Sanitized Copy A	Approved for Release 2011/04/26 : CIA-RDP86T01017R000505350001-2	
	FILE	
		25 <b>X</b> 1
	Central Intelligence Agency	
•		
	Washington, D. C. 20505	
	DATE 116/86 FILE 3 November 1006	
	DOC NO SOV M 86-20105 X	
	OIR 3	
	P & PD )	
EMORANDUM	P GPD	
UBJECT: Shevar	inadze's Approach at Vienna on Strategic Arms	
DI. Shevardnad: e worked out to ould propose add	a framework for offensive force reductions and limitations on the serve as joint guidance to the Geneva negotiating teams. He ditional meetings of experts or another ministerial meeting in an impetus to the Geneva talks.	
		25 <b>X</b> 1
We doubt that pproach.	at Shevardnadze will take an aggressive or confrontational Moscow views the Vienna meeting as a	25 <b>X</b> 1
ontinuation of F	Reykjavik. Moreover, the Soviets probably calculate that a to engage Washington in discussions stands a better chance of	
enerating West E	European pressure on the United States for greater flexibility ake it or leave it" approach.	25X1
	ss conference on 30 October, Foreign Ministry press spokesman	
Gennadiy	Gerasimov said that the Soviets would not be going to the eeting with "empty hands," but he declined to speculate	1
whether t	the USSR would make changes to its Reykjavik proposals.	
Soviet am	cerview in <u>Der Spiegel</u> on 18 October, Valentin Falin, a former bassador to Bonn who now heads the Novosti news agency, said	
a few wee	very probable" that the USSR would unveil a new initiative in	25 <b>X</b> 1
ocus on SDI		
Shevardnadze	probably will try to keep the discussions focused on SDI,	
specially by see	King US agreement to negotiate on how to interpret the ARM	į
olus alla surce francial fillse	itegy may be to claim that the two sides' differences on SDI	1

## Fo

**e**s result largely from Washington's failure to understand the Soviet proposal for strengthening the ABM Treaty, and he may attempt to clarify alleged US "misconceptions" along the following lines.

SOV M 86-20105X

25X1

25X1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/04/26 : CIA-RDP86T01017R000505350001-2

25X1 -- The USSR is not asking the United States to give up SDI, only to observe the provisions of the ABM Treaty. -- The Soviets do not want to change or amend the treaty, only to clarify its obligations. -- The Soviet position does not foreclose the possibility of SDI deployment in the future. -- The USSR is not seeking to prevent all ABM testing and acknowledges that testing of fixed land-based ABM systems is permitted by the ABM Treaty. 25X1 Since the Reykjavik meeting, a number of Soviet officials have suggested that there is flexibility in the Soviet position on SDI testing and that Shevardnadze might bring some new proposals on this subject to Vienna. In an interview on Hungarian television on 29 October, Georgiy Arbatov said that mutual understandings on things such as how to define "laboratory" could be worked out between the two sides. 25X1 Moscow probably hopes that if nothing else is achieved on this issue, the meeting will serve to portray SDI as the main impediment to reaching an agreement. 25X1 START and INF We doubt that Shevardnadze will bring new proposals in the area of strategic and intermediate-range nuclear arms reductions. He will stress that there can be no strategic offensive force reductions without agreement on SDI. He is likely to focus any discussion of strategic offensive reductions on how reductions of fifty percent would be structured and to play down second-phase reductions to any kind of a "zero" outcome. 25X1 Shevardnadze also is likely to charge that the United States has been distorting the mutual understandings reached in Reykjavik and to assert that the President agreed there to eliminate all strategic nuclear weapons and not just ballistic missiles. He also will deny there was an understanding that allowed the United States to build up its SRINF missiles in Europe to Soviet levels.

			25V1
			25 <b>X</b> 1

Shevardnadze almost certainly will maintain that the Reykjavik proposals are a package and that Soviet concessions are attainable only if agreement is reached on all elements. The Soviets probably will reserve the right to withdraw their concessions, claiming they were predicated upon the original formulation of the package. If pressed on the issue of INF linkage to the rest of the package, however, Shevardnadze may indicate that a separate INF interim agreement is possible on the basis of the previous Soviet proposal of 100 warheads each in Europe and a freeze on SS-20s in Asia. Moscow may calculate that such a tactic could serve to bring pressure on Washington from its allies in the Far East over the SDI issue.

25X1

## Nuclear Testing

While the Soviets will want to keep the discussion focused on the ABM Treaty and SDI, Shevardnadze may raise the nuclear testing issue, proposing that official negotiations on nuclear testing be opened with the aim of achieving a comprehensive test ban. Since the Soviets have said that agreements can be worked out in all areas even though they would have to be considered as a package, their linkage position would not seem to preclude the opening of such negotiations—a longstanding Soviet objective.

25X1



O '1' I O A -		0044/04/00	IN DDDOOTO404	7DAAAEAEAEAAA4 6
Sanitizad i Anv Ar	anraved for Release	2011/02/26 17	ログードロンググロコロコ	/POODSOS350001=7
Janiuzeu Guby Al	oproved for Release	ZU I 1/U <del>1</del> /ZU . U		/ 1100000000000 1-2

25X1

## External Distribution:

Mr. John Van Oudenaren Policy Planning Staff Room 7316 Department of State

Mr. Darnell Whitt Room 4E830 Pentagon

Mr. Robert Ashdown US Arms Control and Disarmament Agency Room 5923 Department of State

25X1