YOUNG PRESIDENTS' ORGANIZATION KAUAI UNIVERSITY 2 FEBRUARY 1988

CIA AND THE MAKING OF AMERICAN FOREIGN POLICY
BY ROBERT M. GATES
DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

INTRODUCTION

OVER THE YEARS, PUBLIC VIEWS OF CIA AND ITS ROLE IN
AMERICAN FOREIGN POLICY HAVE BEEN SHAPED PRIMARILY BY MOVIES,
TELEVISION, NOVELS, NEWSPAPERS, BOOKS BY JOURNALISTS, HEADLINES
GROWING OUT OF CONGRESSIONAL INQUIRIES, EXPOSES BY FORMER
INTELLIGENCE OFFICERS, AND ESSAYS BY EXPERTS WHO HAVE NEVER
SERVED IN AMERICAN INTELLIGENCE AND BY SOME WHO HAVE SERVED AND
STILL NEVER UNDERSTOOD OUR ROLE. WE ARE SAID TO BE AN
INVISIBLE GOVERNMENT AND YET ARE THE MOST VISIBLE, MOST
EXTERNALLY SCRUTINIZED AND MOST PUBLICIZED INTELLIGENCE SERVICE
IN THE WORLD. WHILE WE SOMETIMES ARE ABLE TO REFUTE PUBLICLY
ALLEGATIONS AND CRITICISM AGAINST US, USUALLY WE MUST REMAIN
SILENT. THE RESULT IS A CONTRADICTORY MELANGE OF IMAGES OF CIA
AND VERY LITTLE UNDERSTANDING OF OUR REAL ROLE IN AMERICAN
GOVERNMENT.

TODAY, I WOULD LIKE TO TRY TO ILLUMINATE, AND I HOPE EXPAND, YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF CIA'S ROLE IN THE MAKING OF AMERICAN FOREIGN POLICY.

THIS ROLE TAKES THREE BROAD FORMS:

- -- FIRST, CIA IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE COLLECTION, ANALYSIS AND DISTRIBUTION OF INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION TO THE PRESIDENT, THE NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL, THE DEPARTMENTS OF STATE AND DEFENSE AND MANY OTHER DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES.
- -- SECOND, CIA IS CHARGED WITH THE CONDUCT OF COVERT ACTION, THE ONE AREA WHERE WE IMPLEMENT POLICY. THIS IS A SUBJECT SO COMPLEX AND SO CONTROVERSIAL AS TO REQUIRE SEPARATE TREATMENT AT ANOTHER TIME, ANOTHER PLACE.
- THIRD, AND MOST SIGNIFICANT, CIA'S ROLE IS PLAYED OUT IN THE INTERACTION, PRIMARILY IN WASHINGTON, BETWEEN CIA AND THE POLICY COMMUNITY. IT IS IN THE DYNAMICS OF THIS RELATIONSHIP THAT THE INFLUENCE AND ROLE OF CIA ARE DETERMINED WHETHER CIA'S ASSESSMENTS ARE HEEDED OR NOT, WHETHER CIA'S INFORMATION IS RELEVANT AND TIMELY ENOUGH TO BE USEFUL, AND WHETHER CIA'S RELATIONSHIP WITH POLICYMAKERS FROM ISSUE TO ISSUE AND PROBLEM TO PROBLEM, IS SUPPORTIVE OR ADVERSARIAL. IT IS THIS DYNAMIC INTERACTION OF INTELLIGENCE AND POLICY THAT IS THE LEAST WELL UNDERSTOOD AND IT IS THIS AREA THAT I WILL FOCUS ON TODAY.

WHAT THEN, DOES CIA DO? BECAUSE OF THE MEDIA'S FOCUS ON COVERT ACTION, I WOULD LIKE TO SAY FIRST OF ALL THAT OVER 95 PERCENT OF THE NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE BUDGET IS DEVOTED TO THE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS OF INFORMATION. ABOUT THREE PERCENT OF CIA'S PEOPLE ARE INVOLVED IN COVERT ACTION.

COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

NOW, IF WE ARE NOT SPENDING MOST OF OUR TIME AND MONEY ATTEMPTING TO OVERTHROW GOVERNMENTS, WHAT EXACTLY DOES CIA DO? CIA DEVOTES THE OVERWHELMING PREPONDERANCE OF ITS RESOURCES TO MONITORING AND REPORTING ON DAY TO DAY DEVELOPMENTS AROUND THE WORLD, AND DETERMINING AND RESPONDING TO POLICYMAKERS' LONGER RANGE REQUIREMENTS FOR INFORMATION AND ANALYSIS.

INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION FINDS ITS WAY TO THE POLICYMAKER IN SEVERAL WAYS:

- -- FIRST, INTELLIGENCE ON DAY TO DAY EVENTS AND
 DEVELOPMENTS AROUND THE WORLD IS PROVIDED TO SENIOR
 OFFICIALS DAILY OR EVEN SEVERAL TIMES A DAY.
- -- SECOND, THE CIA CONTRIBUTES ANALYSIS TO POLICY PAPERS
 DESCRIBING BOTH EVENTS AT HAND AND POTENTIAL

OPPORTUNITIES OR PROBLEMS FOR THE UNITED STATES.

NEARLY ALL NSC AND SUB-CABINET MEETINGS BEGIN WITH AN INTELLIGENCE BRIEFING.

- -- THIRD, NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE ESTIMATES CAN PLAY AN IMPORTANT ROLE IN THE MAKING OF POLICY. THESE ESTIMATES ARE THE MOST FORMAL EXPRESSION OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY'S VIEWS. ALL OF THE INTELLIGENCE AGENCIES OF THE GOVERNMENT BOTH CONTRIBUTE TO AND COORDINATE ON WHAT IS SAID IN THESE ESTIMATES.
- -- FOURTH, POLICYMAKERS RECEIVE SPECIALIZED ASSESSMENTS BY INDIVIDUAL AGENCIES. CIA'S ASSESSMENTS OR RESEARCH PROGRAM IS THE PRODUCT OF THE LARGEST INTELLIGENCE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS ORGANIZATION IN THE WORLD. THE RANGE OF ISSUES IS BREATHTAKING -- FROM STRATEGIC WEAPONS TO FOOD SUPPLIES; EPIDEMIOLOGY TO SPACE; WATER AND CLIMATE TO THIRD WORLD POLITICAL INSTABILITY; MINERAL AND ENERGY RESOURCES TO INTERNATIONAL FINANCE; SOVIET LASER WEAPONS TO REMOTE TRIBAL DEMOGRAPHICS; CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL WEAPONS PROLIFERATION TO COMMODITY SUPPLIES; AND MANY, MANY MORE.

CIA-POLICY RELATIONSHIPS

SO FAR, SO GOOD. WHAT I HAVE JUST REVIEWED IS A TEXTBOOK DESCRIPTION OF THE ROLE OF INTELLIGENCE. IT IS NEAT, UNAMBIGUOUS, CLINICAL, NON-CONTROVERSIAL, EVEN COMMENDABLE --AND HIGHLY MISLEADING. WHAT ABOUT USERS WHO LOOK NOT FOR DATA OR UNDERSTANDING, BUT FOR SUPPORT FOR DECISIONS ALREADY MADE; OR THOSE WHO SELECTIVELY USE OR MISSTATE INTELLIGENCE TO INFLUENCE PUBLIC DEBATE OVER POLICY; OR USERS WHO LABEL INTELLIGENCE THEY DISLIKE AS TOO SOFT, TOO HARD OR COOKED; OR INTELLIGENCE OFFICERS WITH THEIR OWN AGENDAS OR BIASES; OR THE IMPLICATIONS FOR INTELLIGENCE AND POLICY OF A CIA DIRECTOR HELD AT TOO GREAT A DISTANCE FROM THE PRESIDENT OR ONE WHO IS HELD TOO CLOSE; OR THE FRUSTRATIONS OF CONSTANTLY CHANGING EVALUATIONS, OR ANALYSIS THAT IS JUST PLAIN WRONG; OR THE USE OF INTELLIGENCE AS A POLITICAL FOOTBALL BETWEEN GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS OR BETWEEN THE EXECUTIVE AND LEGISLATIVE BRANCHES? THE ATTITUDES AND BEHAVIOR OF OFFICIALS IN CIA AND POLICY AGENCIES THAT LIE BEHIND THESE AND MANY SIMILAR ISSUES AND THE INTERACTION AMONG THEM COMPRISE THE DYNAMIC OF THE RELATIONSHIP -- WHAT PROFESSOR YEHOSHAFAT HARKABI OF HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM DESCRIBES AS "THE INTELLIGENCE-POLICYMAKER TANGLE."

