

SECRET 34



Washington, D.C. 20505

OCA 87-1930  
May 6, 1987

STAT

[Redacted]

General Counsel  
Defense Intelligence Agency  
Washington, D.C. 20301-6111

Dear Bill:

In accordance with our telephone conversation of today, I am sending you a copy of the proposed language we discussed as an exemption to Internal Revenue Code Section 6050M. That language follows:

This section shall not apply to any contract when either the subject matter of the contract, or the fact of the contractual relationship between the Federal Executive Agency and the contractor itself, is classified pursuant to Executive Order 12356 and its successor orders or is otherwise protected by statute.

We have presented this language to members of the Joint Committee on Taxation and the Senate Finance Committee. Their initial reaction was that there should be no problem with respect to exempting contracts when the contractual relationship is itself classified. We are in the process of arranging a briefing in a classified forum regarding the need to exempt contracts when the subject matter is classified, but the contractual relationship is not. I will inform you when this briefing will take place so that you may attend.

As I indicated during our conversation, we hope to have this exemption presented as part of the technical amendments package which will be introduced in Congress within the next two weeks. Accordingly, please indicate by early next week whether the proposed language is sufficient to address your needs.

Sincerely,

[Redacted Signature]

STAT

Legislation Division  
Office of Congressional Affairs

Distribution:

- Original(s) of attached letters to: Addressees
- 1 - D/OCA
- 1 - OCA Registry
- 1 - RH/Signer
- 1 - OCA/Legislation Subject File: Small Business/Procurement

OCA/Leg [Redacted] (6 May 1987)

STAT



Washington, D.C. 20505

May 6, 1987  
OCA 87-1930

Mr. John H. McNeill  
Associate General Counsel for  
International Affairs and Intelligence  
Department of Defense  
Washington, D.C. 20301

Dear John:

In accordance with our telephone conversation of today, I am sending you a copy of the proposed language we discussed as an exemption to Internal Revenue Code Section 6050M. That language follows:

This section shall not apply to any contract when either the subject matter of the contract, or the fact of the contractual relationship between the Federal Executive Agency and the contractor itself, is classified pursuant to Executive Order 12356 and its successor orders or is otherwise protected by statute.

We have presented this language to members of the Joint Committee on Taxation and the Senate Finance Committee. Their initial reaction was that there should be no problem with respect to exempting contracts when the contractual relationship is itself classified. We are in the process of arranging a briefing in a classified forum regarding the need to exempt contracts when the subject matter is classified, but the contractual relationship is not. I will inform you when this briefing will take place so that you may attend.

As I indicated during our conversation, we hope to have this exemption presented as part of the technical amendments package which will be introduced in Congress within the next two weeks. Accordingly, please indicate by early next week whether the proposed language is sufficient to address your needs.

Sincerely,

[Redacted Signature]

Legislation Division  
Office of Congressional Affairs

STAT



Washington, D.C. 20505

May 6, 1987

OCA 87-1930

Mr. Dennis Foreman  
Attorney Advisor  
Department of State  
Washington, D.C. 20520

Dear Dennis:

In accordance with our telephone conversation of today, I am sending you a copy of the proposed language we discussed as an exemption to Internal Revenue Code Section 6050M. That language follows:

This section shall not apply to any contract when either the subject matter of the contract, or the fact of the contractual relationship between the Federal Executive Agency and the contractor itself, is classified pursuant to Executive Order 12356 and its successor orders or is otherwise protected by statute.

We have presented this language to members of the Joint Committee on Taxation and the Senate Finance Committee. Their initial reaction was that there should be no problem with respect to exempting contracts when the contractual relationship is itself classified. We are in the process of arranging a briefing in a classified forum regarding the need to exempt contracts when the subject matter is classified, but the contractual relationship is not. I will inform you when this briefing will take place so that you may attend.

As I indicated during our conversation, we hope to have this exemption presented as part of the technical amendments package which will be introduced in Congress within the next two weeks. Accordingly, please indicate by early next week whether the proposed language is sufficient to address your needs.

Sincerely,

A rectangular box with a thin black border, used to redact the signature of the sender.

Legislation Division  
Office of Congressional Affairs

STAT

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D.C. 20505

May 6, 1987

OCA 87-1930

Mr. Ronald S. Klein  
Attorney Advisor  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Washington, D.C. 20535

Dear Ronald:

In accordance with our telephone conversation of today, I am sending you a copy of the proposed language we discussed as an exemption to Internal Revenue Code Section 6050M. That language follows:

This section shall not apply to any contract when either the subject matter of the contract, or the fact of the contractual relationship between the Federal Executive Agency and the contractor itself, is classified pursuant to Executive Order 12356 and its successor orders or is otherwise protected by statute.

