

ARTICLE APPEARED
ON PAGE 10A

OPINION

CIA ducks again

A letter on this page from Andrew T. Falkiewicz, assistant to the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, complains about "factual inaccuracies" in an Aug. 23 Register editorial about the CIA.

The editorial criticized the CIA for ducking questions raised by the National Conference of Editorial Writers and for not putting in writing a policy the agency had stated privately.

The chairman of the editorial writers' organization wrote to CIA Director George Bush on three occasions. Each time, concern was expressed about the planting by the CIA of false and misleading stories in foreign publications and the hiring by the CIA of foreign journalists.

The CIA answered each letter, but each response evaded both questions. As the chairman of the editorial writers' group said at one point, "The response, I am sorry to say, was no response at all."

Bush refused to meet with representatives of the National Conference of Editorial Writers after first agreeing to a meeting. CIA officials did meet with representatives of the National News Council.

At that meeting, CIA officials said the agency would not have ties to anyone working in a "journalist-

ic capacity" for U.S. news agencies. Previously, in a Feb. 11 statement, Bush had said that the CIA would not have relationships with anyone "accredited" to U.S. news media.

The "accredited" qualifier left the agency free to put on its payroll free-lancers and others who worked for U.S. publications but were not "accredited." The CIA's statement to the National News Council that it would not have ties to anyone working in a "journalistic capacity" was an important elaboration, but, as we said Aug. 23, the CIA did not put this in writing. Falkiewicz's letter quoting the old "accredited" language makes us wonder whether the CIA considers itself bound by what it told the News Council.

Our Aug. 23 editorial also said:

"The agency has refused to drop foreign journalists from its payroll and has refused to stop using covert propaganda abroad. There is no way to prevent phony stories planted abroad from being picked up by the U.S. news media."

Readers will note that Falkiewicz's letter does not address these issues, as the CIA refused to address them when they were raised earlier by the National Conference of Editorial Writers.

LETTERS

CIA official disputes editorial

To the Editor:

The Aug. 23 Register editorial, "Run-around by the CIA" has just been brought to my attention.

There are important factual inaccuracies in [the editorial]. . . .

1. The extensive exchange of correspondence between senior officials of the Central Intelligence Agency and the National Conference of Editorial Writers is anything but strategy of "ignore the critics." We have no objection to the recipient making our letters available to you for publication, so that your readers can properly judge the extent to which we have sought to respond to "the concerns expressed by the editorial writers."

2. The most cursory — but accurate — reference to the published text of the director's statement of Feb. 11 would have prevented your erroneous assertion that the CIA "has not put in writing" guidelines for the agency's relations with "anyone working in a journalistic capacity for U.S. news agencies." The relevant quotation from the Feb. 11 statement is:

"Effective immediately, CIA will not enter into any paid or contractual relationship with any full-time or part-time news correspondent accredited by any U.S. news service, newspaper, periodical, radio or television network or station."

This represents a voluntary, self-imposed limitation on legitimate activities of this agency of the federal

CONTINUED

government, undertaken in response to constitutional concerns which we respect and share, and in order to avoid even the appearance of impropriety.

3. The business of foreign intelligence is serious, responsible and important, since the only alternative to knowledge that the policymaker has is ignorance. It is false to describe the process of collection, analysis, and production of intelligence as "cloak-and-dagger work" in your context. It is misleading to ignore the established presidential and congressional direction and full accountability procedures in existence. It is false and dangerously misleading to present the public with the premise that the CIA attempts to manipulate this nation's free press.

Public discussion of American foreign intelligence activities is too often debased by those who either pursue sensationalism at any price or are, in fact, cynically dedicated to damaging this nation's intelligence and counter-intelligence capability. When a newspaper of the caliber of The Register errs in its editorial presentation of verifiable facts, potential for harm, however unintentional, is equally great. . . . — Andrew T. Falkiewicz, assistant to the director, Central Intelligence Agency, Washington, D.C. 20505.

ER

OCT 4 2 40 PM '76

Approved For Release 2004/09/03 : CIA-RDP88-01314R000100430018-9

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	DCI	4 OCT 1976	<i>JB/dly</i>
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
<input type="checkbox"/>	ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	DIRECT REPLY
<input type="checkbox"/>	APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/>	DISPATCH
<input type="checkbox"/>	COMMENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	FILE
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONCURRENCE	<input type="checkbox"/>	INFORMATION
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	PREPARE REPLY
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	RECOMMENDATION
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	RETURN
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	SIGNATURE
Remarks:			
<p><i>ATF</i> <i>Zarnell</i> <i>cy</i></p>			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
Approved For Release 2004/09/03 : CIA-RDP88-01314R000100430018-9			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	


Executive Registry

Approved For Release 2004/09/03 : CIA-RDP88-01314R000100430018-9

4 October 1976

DCI,

You will remember that we anticipated some rebuttal on the (related) themes of foreign journalists and foreign placement. We had not commented on these points of the resolution, other than to label them, by implication, as irrelevant. These are, of course, legitimate sources and methods. Any further exchange with the Register is unproductive. It is one thing to clarify misunderstandings or distortions -- another to try and adapt your policy directives to local criticism. We have made, I think, a sporting effort at the former; we should not yield to the Register's editorial pressure to do the latter. And, in all honesty, as between the two presentations, we do not come off badly.




Andrew T. Falkiewicz

OCT 4 11 22 AM '76

EM

Approved For Release 2004/09/03 : CIA-RDP88-01314R000100430018-9

25X1



Executive Registry
76-9928/21

TRANSMITTAL SLIP DATE 28 SEPTEMBER 1976

TO: DCI

ROOM NO. BUILDING

REMARKS:
TUBE: BX-3
ATF
what now?
GB
9-29

FROM: A/DCI

ROOM NO. BUILDING EXTENSION

FORM NO. 241 1 FEB 55 REPLACES FORM 36-B WHICH MAY BE USED. (47)