

ARTICLE APPEARED  
ON PAGE 8

LOS ANGELES TIMES  
28 December 1977

Symms, Steven

CIAZ-01 000 (cuts)

ORA / American

Conservative Union

## Investigation of CIA Overseas Changes Asked

WASHINGTON (AP)—Rep. Steven D. Symms (R-Ida.) asked the House Intelligence Committee Tuesday to investigate how many overseas CIA agents were being reassigned or dismissed.

Symms also urged the committee to find out whether the personnel cuts were taking place at the same time that Soviet spy operations were expanding.

He quoted an unidentified high-ranking CIA source as saying 1,200 of the CIA's 4,500 operations employees would be cut.

However, Symms conceded that that figure and reports of expanded Soviet intelligence activity could be wrong. But he said the committee should investigate to determine the facts.

Symms said he made the request on behalf of the American Conservative Union, of which he is a director.

At the same time, Symms released a letter he sent to President Carter which said Soviet "operational activities are expanding at an unprecedented rate."

3

THE WASHINGTON STAR (GREEN LINE)  
10 October 1975

P- Kelly, Orr  
CIA 1.01 Colby, Wm.  
~~ORQ1 American~~  
Conservative Union  
CIA 1.04 Phillips,  
DAVID

# Don't Tatter CIA's Cloak, Colby Pleads

By Orr Kelly  
Washington Star Staff Writer

The United States must be careful not to destroy its intelligence agencies in the process of investigating them CIA Director William E. Colby says.

In a speech yesterday to a daylong meeting sponsored by the American Conservative Union, Colby declared:

"The rules of intelligence operations are not confined to those taught in Miss Feebie's dancing school.

"It is thus totally unjust to ask the dedicated men and women of CIA, who served their country at the front of danger, also to serve now as a national scapegoat for a revision of our values and consensus of the past 20 years.

"WE MUST investigate our intelligence, but we must do so in a responsible manner, so that we do not, 3 or 10 years from today, investigate why and how we destroyed our intelligence in 1975."

David Atlee Phillips, former head of CIA operations in Latin America and now president of the Association of Retired Intelligence Officers, was even more pointed in his warning of potential danger from the current investigation of intelligence operations.

He said he was particularly concerned about the demand of Rep. Otis Pike, D-N.Y., chairman of the House committee investigating U.S. intelligence operations, for access to the names of agents.

If the committee should receive names of sources, staff members who might have access to those names would be a prime target of the Soviet KGB for the next 10 years, he warned.

IN AN EARLIER session, FBI Director Clarence M. Kelley said that he hopes to have FBI agents assigned to protect members of Congress and their staffs from the KGB.

Asst. FBI Director W. Ray Wannall told the group that, if they become aware of a persistent effort by KGB agents to contact specific staff members, the FBI warns the senator or representative for whom the staff member works.

Despite increasing evidence of operations by KGB agents and those of the GRU Soviet military intelligence agency on Capitol Hill, Kelly and Wannall said they have no evidence that anyone on the Hill has been successfully recruited as a Soviet agent.

Wannall said some Soviet agents openly gather information and even lobby for legislation, while others attempt to recruit persons willing to cooperate with them.

*2nd Draft*  
~~Original American~~  
CONSERVATIVE UNION

Many nations have investigated their intelligence services. Sometimes this has been because of abuses, suspected or real. Sometimes it is because of failings. The United States investigated its intelligence services after Pearl Harbor and drew the lesson of the need for central intelligence, to draw together all the bits and pieces of information available to our Government into an overall assessment.

A major difference exists between most of these investigations and the one we are now engaged in with respect to American intelligence. Most have appointed a respected individual such as a judge, with full authority to conduct the investigation in secrecy. In the fullness of time, he delivered his final conclusions and recommendations after a sober and serious review, unaccompanied by press coverage or leak.

