



Washington, D. C. 20505

Dear Ralph:

STAT I have your letter of August fourth regarding your student, [redacted]. He certainly seems to be someone we shall want to talk to about developments in Guatemala and El Salvador and to consider as a prospective employee. Thank you for telling me about him.

I also want to thank you for your contributions to our discussion on August second. I am sorry I had to miss your opening remarks at the beginning of the discussion. What I did hear, though, was informative and stimulating. It was particularly helpful that our three academic visitors were not in perfect agreement on all points. The differences not only added to the vigor of the discussion but also, as usual, served to bring out salient issues that might otherwise have been passed over too quickly for those of us who were not specialists.

We shall have to follow developments in that troubled if small corner of the world very closely for a while, anyway, perhaps indefinitely. I assume we can call on you again when we need your insight into developments we are following and I shall look forward to seeing you when we do.

Yours,

STANSFIELD TURNER

STAT Dr. Ralph Lee Woodward
[redacted]

Letter to Dr. Ralph Lee Woodward

Distribution:

Original - Addressee
1 - DCI
1 - DDCI
1 - Exec. Registry
1 - D/NFAC
1 - NIO/LA
3 - NFAC/CAR
1 - NFAC Registry

STAT

NFAC/CAR s (10 August 1979)

The Director
Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D. C. 20505

Dear Tom:

I want to thank you for your splendid contributions to our discussion on "Central America after Somoza" on August second. I regret I was unable to be present at the beginning when you and our other guest experts made your opening remarks. Even without that privilege, however, I found the evening informative and stimulating. No doubt part of the stimulus resulted from the fact that you did not agree on all points and that you presented and defended your different positions so well. Somehow differences always make a better discussion.

You may assume that our National Intelligence Officer for Latin America and our analysts who are specialists on Central America will keep you in mind and, as the evolving situation suggests, in touch. For myself, I shall look forward to our next meeting.

Yours,

STANSFIELD TURNER

Dr. Thomas W. Walker



STAT

Letter to Dr. Thomas W. Walker


Distribution:

- Original - Addressee
- 1 - DCI
- 1 - DDCI
- 1 - Exec. Registry
- 1 - D/NFAC
- 1 - NIO/LA
- 3 - NFAC/CAR
- 1 - NFAC Registry

STAT

NFAC/CAR js (10 August 1979)

The Director
Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D. C. 20505

Dear Ken:

I want to thank you for your splendid contributions to our discussion on "Central America after Somoza" on August second. I regret I was unable to be present at the beginning when you and our other guest experts made your opening remarks. Even without that privilege, however, I found the evening informative and stimulating. No doubt part of the stimulus resulted from the fact that you did not agree on all points and that you presented and defended your different positions so well. Somehow differences always make a better discussion.

You may assume that our National Intelligence Officer for Latin America and our analysts who are specialists on Central America will keep you in mind and, as the evolving situation suggests, in touch. For myself, I shall look forward to our next meeting.

Yours,

STANSFIELD TURNER

Dr. Kenneth J. Grieb
Department of History
University of Wisconsin
Oshkosh, Wisconsin 54901

Letter to Dr. Kenneth J. Grieb

Distribution:

- Original - Addressee
- 1 - DCI
- 1 - DDCI
- 1 - Exec. Registry
- 1 - D/NFAC
- 1 - NIO/LA
- 3 - NFAC/CAR
- 1 - NFAC Registry

STAT

NFAC/CAR js (10 August 1979)