee respectively, for their hard work in this area. These two gentlemen and their colleagues on the Budget Subcommittee are responsible for much of the work, and they are to be commended for it. Also, I would like to thank the staff of the Senate Intelligence Committee and to acknowledge the fine work they have done in preparing and presenting this bill. In particular, I would like to draw attention to the contribution of Mr. Keith Hall, the Committee Budget Officer, and Charlene Packard of the Budget support staff, who have served us ably and in a bipartisan fashion over the past year.

Mr. President, the Intelligence Authorization Act for fiscal year 1985 is an important piece of legislation. Its provisions are the result of a bipartisan debate and consensus. I urge my colleagues to support it.

I ask unanimous consent to have material in connection with matter printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

EXPLANATION OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEE AMENDMENT TO S. 2713, THE INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1985

In this explanation, the term "House bill" refers to H.R. 5399 as passed by the House of Representatives; the term "Senate committee bill" refers to S. 2713 as reported from committees of the Senate and placed on the calendar, and the term "Senate-

House compromise bill" refers to the Senate Intelligence Committee amendment to S. 2713.

SHORT TITLE

Both the House bill and the Senate committee bill entitled the legislation the "Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1985" and thus the Senate-House compromise bill retains this short title.

AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 101 of the House bill and section 101(a) of the Senate committee bill were identical, except for the House use of the term "intelligence-related activities" and the line item reference in section 101(10) of the House bill to the Drug Enforcement Administration. The Senate-House compromise bill retains Section 101 of the House bill.

CLASSIFIED SCHEDULE OF AUTHORIZATIONS

Section 102 of the House bill established the amounts authorized to be appropriated and personnel ceilings according to a classified schedule of authorizations prepared by the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence.

Section 101(b) of the Senate committee bill established the amounts authorized to be appropriated and personnel ceilings according to a classified schedule of authorizations prepared by the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence.

In previous years, the Committee of Conference on the intelligence authorization acts agreed to legislation which authorized appropriations and personnel according to a classified schedule of authorizations prepared by the Committee of Conference. Because the purpose of the Senate-House compromise bill is to avoid such a conference, there will be no Committee of Conference to prepare a classified schedule of authorizations. Instead, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence have agreed upon a schedule of authorizations accompanying the Senate-House compromise bill, and this schedule of authorizations has been denominated the classified Amended Schedule of Authorizations prepared by the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate. This amended schedule is dated October 4, 1984, signed by the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and the Chairman and Ranking Minority Member of the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, and on file at the office of those committees.

Thus, Section 102 of the Senate-House compromise bill establishes the amounts authorized to be appropriated and personnel ceilings according to the classified Amended Schedule of Authorizations prepared by the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, which is the Senate-House agreed schedule of authorizations.

CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION OF EXPENDITURES IN EXCESS OF PROGRAM AUTHORIZATIONS

Section 103 of the House bill and Section 101(c) of the Senate committee bill were substantially similar, differing only in that the House bill refers to "intelligence and intelligence-related activities" whereas the Senate committee bill refers to "intelligence activities".

The Senate-House compromise bill retains Section 103 of the House bill.

AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF AN ADDITIONAL BUILDING AT THE CIA HEADQUARTERS COMPOUND

Section 104 of the House bill authorized appropriation of \$14,500,000 for a new building at the CIA headquarters compound.

Section 101(a) and (b) of the Senate committee bill had the effect of authorizing appropriations of \$94,500,000 for a new building at the CIA headquarters compound.

The Senate-House compromise bill authorizes \$104,500,000 for the new CIA headquarters building.

AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR COUNTERTERRORISM ACTIVITIES OF THE FBI

Section 106 of the House bill authorized appropriations of \$14,500,000 for FBI domestic counterterrorism activities.

The Senate committee bill contained no similar authorization.

The Senate-House compromise bill retains Section 106 of the House bill.