Our present investigation is a legislative one. Some subjects are indeed investigated in privacy, but some are displayed to the TV cameras. The purpose is to ensure that we in America have a responsible intelligence service,

UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	
<b>OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP</b>					
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS		
1	Mr. Thuermer				
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY		PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL		DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT		FILE		RETURN	
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION		SIGNATURE	
<b>Remarks:</b>					
<p>Mr. Thuermer,</p> <p>Mr. Colby did another draft of the speech after you saw it . . . copies of both are attached.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>American Conservative Union</i></p>					
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER					
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.					DATE
O/D/CI - [ ]					8 Oct
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	

STAT

one which will conform to our Constitution and laws. But in this process, we Americans must be responsible about the way we go about our investigation. If we yield to the temptation of sensation, we can hurt our safety. If we seek publicity, we can reduce our protection. Our investigators must be responsible with respect to the sensitive information they learn. And our intelligence personnel must be responsible to retain the secrets they pledged to respect, as well as to follow the oath they took to our Constitution and laws.

This responsibility is not only a political responsibility; it is a moral responsibility. It is a responsibility for the lives of our agents, for the livelihood of the American companies and individuals who helped their government with the assurance that their connection with intelligence would never be revealed, for the integrity of the work of our technicians who discovered chinks in an adversary's armor which can be corrected if disclosed, and indeed for the lives of all Americans who seek safety and peace from the many threats facing us in today's world and the world of the future.

We live in a dangerous world. A nuclear missile 30 minutes away is aimed and cocked at us here. The mutual

deterrents we may have established with our major adversary can be frustrated by the spread of easily manufactured nuclear weapons to reckless despots or paranoiac terrorists. The increasing interdependence of the world's economy, the growing problems of over-population and under-production, and the instability of a world order in which only about thirty of the 142 United Nations share our democratic standards of government, all pose a danger to our country. The rush of technology into new dimensions poses the hope of its use for the settlement of human problems but also the danger of its use in unexpected weapons systems.

Thus we need good intelligence today and we will need it in the world of the 80's and 90's. We must not allow ourselves to be hypnotized by the mistakes or even the misdeeds of intelligence in the 50's and 60's so that we are blinded to the problems ahead and deprive our country of the intelligence needed to anticipate and meet them.

I do not say that we should not look backward and learn lessons from the past. But when we look backwards, let us look at the whole picture and not just the individual incidents. Let us apply the intelligence doctrine of centralizing all the information before we make an overall

assessment about our intelligence capabilities, not depend only on one jigsaw piece. Let us see the good with the bad. Let us see the big with the small. Let us add the new to the old. Let us listen to the studios as well as the brave. Let us learn from technology as well as the library. Out of all these, we will see that we Americans have the best intelligence in the world.

The best intelligence is not necessarily perfect. We do not yet have, nor pretend to have, a crystal ball at the CIA building. Rather, we centralize all the raw information -- open, clandestine, technical. We subject it to rigorous analysis by a corps of experts which cannot be matched in any other country. Their products are educational in the best sense of the word. They raise the level of understanding of our Government of the forces and factors at work in the world around us. Taking bits and pieces of information, they draw precise measurements, not only of where hostile weapons are today, but also of the development and deployment programs which will bring new weapons into existence years ahead.

There are unknowables as well as unknowns in the world around us. We make no pretension that our intelligence

product is an advance copy of the World Almanac of 1977. Rather our products give our national leadership a better understanding of the problems ahead and the probabilities that they may occur. We do not cry wolf every day, because it is our obligation to help our Government avoid unnecessary expenditure for defense as well as to warn of the need for it. Our warnings stimulate our Government not only to take measures to defend or deter against threats, but also positively to negotiate them away. Thus intelligence today contributes to peace rather than merely defends against war. It provides the basis for resolving political and economic problems rather than predicting their inevitable arrival.

