Approved For Release 2004/10/28 : CIA-RDP88-01314R000100390026-5

Article appeared 280 on page

2 March 1979



How the US infiltrated Italy's security services

## Friendly penatrations

STAT

THE LEAKED intelligence report which led; to the hasty expulsion of a US agent from Italy, two weeks ago has greatly embarrassed Italian and US officials. The report - a full copy of; which has reached the NEW STATESMAN demonstrates the remarkable extent to which the Italian security and anti-terrorist services had been penetrated by the 'friendly' US intelligence services. It also highlights the shambles into which the Italian security services have fallen, commenting profusely on the personalities of three leading Carabinieri generals in charge of operations.

One of these generals had an apparently insatiable urge to appear before television cameras during anti-terrorist hunts, while ready to condone, it is hinted, torture of prisoners or, indeed, any illegal methods. A second was characterised as unable to establish or run the newly-formed anti-terrorist agency SISDE (Servizio per le informazione e per la Sicurezza Democratica, or Intelligence and Democratic Security Service) unless previously supplied with a full instruction manual. The third would brook no course of action unless it seemed likely to lead him to the eventual post of Carabinieri commander-inchief.

The US official who left Italy under a 24-hour expulsion order was Dominic A. Perrone, a liaison officer to Italian military and security services from US Army European Command, based in Rome. His intelligence report on the 'status of (Italian) anti-terrorist orientation' was leaked, apparently from Washington, to the Rome left-wing daily Repubblica. The report, written in Italy on 29 January and forwarded to Washington a day later, was compiled from information given by a 'group of contacts'. Five contacts are described in Perrone's report, each of whom had clearly been used to obtain detailed and sensitive information on the operation of the Italian security services. The report's authenticity has not been disputed: Repubblica took the precaution before publication of obtaining an analysis and assessment of its contents from the former agents working for the Washington-based Covert Action Information Bulletin\* - in particular former CIA Latin American agent Philip Agee. They toldthe NEW STATESMAN that analysis indicated the report to be wholly authentic - in particular the practice of infitrating the operations of intelligence services in friendly countries.

Perrone indicates that he is responding to specific intelligence tasks assigned to him by a CIR - probably Central Intelligence Requirement of the CIA - number G-SCP-49503. In 1978proved Fon Release 2004/10/28: Chengral Giulio Grassini Director of SISDE. reports with the DIA on exactly the same reports with the DIA on exactly the same subject. He grades his intelligence sources

Perrone discovered that Italy had developed an 'entire official and unofficial intelligence and security services structure (which) was operating in violation of the reform law (on) anti-terrorism. The Intelligence and Military Security Service, SISMI, had illegally become involved in auti-terrorist activities - and was almost unable to perform at all as a counter-espionage service, leaving Italy wide open to hostile intelligence activities, as well as 'friendly' ones. To cap off this wholesale leak Perrone's source added that a recent Parliamentary report on SISDE had been 'mishmash and pap' intended to mislead.

APART FROM THIS, the Perrone report lucidly describes the proliferating special investigations in response to the Aldo Moro murder and other incidents. A network of national and local 'special operations' offices had been set up by the Interior Ministry: the Prime Minister had appointed as Coordinator for Anti-Terrorism and Aldo Moro case Chief Investigator, Carabinieri General Carlo Alberto dalla Chiesa. None of these had legal authority, which was vested in the judicial police. Unsurprisingly perhaps, 'the only agency assigned the anti-terrorist function by law was SISDE, which was probably conducting the least amount of actual activity ... the anti-terrorist operation was in chaos.'

General dalla Chiesa's penchant for personal publicity dominated many anti-terrorist activities. He was 'looming over the (effort), appearing at raids and other publicity valuable scenes, levying and tasking the other agencies and taking credit for what the other agencies had done. As a result the operation was haphazard ... and operating at the expense of the security of the country'. Dalla Chiesa was also responsible for special prisons to hold Brigate Rosso terrorists; a colleague who had: worked for him in the Carabinieri intelligence section told Perrone that he was faction oriented ... and not too concerned with how results were obtained. If he received orders to eliminate the Brigate he would start in immediately, worrying about increasing his capability as he went along. The fine points of legality or illegality would not interest him.'

In the special prisons which he controlled the Carabinieri officer expected that he 'would use whatever means possible to obtain information.' The colleague modestly concluded that dalla Chiesa was 'inclined to be a little ruthless.'

All of Perrone's five main informants are quoted in constructing a profile, of another

officers, one counter-espionage chief and a CESIS source all concurred that Grassini -