Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/05/02: CIA-RDP89T01032R000100070007-6 1" RINIE

The Director of Central Intelligence Washington, D.C. 20505

STAT

National Intelligence Council

NIC 02667-87 25 June 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Intelligence

Associate Deputy Director for Intelligence

FROM:

H. F. Hutchinson, Jr.

Vice Chairman, National Intelligence Council

SUBJECT:

Intelligence Consumer Survey

1. I have asked to consider an IPC sponsored survey of consumer satisfaction with intelligence product. My first thought is a survey similar to the one done with the outgoing Carter administration. attached memo indicates the current state of my proposal.

2. Do you agree that a survey would be useful? That the IPC is the best sponsor? Would you undertake the formal proposal within the IPC? I would like to work with you in sponsoring this if you agree to this. Let's discuss at your convenience.

H. F. Hutchinson, Jr.

Attachment: As Stated

NIC 02667-87 25 June 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Deputy Director for Intelligence

Associate Deputy Director for Intelligence

SUBJECT:

Intelligence Consumer Survey

DCI/VC/NIC:H.F.Hutchinson, Jr.:jcn

25 June 1987

STAT

Attachment:

As Stated

Distribution:

1 - DDI w/att

1 - ADDI w/att

1 - DDI Registry w/att

1 - C/IPC w/att

1 - C/NIC w/att

1 - HFH Chrono

1 - Office of C/NIC Chrono

SECRET

IPC - 065/87 23 June 1987

I

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT STAT

STAT

STAT

MEMORANDUM FOR: H.F. Hutchinson, Jr., Vice C National Intelligence Counci	Chairman, Il
FROM : Chief, Intelligence Producer	's Council Staff
SUBJECT : Discussion with	STAT
interviews with senior officials of the auto-	STATE out staff and outlined the survey and conduct oral sing Carter Administration in
an effort to determine their degree of satisfaprovided by the Community during their tenure	action with the intelligence STAT
2. We agreed that a similar kind of survey prepared for senior officials of the Reagan Act is that such a survey should be distributed not officials' last six months in office and no lattheir departure. As was done in the case of thought would need to be given to the kinds of wording of the questions, and the level and so	dministration. Our thinking o earlier than during the ater than three months after the original survey, careful f information sought, the cope of the sampling.
has given us the names of helped to develop the original survey and has we give this matter more thought.	STAT
	SIA
4. Because the IPC Staff is fully occupinumber of other activities and projects, we withe new survey on a gradual basis. We will keep benchmarks along the way.	II have to begin developing
benchmarks along the way.	STAT
	STAT