I have said that we welcome responsible investigation. I have admitted that there have been missteps and misdeeds in the past 28 years of our history. I insist that these have been few and far between, indeed far fewer than would have occurred in any community the size of our intelligence agency over such a period. In fact, all of these have been presented to our investigators by the intelligence community itself, coming from our own self-examination and correction of where we did not measure up. But the rules of intelligence operations are not confined to those taught in



Approved For Release 2004/10/13 : CIA-RDP88-01315R000100150001-0

Miss Phoebe's dancing school. It is thus totally unjust to ask the dedicated men and women of CIA who served their country at the front of danger also to serve now as a national scapegoat for our penitence for our policies and consensus of the past 20 years. We must investigate our intelligence, but we must do so in a responsible manner, so that we do not, five or ten years from today, investigate why we destroyed our intelligence in 1975.

Approved For Release 2004/10/13 : CIA-RDP88-01315R000100150001-0

90875  
Regt American  
Conservative Union  
CIA 101 Colby, William

UP-132

M. Heurmic  
A/DCI slot

(COLBY)

WASHINGTON (UPI) -- CIA DIRECTOR WILLIAM COLBY SAID TODAY THAT IF AMERICAN INTELLIGENCE SECRETS ARE NOT HANDLED RESPONSIBLY BY CONGRESSIONAL INVESTIGATORS IT WILL THREATEN NATIONAL SECURITY AND DESTROY INTELLIGENCE CAPABILITIES.

"WE MUST NOT CALL UPON SECRECY TO HIDE OUR FAILURES OR WRONGS IN OUR PAST, AS PRESIDENT FORD HAS CLEARLY ORDERED," HE SAID. "BUT IF WE YIELD TO THE TEMPTATION OF SENSATION, WE CAN HURT OUR SAFETY. IF WE ONLY SEEK PUBLICITY, WE CAN REDUCE OUR PROTECTION."

COLBY, SPEAKING AT A SEMINAR ON INTELLIGENCE AND INTERNAL SECURITY SPONSORED BY THE AMERICAN CONSERVATIVE UNION, SAID INVESTIGATORS MUST "BE RESPONSIBLE" ABOUT SENSITIVE INFORMATION GIVEN THEM IN PRIVATE, JUST AS INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL MUST "RETAIN THE SECRETS THEY PLEDGED TO RESPECT."

RULES OF INTELLIGENCE, COLBY SAID, ARE NOT THOSE LEARNED IN DANCING SCHOOL.

"IT IS THUS TOTALLY UNJUST TO ASK THE DEDICATED MEN AND WOMEN OF CIA WHO SERVED THEIR COUNTRY AT THE FRONT OF DANGER ALSO TO SERVE NOW AS A NATIONAL SCAPEGOAT FOR A REVISION OF OUR VALUES AND CONSENSUS OF THE PAST 20 YEARS," HE SAID.

"WE MUST INVESTIGATE OUR INTELLIGENCE, BUT WE MUST DO SO IN A RESPONSIBLE MANNER, SO THAT WE DO NOT, FIVE OR 10 YEARS FROM TODAY, INVESTIGATE WHY AND HOW WE DESTROYED OUR INTELLIGENCE IN 1975."

UPI 10-09 05:33 PED

STAT

Approved For Release 2004/10/13 : CIA-RDP88-01315R000100150001-0

Approved For Release 2004/10/13 : CIA-RDP88-01315R000100150001-0

BALTIMORE, MD.

SUN

M - 186,878

E - 213,289

S - 345,651

Approved For Release 2004/10/13 : CIA-RDP88-01315R000100150001-0

R. Crowther, Rodney  
CWA-2.04.2 Students

Org. 1 American  
Conservative Union

APR 27 1969

## Foundations Tied To Urban, Campus Unrest

By RODNEY CROWTHER

(Washington Bureau of The Sun)

Washington, April 26—The American Conservative Union made public today a special study which charges that some tax-exempt foundations are responsible for "much recent urban and college campus turmoil."

The study, made public by Representative John M. Ashbrook (R., Ohio), national chairman of the American Conservative Union, was prepared by Alan C. Brownfield, who was the author of a recent special study of the New Left for the Senate Judiciary Committee.

### Political Activity Charged

The special targets of the study are the Ford Foundation and the National Student Association.

The Ford Foundation and the student group are charged with engaging openly and flagrantly in violation of the prohibition against tax-exempt organiza-

tions engaging in political activity.