THE FACT IS THAT, OVER THE YEARS, THE POLICYMAKER AND THE INTELLIGENCE OFFICER HAVE CONSISTENTLY -- AND WITH

FRIGHTENINGLY FEW EXCEPTIONS — COME TOGETHER HUGELY IGNORANT OF THE REALITIES AND COMPLEXITIES OF EACH OTHER'S WORLD — PROCESS, TECHNIQUE, FORM AND CULTURE. CIA OFFICERS CAN TELL YOU IN EXCRUCIATING DETAIL HOW FOREIGN POLICY IS MADE IN EVERY COUNTRY IN THE WORLD SAVE ONE — THE UNITED STATES. BY THE SAME TOKEN, AS SUGGESTED BY PROFESSOR HARKABI, THE UNHAPPINESS OF INTELLIGENCE PEOPLE SWELLS "WHEN THEY COMPARE THE SOPHISTICATION AND ADVANCED METHODS EMPLOYED IN COLLECTION OF THE INFORMATION AND THE PRODUCTION OF INTELLIGENCE AGAINST THE CAVALIER FASHION OR IMPROVISATION WITH WHICH POLICY DECISIONS ARE MANY A TIME REACHED."

THE INSTITUTIONAL AUTONOMY OF THE AMERICAN INTELLIGENCE

SERVICE — OF CIA — IS UNIQUE IN THE WORLD. WHILE THIS

CONFERS CERTAIN ADVANTAGES, ABOVE ALL INDEPENDENCE, SUCH

AUTONOMY ALSO IMBUES THE CIA—POLICY COMMUNITY RELATIONSHIP WITH

A SIGNIFICANT ADVERSARIAL AS WELL AS SUPPORTIVE CONTENT. AND,

THE POLICYMAKER HAS A LONG LIST OF GRIEVANCES, MANY LEGITIMATE,

SOME NOT.

-- POLICYMAKERS LEGITIMATELY WANT INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION
THAT WILL INFORM AND GUIDE THEIR TACTICAL DAY TO DAY
DECISIONMAKING. IN SOME AREAS, WE CAN AND DO MEET
THEIR NEEDS. FOR EXAMPLE, IN 1980, THANKS TO A VERY
BRAVE MAN, WE WERE ABLE TO PROVIDE POLICYMAKERS WITH
KNOWLEDGE OF THE STEP BY STEP PREPARATIONS FOR THE

IMPOSITION OF MARTIAL LAW IN POLAND. IN EARLY 1986, WE WERE ABLE TO DOCUMENT IN EXTRAORDINARY DETAIL ELECTORAL CHEATING IN THE PHILIPPINES. THERE ARE EVEN SOME AREAS WHERE OUR INTELLIGENCE IS SO GOOD THAT IT REDUCES POLICYMAKERS FLEXIBILITY AND ROOM FOR MANEUVER. YET, I WOULD HAVE TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THERE ARE COUNTRIES AND ISSUES IMPORTANT TO THE UNITED STATES WHERE SUCH TACTICAL INTELLIGENCE — MOST OFTEN POLITICAL INTELLIGENCE — IS SORELY DEFICIENT AND POLICYMAKER COMPLAINTS ARE JUSTIFIED.

- -- IT WILL NOT SURPRISE YOU THAT VERY FEW POLICYMAKERS
 WELCOME CIA INFORMATION WHICH DIRECTLY OR BY INFERENCE
 CHALLENGES THE SUCCESS OR ADEQUACY OF THEIR POLICIES OR
 THE ACCURACY OF THEIR PRONOUNCEMENTS. INDEED, DURING
 THE VIETNAM WAR, A CONSTANT REFRAIN FROM POLICYMAKERS
 WAS, "AREN'T YOU GUYS ON THE TEAM?"
- THAT WHEN CIA'S ANALYSIS SUGGESTS POLICY IS FAILING OR IN DIFFICULTY, THESE CONCLUSIONS ARE, WITH MALICE, WIDELY CIRCULATED BY THE AGENCY FOR USE AS AMMUNITION BY CRITICS OF THE POLICY INSIDE THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH, WITH CONGRESS OR WITH THE PUBLIC.

DOMINATE ITS REPORTING. WHO WOULD DISAGREE THAT CIA
OFFICERS HAVE VIEWS AND BIASES, AND THAT THEY TRY TO
PROMOTE THEM? BUT, CIA IS NOT MONOLITHIC; THERE IS A
WIDE RANGE OF VIEWS INSIDE ON VIRTUALLY EVERY ISSUE.
INDEED, THE INTERNAL DEBATES ARE FIERCE AND SOMETIMES
BRUTAL — AFTER ALL, THE STAKES ARE VERY HIGH. IT IS
NOT A PLACE FOR THE FAINT—HEARTED. WE HAVE ELABORATE
PROCEDURES FOR REVIEWING ASSESSMENTS TO TRY TO FILTER
OUT INDIVIDUAL BIAS AND MAKE OUR REPORTING AS OBJECTIVE
AS POSSIBLE. AND WHEN WE SEND OUT A PROVOCATIVE
ANALYSIS BY AN INDIVIDUAL WE TRY ALWAYS TO IDENTIFY IT
AS A PERSONAL VIEW.