We have presented this language to members of the Joint Committee on Taxation and the Senate Finance Committee. Their initial reaction was that there should be no problem with respect to exempting contracts when the contractual relationship is itself classified. We are in the process of arranging a briefing in a classified forum regarding the need to exempt contracts when the subject matter is classified, but the contractual relationship is not. I will inform you when this briefing will take place so that you may attend.

As I indicated during our conversation, we hope to have this exemption presented as part of the technical amendments package which will be introduced in Congress within the next two weeks. Accordingly, please indicate by early next week whether the proposed language is sufficient to address your needs.

Sincerely,

*1*  
[Redacted signature box]

Legislation Division  
Office of Congressional Affairs

STAT



Washington, D.C. 20505

OCA 87-1930

May 6, 1987

STAT

[Redacted]  
Office of General Counsel  
National Security Agency  
Fort Meade, Maryland 20755-6000

Dear Patty:

In accordance with our telephone conversation of today, I am sending you a copy of the proposed language we discussed as an exemption to Internal Revenue Code Section 6050M. That language follows:

This section shall not apply to any contract when either the subject matter of the contract, or the fact of the contractual relationship between the Federal Executive Agency and the contractor itself, is classified pursuant to Executive Order 12356 and its successor orders or is otherwise protected by statute.

We have presented this language to members of the Joint Committee on Taxation and the Senate Finance Committee. Their initial reaction was that there should be no problem with respect to exempting contracts when the contractual relationship is itself classified. We are in the process of arranging a briefing in a classified forum regarding the need to exempt contracts when the subject matter is classified, but the contractual relationship is not. I will inform you when this briefing will take place so that you may attend.

As I indicated during our conversation, we hope to have this exemption presented as part of the technical amendments package which will be introduced in Congress within the next two weeks. Accordingly, please indicate by early next week whether the proposed language is sufficient to address your needs.

Sincerely,

[Redacted Signature]

Legislation Division  
Office of Congressional Affairs

STAT

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY



Washington, D.C. 20505

May 6, 1987

OCA 87-1930

Mr. Robert Winchester  
Special Assistant to the  
Secretary of the Army  
Office of the Secretary  
of the Army  
Washington, D.C. 20301

Dear Bob:

In accordance with our telephone conversation of today, I am sending you a copy of the proposed language we discussed as an exemption to Internal Revenue Code Section 6050M. That language follows:

This section shall not apply to any contract when either the subject matter of the contract, or the fact of the contractual relationship between the Federal Executive Agency and the contractor itself, is classified pursuant to Executive Order 12356 and its successor orders or is otherwise protected by statute.

We have presented this language to members of the Joint Committee on Taxation and the Senate Finance Committee. Their initial reaction was that there should be no problem with respect to exempting contracts when the contractual relationship is itself classified. We are in the process of arranging a briefing in a classified forum regarding the need to exempt contracts when the subject matter is classified, but the contractual relationship is not. I will inform you when this briefing will take place so that you may attend.

As I indicated during our conversation, we hope to have this exemption presented as part of the technical amendments package which will be introduced in Congress within the next two weeks. Accordingly, please indicate by early next week whether the proposed language is sufficient to address your needs.

Sincerely, /

[Redacted signature box]

Legislation Division  
Office of Congressional Affairs

STAT

OCA 87-1930 and 1931 for  
sending FAX

Please send the same letter to the following people:

Ms. Kimberly Allan  
Legislative Counsel for the  
Office of Legislative Affairs  
Department of Justice  
Room 1139 - Main Justice Building  
Washington, DC 20530

Mr. John H. McNeill  
Associate General Counsel for  
International Affairs and Intelligence  
Department of Defense  
Room 3E963, The Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20301

Mr. Dennis Foreman  
Attorney Advisor  
L/LEI  
Room 5419A  
Department of State  
Washington, DC 20520

Mr. Ronald S. Klein  
Special Agent  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Room 4843  
Washington, DC 20535

[Redacted]  
Intelligence Community Staff

STAT

[Redacted]  
Room BW09  
Washington, DC 20230

STAT

[Redacted]  
Office of General Counsel  
National Security Agency  
Room 9A187-4  
Fort Meade, MD 20755-6000