PERSONNEL CEILING ADJUSTMENTS

Section 106 of the House bill and Section 101(e) of the Senate committee bill contained provisions for intelligence personnel ceiling adjustments which were identical, except for technical cross references to other sections of the bill.

The House-Senate compromise retains Section 106 of the House bill.

AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY STAFF

Section 201 of the House bill authorized appropriations of \$20,300,000 for the Intelligence Community Staff.

Section 201(a) of the Senate committee bill authorized appropriations of \$21,800,000 for the Intelligence Community Staff.

Section 201 of the Senate-House compromise bill authorizes appropriations of \$20,800,000 for the Intelligence Community Staff.

AUTHORIZATIONS OF PERSONNEL END STRENGTH FOR THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY STAFF

Section 202(a) of the House bill authorized a personnel ceiling of 232 full time personnel for the Intelligence Community Staff.

Section 202(b) of the Senate committee bill authorized a personnel ceiling of 211 full time personnel for the Intelligence Community Staff.

The Senate-House compromise bill retains Section 202(a) of the House bill.

The additional provisions in Section 202 of the Senate-House compromise bill consist of identical provisions contained in Sections 202(b) and (c) of the House bill and Sections 201(c) and (d) of the Senate committee bill.

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY STAFF ADMINISTERED IN THE SAME MANNER AS CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

Section 203 of the House bill and Section 201(e) of the Senate committee bill contained identical provisions concerning administration of the Intelligence Community Staff.

Section 203 of the Senate-House compromise bill contains this provision.

AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR CIA RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYSTEM

Section 301 of the House bill and Section 301 of the Senate committee bill were identical provisions authorizing appropriation of \$99,300,000 for the CIA Retirement and Disability System.

Section 301 of the Senate-House compromise bill contains this provision.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYSTEM RULES AND REGULATIONS

Section 302 of the Senate-House compromise bill contains an amendment to the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement Act of 1964 for certain employees. The current statutory provision requiring approval of the leadership of the House and Senate Armed Services Committees for CIA regula-

(2)

S 14262

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — SENATE

October 11, 1984

mination conditions, for activities which Section 107 of the House bill addresses.

Section 801 of the Senate-House compromise bill preserves the authorization of appropriations contained in the Senate committee bill, but specifies that no funds authorized to be appropriated by the Intelligence Authorization Acts for fiscal years 1984 and 1985 may be obligated or expended for the purpose or which would have the effect of supporting, directly or indirectly, military or paramilitary operations in Nicaragua by any nation, group, organization, movement, or individual, except to the extent provided and under the terms and conditions specified by House Joint Resolution 648, making continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 1985, and for other purposes, as enacted.

Mr. CHAFFEE. Mr. President, it is my privilege this year to bring to the floor the intelligence authorization bill, which authorizes appropriations for U.S. intelligence activities for fiscal year 1985.

Mr. President, because of the delay that we have experienced in getting the intelligence authorization bill to the floor this year, and the very brief time remaining before final adjournment of the 98th Congress, the Intelligence Committee has worked with its counterpart in the House of Representatives in an effort to ensure that the fiscal year 1985 intelligence authorization bill becomes law. This is important because the bill contains several meritorious legislative provisions as well as certain recurring authorities and restrictions which would otherwise expire. In addition, the intelligence authorization bill is the principal means by which the House and Senate Intelligence Committees provide guidance to the Intelligence Community in the exercise of Congressional oversight. For these reasons, failure to enact an intelligence authorization bill would have significant adverse consequences for the Intelligence Community and for congressional oversight.

Mr. President, this amendment in effect represents an agreement with the House that will obviate the need for a conference. It is our expectation that the House will agree to accept this amendment. In this connection, I should point out there are certain stylistic and technical differences between the committee amendment and the bill that we normally consider in the Senate. These differences are necessary because we expect that the text of the bill which we pass today will be the text enacted into law. I want to assure interested Members that these differences are dictated by the exigencies of the moment, and that they are not in any way meant to alter existing understandings within the Senate concerning the jurisdiction of various committees over certain intelligence-related activities.

