

Cmte 10

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE
Intelligence Information Handling Committee
WASHINGTON, DC 20505

3 JAN 1985

2 January 1985
IHC MM 85-1

LOGGED

Memo for Distribution

From: Vice Chairman

STAT

Subject: MFR on Coins/Dodiis meeting of 12/07/84 and Notice of meeting for 1/22/85

1. I am transmitting herewith a MFR summarizing the results of our meeting of 12/07/84. Please review and let me know if I have failed to capture the essential points correctly. In accordance with my understanding of the consensus reached on the exchange of security certifications, I have drafted some proposed language for incorporation in the revised MOU on this subject. I hope this will be satisfactory.

2. It seems that the earliest we could all agree on a date for our next meeting was 1/22/85 at 0930 here at Unless I hear from you otherwise I shall expect to meet with you then. has promised to provide some language on the gateway for our consideration at that time.

STAT

2 Attachments: As Stated

STAT

Distribution:

- /NSA/COINS PMO
- /NSA/COINS
- /DODIIS/DIAC
- /DODIIS/DIAC
- /IHC/ICS
- /VC/IHC/ICS

STAT

STAT

STAT

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE
Intelligence Information Handling Committee
WASHINGTON, DC 20505

STAT

2 January 1985
IHC MM 85-1

STAT

STAT

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

FROM: [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: Summary of Meeting on Dec. 7, 1984, on Coins/DODIIS MOU Revision

1. The meeting was convened by [REDACTED] in attendance were [REDACTED] of Coins PMO, [REDACTED] of DODIIS, and [REDACTED] of IHC.

2. It was agreed that the MFR prepared as a result of the previous meeting accurately reflected the items agreed upon.

3. COINS PMO reported that BBN had responded in the affirmative to the question posed at the previous meeting as to the capability of the C-70 network monitoring centers to pass a defined subset of network status information between network centers. Based upon this understanding it was agreed that the DODIIS network control center would pass to COINS that subset of network status information relating to DODIIS nodes having access to COINS, provided the details of the content of the information and the means of its transmission are acceptable to DODIIS. It was agreed that these details could be referred to JOIN and that the agreement in principle to provide the requested information on the stated basis was all that should be addressed by the MOU.

4. After considerable discussion it was agreed that DCID 1/16 was a satisfactory basis upon which to exchange security certification. It was also agreed that the MOU could provide for an annual exchange of certification of accreditation in accordance with DCID 1/16 provided the language of the MOU made it clear that the procedures to accredit and certify each network's security status remained the sole prerogative of the SOIC of each network in accordance with the provisions of DCID 1/16. [REDACTED] agreed to draft and submit to the participants draft language designed to incorporate this agreement in the proposed MOU revision.

STAT

5. The previous proposal to amend the DODIIS standard network identifier to conform to the COINS standard was discussed. It was reported that the DODIIS standard had recently been adopted and implemented and that it would be impossible at this juncture to make the changes that would be required. It was, therefore, agreed that each network would continue to use the identifier standard now prescribed and that the differences could be resolved by the use of translation tables.

6. [] reported that he was working on a paper which would address the issues involved in gateway design and that discussion of this issue should be postponed until the next meeting, which was agreed to.

STAT


7. [] discussed the COINS policies on file selection and access authorization and suggested that similar policies should be adopted and agreed upon by both networks. DODIIS representatives agreed to study this proposal.

STAT

8. It was agreed that policies for the exchange of information between NSOs on the handling of security problem reports were desirable and should be worked out and agreed upon. It was also agreed that this agreement in principle was all that was required as far as the MOU was concerned and that the details should be addressed later in a different forum.

9. It was concluded that [] should attempt a new draft of a proposed MOU for review and consideration at the next meeting to be held in January.

STAT



STAT

STAT

Page Denied