STAT

Mr. Robert Winchester  
Special Assistant to the  
Secretary of the Army  
Office of the Secretary of the Army  
Room 2C631, The Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20301



Washington, D.C. 20505

May 6, 1987

OCA 87-1931

Ms. Kimberly Allan  
Legislative Counsel for the  
Office of Legislative Affairs  
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Kimberly:

In accordance with our telephone conversation of today, I am sending you a copy of the proposed language we discussed as an exemption to Internal Revenue Code Section 6050M. That language follows:

This section shall not apply to any contract when either the subject matter of the contract, or the fact of the contractual relationship between the Federal Executive Agency and the contractor itself, is classified pursuant to Executive Order 12356 and its successor orders or is otherwise protected by statute.

We have presented this language to members of the Joint Committee on Taxation and the Senate Finance Committee. Their initial reaction was that there should be no problem with respect to exempting contracts when the contractual relationship is itself classified. We are in the process of arranging a briefing in a classified forum regarding the need to exempt contracts when the subject matter is classified, but the contractual relationship is not. I will inform you when this briefing will take place so that you may attend.

As I indicated during our conversation, we hope to have this exemption presented as part of the technical amendments package which will be introduced in Congress within the next two weeks. Accordingly, please indicate by early next week whether the proposed language is sufficient to address your needs.

Sincerely,

[Redacted Signature]

Legislation Division  
Office of Congressional Affairs

STAT

Distribution: (same as OCA 87-1930)

Original(s) 1 - Addressees by IDX from Operations Center 6 May 1987

1 - OCA Registry

1 - RMH/Signer

1 - OCA/Leg/Subject File: Small Business/Procurement

OCA/Leg/ [Redacted] (6 May 1987)

STAT



**C I A**  
**OPERATIONS CENTER**  
**SITE A**  
**WASHFAX MESSAGE RECEIPT**

**FROM**

[Redacted]

Legislation Division - Office of Congressional Affairs  
Central Intelligence Agency

STAT

Tel:

[Redacted]

6 MAY 1987

**SUBJECT**

Proposed Language to Internal Revenue Code Section 6050M

**CLASSIFICATION**

UNCLASSIFIED

**PAGES**  
One

**SENT TO:**

**DELIVER TO:**

**EXTENSION**

**ROOM**

Ms. Kimberly Allen, Legislative Counsel, DEPARTMENT OF  
JUSTICE - Main Justice Building

898-1539

1139

**REMARKS:**



Washington, D.C. 20505

May 6, 1987

OCA 87-1931

STAT

[Redacted]  
Intelligence Community Staff  
Central Intelligence Agency  
Washington, D.C. 20230

Dear Bob:

In accordance with our telephone conversation of today, I am sending you a copy of the proposed language we discussed as an exemption to Internal Revenue Code Section 6050M. That language follows:

This section shall not apply to any contract when either the subject matter of the contract, or the fact of the contractual relationship between the Federal Executive Agency and the contractor itself, is classified pursuant to Executive Order 12356 and its successor orders or is otherwise protected by statute.

We have presented this language to members of the Joint Committee on Taxation and the Senate Finance Committee. Their initial reaction was that there should be no problem with respect to exempting contracts when the contractual relationship is itself classified. We are in the process of arranging a briefing in a classified forum regarding the need to exempt contracts when the subject matter is classified, but the contractual relationship is not. I will inform you when this briefing will take place so that you may attend.

As I indicated during our conversation, we hope to have this exemption presented as part of the technical amendments package which will be introduced in Congress within the next two weeks. Accordingly, please indicate by early next week whether the proposed language is sufficient to address your needs.

Sincerely,

[Redacted Signature]

Legislation Division  
Office of Congressional Affairs

STAT

**C I A**  
**OPERATIONS CENTER**  
**SITE A**  
**WASHFAX MESSAGE RECEIPT**

**FROM** [redacted] Legislation Division - Office of Congressional Affairs  
Central Intelligence Agency [redacted]

STAT  
STAT

**SUBJECT** Proposed Language to Internal Revenue Code Section 6050M

C.I.A. 1007

**CLASSIFICATION** UNCLASSIFIED

**PAGES**

**SENT TO:**

**DELIVER TO:**

**EXTENSION**

**ROOM**

[redacted] Intelligence Community Staff

FAX A-4

BW-09

STAT  
STAT  
STAT

**REMARKS:**