Mr. President, it is important to note that section 102 of the committee amendment refers to the classified amended schedule of authorizations prepared by the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate. The intelli-

gence authorization bill as enacted into law normally would refer to a classified schedule of authorizations prepared by the Committee of Conference. Because we do not anticipate going to conference on the bill this year, it is necessary to make reference to another document which contains the agreements on authorization which have been reached with the House. Thus, the classified amended schedule of authorizations prepared by the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence will have the same force and effect as that normally given to the classified schedule of authorizations which is usually prepared by the Committee of Conference. Mr. President, the agreement worked out with the House also are reflected in an amended classified supplement to the Intelligence Committee's Report on S. 2713. This document will, therefore, have the same force and effect as that normally given to the classified supplement to the conference report.

I emphasize, Mr. President, that with respect to the Nicaragua issue the committee amendment contains a provision consistent with the agreement that has just been reached in the context of the conference report on House Joint Resolution 648, the further continuing appropriations resolution.

Mr. President, I have prepared a detailed explanation of the provisions contained in the committee amendment, and I ask unanimous consent that it be printed in the Record at this point.

Mr. President, I also wish to point out that section 601 of the committee amendment represents a compromise between the Intelligence Committee and the Foreign Relations Committee on a legislative provision pertaining to the hostile intelligence presence in the United States. It is my understanding that this compromise is acceptable to the Foreign Relations Committee and the administration.

Mr. President, as I have already indicated, we do not expect to have a conference or a conference report on the intelligence authorization bill this year, and the explanation of the committee amendment that I have just submitted is intended to serve in lieu of a conference report in explaining the provisions in the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1985. Additional legislative history can be found in the Senate and House Intelligence Committee Reports on the bill, that is, Senate Report 98-841 and House Report 98-743.

This legislation authorized appropriations for those programs and activities of the U.S. Government which serve the intelligence needs of our national policymakers. This includes the Central Intelligence Agency and the National Security Agency, as well as the national intelligence activities of the Departments of Defense, State, Treasury, and Energy, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The bill also

authorizes appropriations for the Intelligence Community staff and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and it includes several legislative provisions to enhance the effectiveness of our intelligence agencies.

The Select Committee on Intelligence views the annual budget authorization process as one of the principal means of fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, because of the insight and leverage that this process provides in influencing the long-term scope and direction of U.S. intelligence efforts. This year, as in the past, the Committee conducted a comprehensive examination of the intelligence budget request, which included testimony from the Director and Deputy Director of Central Intelligence, key Defense Department officials, and each of the principal intelligence program managers. In addition, for the first time we formally heard testimony from the Nation's leading consumers of intelligence—people whose needs the Intelligence Community exists to fill. We found this testimony instructive, and we intend to continue the dialog with intelligence consumers in the future.

The committee believes that strengthening and improving the U.S. intelligence system should remain among our highest national priorities. As we have emphasized in the past, investment in intelligence must grow to ensure that the Intelligence Community is able to meet the challenges that our Nation will face in the late 1980's and beyond. The committee recognizes that increased investment alone will not necessarily lead to the intelligence capabilities required in the years ahead. We must also be more innovative in crafting the Nation's intelligence activities. It is only through innovation, for example, that we will be able to cope with the serious challenges posed by adversaries who appear to possess a good understanding of current U.S. intelligence capabilities and who employ this knowledge in efforts to frustrate our collection activities. I am pleased to report that the measure we will bring before the Senate fosters such innovation in a number of critically important areas. I firmly believe that these steps place us on a path that will lead to development of the type of intelligence capabilities that will be vital for the maintenance of our national security.

Given the sensitivity of the matters dealt with in the intelligence authorization bill, I cannot discuss details in open session. These matters have, however, been set forth in the classified amended schedule of authorizations and thoroughly discussed in the amended classified supplement to the committee's report. These documents are available to Members under the provisions of Senate Resolution 400 of the 94th Congress.