BEYOND THIS, IS THERE AN INSTITUTIONAL BIAS THAT
AFFECTS OUR WORK? PROBABLY, IN SOME AREAS, IN THE
BROADEST SENSE, AND PERHAPS BASED ON EXPERIENCE. AS AN
INSTITUTION, WE ARE PROBABLY MORE SKEPTICAL OF SOVIET
INTENTIONS THAN MOST; MORE CYNICAL ABOUT THE PUBLIC
POSTURE OF OTHER GOVERNMENTS WHEN CONTRASTED TO THEIR
ACTIONS, OVERT AND COVERT; MORE DOUBTFUL ABOUT THE EASE
AND SPEED WITH WHICH THE UNITED STATES CAN USUALLY
AFFECT DEVELOPMENTS OVERSEAS; AND, FAIRLY CONSISTENTLY,
WE WILL TEND TO SEE PERILS AND DIFFICULTY WHERE OTHERS
DO NOT.

- -- POLICYMAKERS' IMPATIENCE WITH INTELLIGENCE -- WITH CIA
 -- IS INTENSIFIED BY THE FACT THAT WE ARE SOMETIMES
 WRONG IN OUR ANALYSIS AND FORECASTS, AND WE OFTEN
 CHANGE OUR ASSESSMENTS BASED ON NEW ANALYSIS OR NEW
 INFORMATION. WE DO NOT ACKNOWLEDGE ERROR GRACEFULLY,
 AND OFTEN DO NOT FOREWARN POLICYMAKERS OF REVISED VIEWS
 BEFORE THE INFORMATION HITS THE STREET. A POLICYMAKER
 WHO HAS MADE DECISIONS BASED ON ONE ASSESSMENT ONLY TO
 SEE IT CHANGE OR TO FIND THAT IT WAS WRONG WILL NOT
 THINK FONDLY OF US OR SOON WISH AGAIN TO PROCEED ON OUR
 ASSURANCES OR ASSESSMENTS.
- PROBLEM FOR POLICYMAKERS FOR SEVERAL REASONS, AND IT PROFOUNDLY INFLUENCES OUR ROLE. VIRTUALLY ALL CIA ASSESSMENTS GO TO THE TWO CONGRESSIONAL INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEES. MOST GO ALSO TO THE ARMED SERVICES, FOREIGN RELATIONS, AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEES. IN 1986, CIA SENT SOME 5000 INTELLIGENCE REPORTS TO CONGRESS AND GAVE MANY HUNDREDS OF BRIEFINGS. ALL THIS IS NEW IN THE LAST DECADE OR SO. AS A RESULT, AND THANKS TO THEIR STAFFS, MANY SENATORS AND REPRESENTATIVES ARE OFTEN BETTER INFORMED ABOUT CIA'S INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENTS ON A GIVEN SUBJECT THAN THE POLICYMAKER. AND THAT INTELLIGENCE IS OFTEN USED TO

CRITICIZE AND CHALLENGE POLICY, TO SET ONE EXECUTIVE AGENCY AGAINST ANOTHER, AND TO EXPOSE DISAGREEMENTS WITHIN AN ADMINISTRATION.

MOST SPECIALISTS WRITING ABOUT THE CHANGED BALANCE
OF POWER IN RECENT YEARS BETWEEN THE EXECUTIVE AND
CONGRESS ON NATIONAL SECURITY POLICY, CITE WATERGATE
AND VIETNAM AS PRIMARY CAUSES. I BELIEVE THERE WAS A
THIRD PRINCIPAL FACTOR — WHEN CONGRESS OBTAINED ACCESS
TO INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION IN THE MID—1970S
ESSENTIALLY EQUAL TO THAT OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH.

THIS SITUATION ADDS EXTRAORDINARY STRESS TO THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CIA AND POLICY AGENCIES.