The study also charges that the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association has openly paid for propaganda advertisements in such magazines as Look, Harpers and The Atlantic "to arouse public support for co-ops as a necessary element in the American power system."

"N.R.E.C.A. paid for these ads," the study charges, "and for the 12 lobbyists it maintains at the Capitol, out of tax-exempt revenues from tax-exempt rural cooperatives, which were created and directly subsidized by the federal treasury."

The study accuses the Internal Revenue Service of having a double standard in that it revoked the tax-exemption of the Sierra Club for lobbying for preservation of forests, parks and other natural resources, but failed to raise a word of warning to the Central Arizona Project Association which spent \$74,065 "solely to get Congress to do what the Sierra Club was trying

to prevent—build dams in the Grand Canyon."

In a section of the report dealing with activities of the Ford Foundation, the charge is made that the foundation was the catalyst of New York school disorders.

The foundation helped finance an experiment in school decentralization in the Ocean Hill-Brownsville section which later became the focus of three city-wide teacher strikes.

Albert Shanker, who as president of the United Federation of Teachers led the walkouts, is quoted as charging the Ford Foundation with undermining his union.

The study also charges that the foundation has given substantial financial assistance to Harlem's Intermediate School No. 201, despite continuous manifestations of anti-Semitism, racism and violence.

"It is clear," the study declares, "that the Ford Founda-

tion conceives of its role not as education, but as political."

The study declares that though few Americans are aware of it, much of the current unrest and revolution on college and university campuses can be traced "to a tax-exempt student organization which has fully exploited its tax-exempt status to promote its far left-wing views."

### CIA Tie Suggested

This is the National Student Association which once was denied tax exemption, but later granted it under circumstances, the study charges, suggesting that the original exemption may have been given "at the behest of the CIA."

The study cites a substantial list of activities which it calls "lobbying and politics" in violation of the student organization's tax-exemption—its demand that the House Un-American Activities Committee (now the Internal Security Committee) be abolished and its advocacy of the admission of Red China to the United Nations.

*Org. American Conservative*

*Soc 4-01.7 White Brave  
Men Die*

*Soc 4-01.4 Newscope Inc*

*Pres Bruce, Donald C.  
Pres Lewis, Fulton, III*

# Washington-Made Film Depicts 'Vietnik' Revolt

By Bryce Nelson  
Washington Post Staff Writer

Conservative film makers are planning a new sensation for the home-movie circuit—a "hard-hitting motion picture expose of the Vietnik rebellion."

The film, "White Brave Men Die," is being released for national distribution by a Washington firm as a sequel to the controversial documentary, "Operation Abolition."

Fulton Lewis III, producer of "Operation Abolition," and former Rep. Donald C. Bruce, (R-Ind.), recently resigned chairman of the American Conservative Union, are producers of the new show.

The first burst of hoopla for "White Brave Men Die" is a white, black and red flyer which promises "scenes of draft-card burning ceremonies, attempts by students to block a military troop train in Oakland, California; the demonstration in Washington on August 7-9 . . ."

Bruce, in a telephone interview, explained that "there is no question about Communist Party involvement in these demonstrations."

He noted that "Operation

Abolition," a film about demonstrations against the House Un-American Activities Committee, was viewed by 38 million people. Bruce said he looked forward to as big an audience for the new documentary.

"It's not a harangue," he insisted, "but an honest portrayal."

Bruce and Lewis are directors of the newly formed Newscope, Inc., at 1010 Vermont ave. nw, which Lewis said will produce political films, radio tapes and columns.

The film will run for 30 minutes and sell for \$150 a copy. Neither Bruce nor Lewis would speculate on the film's prospects as a moneymaker.

Production costs, Lewis said, would be equally borne by himself, Bruce and Newscope's third director, Roy Burlew, finance chairman of the American Conservative Union and a leading fund-raiser for Barry Goldwater in 1964.

"You, your group or organization," says the promotional flyer, "can play a vital role in alerting the Nation to the dangers which lie within, by obtaining and circulating this exciting new 16mm motion picture . . ."