Mr. President, I also wish to point out to the Senate and to the American

October 11, 1984

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — SENATE

S 14263

public something that is often overlooked, but, is critical to the public's understanding of U.S. intelligence. The nature of intelligence activities requires that success be secret. On the other hand, the nature of our society is such that controversy in intelligence activities will often lead to public debate based on speculation. I suppose President Eisenhower summed it up best when he said of intelligence:

Success cannot be advertised; failure cannot be explained. In the work of intelligence, heroes are undecorated and unnamed, often even among their own fraternity. Their inspiration is rooted in patriotism— their reward can be little except the conviction that they are performing a unique and indispensable service for their country, and the knowledge that America needs and appreciates their efforts.

Mr. President, I, as one who serves on the Intelligence Committee, want to emphasize that there is much to be proud of in the Nation's intelligence effort. There are many "quiet successes." One of the things that has struck me in my service on the committee is the sacrifice we demand of the men and women in the intelligence profession who are responsible for these "quiet successes." They constitute the Nation's first line of defense, and their contributions are critically important to our national security. Yet, there can be no public acclaim or recognition of their accomplishments, dedication, professionalism, or bravery.

Mr. President, on the wall in the entrance foyer to the CIA headquarters building in Virginia, you will find a star for each of those CIA officers who have given their lives while in "quiet" service to their country. The names of some of these individuals are noted, but the names of others, given the nature of their work, cannot be revealed to the public. The number of those stars has, unfortunately, been increasing in recent years. Mr. President, I can think of no more poignant symbol of quiet service to the Nation than those stars associated with names that must remain secret.

So, Mr. President, I think we would all do well to reflect on this aspect of the intelligence profession whenever we debate or consider a matter of controversy in U.S. intelligence. We as a nation owe a debt of gratitude to people in the Intelligence Community that we cannot name, for accomplishments that cannot be trumpeted. I salute the men and women of our Intelligence Community—those who have served, those who are presently serving, and those yet to come—and thank them for their unique sacrifice.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the substitute amendment.

The substitute amendment (No. 7103) was agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading and was read the third time.

Mr. BAKER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate now proceed to the consideration of Calendar No. 1100, H.R. 5399.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill will be stated by title.

The bill clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 5399) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1985 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Intelligence Community Staff, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the present consideration of the bill?

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. BAKER. Mr. President, I move to strike all after the enacting clause of this measure and to insert in lieu thereof the text of S. 7113, as amended, which has been advanced to third reading in the Senate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the motion.

The motion was agreed to.

Mr. MOYNIHAN. Mr. President, this will be the last authorization that Senator GOLDWATER and I will have brought to the floor in behalf of the committee. I regret that he is necessarily absent.

I should like to record that this is the largest authorization bill in the history of the intelligence community and of our committees.

I think the public is entitled to know the sustained increase in the budgets of the intelligence community, which began in fiscal year 1979 and in the budgets proposed in 1978, has now about reached the limit of our expectations, and the out-year growth of these programs associated with technological developments is going to be limited.

We feel strongly that the intelligence oversight committee system is working and has worked. I spoke this morning of one failure in that system, when the community did not consult with the committees before going forward with an operation in Central America which many thought to be beyond the limits of legality and of prudence.

In the aftermath of that event, we have developed new relations with respect to consultation. But I wish I understood that between the time I spoke this morning and this hour in the early afternoon, the U.S. Senate, by a vote of 78 to 11, has agreed to a continuing resolution which, in effect puts an end to the President's options in Central America—very much against his wishes, and he may be right in that regard.

However, it should be understood that when only 11 Members of the Senate would oppose such a measure—and of those 11, I do not think more than 5 would have done so on this basis—there has been a failure of functioning in the executive branch; and if the President or the Secretary of

State or the National Security Advisor wishes to look to see what went wrong, they need to look to their own arrangements. What went wrong was that the relationship of trust between the community and Congress was not observed—the only such breach I am aware of in 8 years in this committee—and it has had consequences.