POLICYMAKER SUSPICION OF CIA USING INTELLIGENCE TO SABOTAGE SELECTED ADMINISTRATION POLICIES IS OFTEN NOT FAR BELOW THE SURFACE. AND NOT A FEW MEMBERS OF CONGRESS ARE WILLING TO EXPLOIT THIS SITUATION BY THEIR OWN SELECTIVE USE OF INTELLIGENCE THAT SUPPORTS THEIR VIEWS. THE END RESULT IS TO STRENGTHEN THE CONGRESSIONAL HAND IN POLICY DEBATES AND TO HEIGHTEN GREATLY THE TENSIONS BETWEEN CIA AND THE REST OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH.

NOW, LET ME TURN TO CIA'S ROLE AND RELATIONSHIP WITH THE POLICYMAKER AS SEEN FROM OUR VANTAGE POINT.

- LET ME SAY AT THE OUTSET THAT IN EVERY ADMINISTRATION DURING WHICH I HAVE SERVED THERE HAVE BEEN A NUMBER OF SENIOR POLICYMAKERS (ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND ABOVE) WHO WERE AVID USERS AND READERS OF INTELLIGENCE AND WHO AGGRESSIVELY SOUGHT CIA ANALYSIS AND VIEWS. THEY DEDICATED CONSIDERABLE TIME TO TALKING ABOUT SUBSTANTIVE AND POLICY PROBLEMS WITH US. WE HAVE HAD UNPRECEDENTED ACCESS IN THIS ADMINISTRATION FROM THE PRESIDENT ON DOWN, ESPECIALLY FOR ANALYSIS, AND DAILY CONTACT WITH THE MOST SENIOR OFFICIALS OF THE GOVERNMENT, INCLUDING THE VICE PRESIDENT AND THE SECRETARIES OF STATE AND DEFENSE. THEY HAVE OFTEN DIRECTLY TASKED US AND OFFERED REACTIONS TO THE INTELLIGENCE THEY READ -- AND THEY HAVE READ A GREAT DEAL. THIS IS TRUE ALSO OF THEIR SENIOR SUBORDINATES, WITH WHOM WE ARE IN CONSTANT CONTACT. THIS HAS CONTRIBUTED ENORMOUSLY TO IMPROVING THE RELEVANCE. TIMING, AND SUBSTANCE OF OUR ANALYSIS AND OTHER SUPPORT. IT IS A DYNAMIC, HEALTHY RELATIONSHIP, EVEN THOUGH IT IS FOCUSED PRIMARILY ON CURRENT ISSUES.
- -- THIS PREOCCUPATION WITH CURRENT REPORTING IS, FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE, A MAJOR PROBLEM. IF, AS I HAVE BEEN TOLD, THE AVERAGE TENURE OF AN ASSISTANT SECRETARY IN GOVERNMENT IS 21 MONTHS, A SHORT TERM FOCUS IS

UNDERSTANDABLE BUT LAMENTABLE, AND, ULTIMATELY, VERY
COSTLY TO OUR COUNTRY. ONE OF OUR GREATEST CONCERNS
OVER THE YEARS HAS BEEN THE UNWILLINGNESS OR INABILITY
OF MOST POLICYMAKERS TO SPEND MUCH TIME ON LONGER RANGE
ISSUES — LOOKING AHEAD SEVERAL STEPS — OR IN HELPING
TO GUIDE OR DIRECT OUR EFFORTS.

- IN PART BECAUSE OF INSUFFICIENT TIME SPENT ON INTELLIGENCE, TOO MANY POLICYMAKERS EARLY ON HAVE UNREALISTIC EXPECTATIONS ABOUT WHAT WE CAN DO THAT, WHEN DISAPPOINTED, TURN TO SKEPTICISM WHETHER WE CAN DO ANYTHING.
- POLICYMAKERS' RESPONSE TO INTELLIGENCE THEY DISAGREE WITH OR FIND UNPALATABLE MOST OFTEN IS TO IGNORE IT; SOMETIMES, THEY WILL CHARACTERIZE IT AS INCOMPLETE, TOO NARROWLY FOCUSED OR AS INCOMPETENT (AND THEY ARE SOMETIMES RIGHT); AND OCCASIONALLY THEY WILL CHARGE THAT IT IS "COOKED" OR THAT IT REFLECTS A CIA BIAS. IN 21 YEARS IN INTELLIGENCE, I HAVE NEVER HEARD A POLICYMAKER (OR ANYONE ELSE FOR THAT MATTER) CHARACTERIZE AS BIASED OR COOKED A CIA ASSESSMENT WITH WHICH HE AGREED. ON VIETNAM, VARIOUS ASPECTS OF SOVIET POLICY AND BEHAVIOR, ANGOLA, LEBANON, THE EFFECTIVENESS OF EMBARGOES OR SANCTIONS, AND OTHER ISSUES OVER THE

YEARS, OUR ANALYSTS HAVE DRAWN CONCLUSIONS THAT DASH
COLD WATER ON THE HOPES AND EFFORTS OF THE
POLICYMAKERS. SOMETIMES WE HAVE BEEN WRONG, BUT ON
PROBLEMS LARGE AND SMALL WE HAVE NOT FLINCHED FROM
PRESENTING OUR HONEST VIEW.

