

~~GMC~~
Former spouses

RECORD OF ORAL RESPONSE

BILLS AND LEGISLATIVE REFERRALS

Date: 7/1/83

Office: OMB

Person: Phoebe Felk

Subject: former spouses section

Bill No.: S. 888

Comment: _____

I told Phoebe that we had no specific CIA objections to the bill. We did have a broader concern about how the former spouses title would affect our efforts to make Agency service appear attractive. We did not want to voice this concern, however, as a single agency. We therefore wanted to see what position the Administration particularly OPM were taking.

Phoebe said that no agency had taken a position to date and the Administration had not taken a position as yet, although the issue had been discussed in cabinet conferences. The bill was being circulated because treasury had been asked to testify a few weeks ago but the testimony was scrapped because the Administration decided that it would formulate a broad position on the matter before having an agency testify. therefore, OMB was soliciting agency comments.

I said that in light of this, we didn't wish to take a position at the time. I would get back to her in the near future with a response. She said that ~~xxxxxxx~~ this would be fine and that I would be free to call her back from time to time to see what positions other agencies were taking.

GMC: What do you want to do - it appears everyone is waiting for everyone else?

→ Gave
Pls to memo
we spoke about
G