I do not speak to the question whether the policies in Nicaragua are right or wrong. I am just saying that the people who serve the President have served him very poorly if by their conduct they have made it impossible for him to pursue those policies as he hoped to do. This has happened now, and perhaps we can learn from it. If we do not, I suppose it will happen again.

Mr. President, before closing, while some Members are in the Chamber, let me observe that this measure, which is being passed on the unanimous-consent calendar, is one of the largest and most important pieces of legislation Congress will deal with this year. Matters of the utmost importance to the national security are involved here.

We come with a unanimous Senate. We have worked in a bipartisan mode to bring this matter here.

Mr. President, in quick summary, this measure is being adopted on the unanimous-consent calendar, and that reflects not only the importance of the measure, but also the bipartisan support for it. On its own, were it not for the nature of the subject matter, this could occasion a week's debate in the Senate. It involves issues of the very utmost importance to the national security and to the security of the world.

These have been resolved in an exemplary manner by our budget subcommittee headed by the distinguished Senator from Wyoming (Mr. WALLOP) and the equally distinguished Senator from Hawaii (Mr. INOUYE), who have served so diligently these last 4 years as the subcommittee's chairman and vice chairman respectively.

That we bring this to the Chamber in a unanimous manner, I think reflects not only on them, but on the singularly capable staff. And they will rarely hear their names at all in the chamber, so let me take a moment of the Senate's time to read them and record their merit.

Mr. Keith Hall, our budget officer, has handled a task of extraordinary complexity. Ten different organizations are involved in this, and the issues involved are the highest and most technical.

Mr. Robert Simmons, our staff director, has managed the operation throughout.

Mr. Gary Chase, our chief counsel, has been a fount of continued support and judgment in these matters.

Mr. Daniel Finn, an accomplished attorney, has been the designee of Senator INOUYE on the budget committee, and Dr. Angelo Codevilla has been the

designee of Senator WALLOP, who have worked in silent labor for 11 months on this enterprise.

Finally, Mr. Peter Sullivan, the minority staff director and counsel, has been a source of good cheer and good counsel throughout.

Mr. President, on behalf of the members of the committee, I wish to express our gratitude to them and all of their colleagues on the committee's dedicated professional and support staff.

As I said earlier, this will be my last opportunity to thank them as vice chairman. If Senator GOLDWATER were able to be present, he would do the same. I do so on his behalf.

So, Mr. President, to these duties, adieu.

Mr. BAKER. Mr. President, the bill is before the Senate, and I take it there are no further amendments.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill is before the Senate and open to amendment. If there be no amendment to be proposed, the question is on the third reading and passage of the bill.

The bill (H.R. 5399) was ordered to a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

Mr. BAKER. Mr. President, I move to reconsider the vote by which the bill was passed.

Mr. MOYNIHAN. Mr. President, I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

Mr. BAKER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that S. 2713, which was taken up initially, be indefinitely postponed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

MARITIME APPROPRIATION AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1985

Mr. BAKER. Mr. President, there is only one other matter that I have cleared presently for action by unanimous consent, and the minority leader has indicated his approval and consent to this action. I ask the Chair to lay before the Senate Calendar Order No. 869.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill will be stated by title.

The bill clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 2499) to authorize the appropriation of funds for certain maritime programs for fiscal year 1985.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill, which had been reported with amendments, as follows:

On page 2, line 10, strike "\$75,807,000" and insert "\$80,807,000".

On page 2, line 16, strike "\$11,200,000" and insert "\$16,200,000".

On page 2, line 17, strike "academies," and insert "academies (of which \$5,000,000 shall be for the conversion of the vessel Santa Mercedes for use as a suitable training vessel)."

So as to make the bill read:

S. 2499

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That this Act may be cited as the "Maritime Appropriation Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1985".