POLICYMAKERS HAVE ALWAYS LIKED INTELLIGENCE THAT
SUPPORTED WHAT THEY WANT TO DO, AND THEY OFTEN TRY TO
INFLUENCE THE ANALYSIS TO COME TO CONCLUSIONS THEY
WANT. THEY ASK CAREFULLY PHRASED QUESTIONS; THEY
SOMETIMES WITHHOLD INFORMATION; THEY BROADEN OR NARROW
THE ISSUE; ON RARE OCCASIONS, THEY EVEN TRY TO
INTIMIDATE. THE PRESSURES CAN BE ENORMOUS. THIS IS
WHERE THE INTEGRITY OF INTELLIGENCE OFFICERS, BOLSTERED
BY A NATURAL TENDENCY TO RESIST PRESSURE AND AN OFTEN
ADVERSARIAL BUREAUCRATIC RELATIONSHIP, COMES INTO PLAY
TO PROTECT THE INDEPENDENCE OF THE ASSESSMENT.

A FINAL THOUGHT. TO ATTEMPT TO SLANT INTELLIGENCE NOT ONLY TRANGRESSES THE DEEPEST ETHICAL AND CULTURAL PRINCIPLE OF CIA, WE ALL KNOW IT WOULD ALSO BE FOOLISH — IT WOULD PRESUPPOSE A SINGLE POINT OF VIEW IN AN ADMINISTRATION AND WOULD IGNORE THE REALITY OF CONGRESSIONAL READERSHIP. INDEED, IN MY OPINION, THE SHARING OF INTELLIGENCE WITH CONGRESS IS ONE OF THE SUREST GUARANTEES OF CIA'S INDEPENDENCE AND

OBJECTIVITY.

CONCLUSION

WHAT I HAVE DESCRIBED HERE IS THE REALITY OF CIA'S ROLE IN THE MAKING OF AMERICAN FOREIGN POLICY. I HAVE TRIED TO GO BEYOND THE MECHANICS AND THE HEADLINES TO IDENTIFY THE STRESSES, TENSIONS, RIVALRIES, ENDURING COMPLAINTS AND RELATIONSHIPS — THE PULLING AND HAULING, DAY IN AND DAY OUT, REAL LIFE IF YOU WILL — THAT DETERMINE CIA'S ROLE AND ITS IMPACT. CIA'S AUTONOMY IS UNIQUE IN OUR GOVERNMENT, ITS RELATIONSHIP WITH THE LEGISLATURE IS UNIQUE IN THE WORLD. OUR RELATIONSHIPS WITH OTHER ELEMENTS OF THE EXECUTIVE ARE A DYNAMIC BLEND OF SUPPORT AND RIVALRY, OF COOPERATION AND CONFLICT.

THE REAL INTELLIGENCE STORY IN RECENT YEARS IS THE SIGNIFICANT IMPROVEMENT, WITH HELP FROM BOTH THE PRESIDENT AND CONGRESS, IN THE QUALITY, RELEVANCE AND TIMELINESS OF INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO THE POLICYMAKER — A STORY THAT HAS BEEN NEGLECTED IN PREFERENCE TO CONTROVERSIAL COVERT ACTIONS, PROBLEMS BETWEEN CIA AND THE CONGRESS, AND SPY SCANDALS. WE UNDERSTAND THIS POLITICAL REALITY, BUT IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT AMERICANS KNOW THAT OUR MISSION REMAINS THE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS OF INFORMATION. THIS IS OUR PRIMARY ROLE IN THE

MAKING OF AMERICAN FOREIGN POLICY AND IT IS IN THIS ROLE THAT CIA IS, IN FACT, AMERICA'S EYES AND EARS: IT'S FIRST LINE OF DEFENSE. INDEED, PERHAPS A MAJOR REASON THE UNITED STATES IS AT PEACE IS THAT ITS INTELLIGENCE SERVICES ARE ALWAYS AT WAR.