Sec. 2. Funds are authorized to be appropriated without fiscal year limitation as the appropriation Act may provide for the use of the Department of Transportation for fiscal year 1985 as follows:

(1) for payment of obligations incurred for operating differential subsidy, not to exceed \$377,750,000;

(2) for expenses necessary for research and development activities, not to exceed \$10,000,000; and

(3) for expenses necessary for operations and training activities, not to exceed \$80,807,000, including not to exceed—

(A) \$42,550,000 for maritime education and training expenses, including not to exceed \$21,940,000 for maritime training at the Merchant Marine Academy at Kings Point, New York, \$16,200,000 for financial assistance to State maritime academies (of which \$5,000,000 shall be for the conversion of the vessel Santa Mercedes for use as a suitable training vessel), \$3,000,000 for fuel oil assistance to State maritime academy training vessels, and \$1,410,000 for expenses necessary for additional training;

(B) \$9,111,000 for national security support capabilities, including not to exceed \$7,506,000 for reserve fleet expenses, and \$1,605,000 for emergency planning/operations; and

(C) \$29,146,000 for other operations and training expenses.

Sec. 3. Funds are authorized to be appropriated for the use of the Federal Maritime Commission, in the amount of \$12,292,000 for fiscal year 1985.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill is open to further amendment. If there be no further amendment to be proposed, the question is on agreeing to the committee amendment.

The committee amendment was agreed to.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading and was read the third time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill having been read the third time, the question is, Shall it pass?

So the bill (S. 2499) was passed.

Mr. BAKER. Mr. President, I move to reconsider the vote by which the bill was passed.

Mr. THURMOND. Mr. President, I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

ROUTINE MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. BAKER. Mr. President, I ask the Chair to inquire if there is further morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there further morning business?

The Senator from Tennessee is recognized.

TRIBUTE TO THE SENIOR SENATOR FROM TENNESSEE

Mr. SASSER. Mr. President, I rise today to pay tribute to my senior colleague from Tennessee and my good

friend, the majority leader, HOWARD BAKER.

In the 8 years that I have served in this body, it has been a genuine pleasure and honor to serve with HOWARD BAKER, and he will surely be missed by Members on both sides of this aisle.

HOWARD BAKER has, without question, been one of the most effective majority leaders in the recent history of this body. He has exercised the duty of majority leader with keen intellect and with a cool temperament that this position demands.

During his tenure, HOWARD BAKER has developed an uncanny ability to find the middle ground where others have found only acrimony and intransigence.

Mr. President, my colleague from Tennessee, has a genius for compromise. It is a gift that has served him well throughout his distinguished career.

Senator BAKER is a consummate politician and a very effective spokesman for his party. Yet when the interests of the Nation are at stake he has risen above partisanship and risen above party politics to fashion a credible and appropriate compromise.

Much like his father-in-law, Everett Dirksen, he has not shied away from taking stands on issues which at the time were politically unpopular. He has taken positions on some issues which in the long run proved to better serve this Nation and its people and indeed the peoples of the world.

I will remember his role in winning ratification of the very controversial Panama Canal treaties which demonstrated his willingness to disregard his own political interests for the sake of larger policy concerns.

He was admired by many in this body, including this Senator, for the position he took at that time, and on many other issues.

HOWARD BAKER has been a voice of reason in the Senate and he has been a calming influence as we considered some of the most difficult issues of our time.

And I would say, Mr. President, that whoever succeeds him faces a tremendous challenge in attempting to fill his shoes.

As a native son of Tennessee I have shared the pride of others from our State in the career of my senior colleague. HOWARD BAKER has reflected credit on all of us through his rise to national prominence as majority leader, as a candidate for President of the United States, as one who has been often mentioned for Vice President, and for the important post of Secretary of State.

Although we find ourselves on different sides of the aisle, HOWARD BAKER has been a cooperative and helpful colleague.

I have found him to be a colleague of unusual magnanimity, unflawed by the selfishness and pettiness which