25X1

25X1

25X1

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D. C. 20505

## DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

17 May 1985

The Eff	ects of	Afghanistan	on	Indo-Pakistani	Relations	
---------	---------	-------------	----	----------------	-----------	--

Summary

India and Pakistan both view the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan as a threat to regional security, although for different reasons. Islamabad worries about the potential for Soviet and Indian efforts -separate or joint--to undermine Pakistan's stability. New Delhi fears that the revival of US-Pakistani military ties and the expanded US naval presence in the Indian Ocean will increase superpower competition in a region where India aspires to unchallenged dominance. Despite an early effort by Indira Gandhi to include Pakistan in a regional response to the invasion, the issue of Afghanistan has underscored and even widened the differences that have characterized relations between India and Pakistan since both became independent in 1947.

This memorandum was prepared by of the Office of Near Eastern and South Asian Analysis. Comments and queries are welcome and may be addressed to the Chief, South Asia Division, NESA, Information as of 15 May 1985 was used in the	25X1 25X1
preparation of this report.  NESA-M-85-10011	25 <b>X</b> 1
	25 <b>X</b> 1
	25X1

Sanitized Copy Appro	ved for Release 2010/11/08 : CIA-RDP85101058R000406370001-6	
The Effec	cts of Afghanistan on Indo-Pakistani Relations	
who had just retuto adopt an Indian Afghanistan. Indian statements a regional group to activities to Afghastill dothat if inclined eventually Delhi contemplated	fter the 1979 Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, Indira Gandhi urned to powertried to persuade President Zia's government -sponsored "regional approach" to the Soviet occupation of around that time suggest that Indira sought to form a exert diplomatic pressure on the Soviets to confine their anistan. New Delhi probably also believedas many Indians the Soviets felt secure in Afghanistan, they might be y to withdraw their troops. We do not believe that New publicly opposing the Soviet invasion.	
Pakistani military of a superpower con senior In India and the region became a "buffer staccepting major milits soil, or engagindian view, even wonfronting the Sove	bably also hoped a regional approach would head off renewed involvement with the United States and the potential threat of the south Asia.  Indian officials believed the extent of the threat posed to on by the Soviet invasion would depend on whether Pakistan tate" or whether it became a "confrontation state" by litary help from outside powers, allowing foreign bases on ing in "provocative actions" toward the Soviets. In the with outside help, Pakistan would be incapable of viet Union militarily—an argument New Delhi continues to washington to limit arms supplies to Pakistan.	
the "regional responded officials" character instead accepted US Afghanistan. We do Indians of its unwing Delhi's public read Islamabad has secur likely that Pakista	eaction negated Indian President Reddy's plan to announce onse" proposal in Parliament in January 1980. Pakistani rized India's plan to US diplomats as "hegemonistic," and S offers of arms to counter the Soviet threat from o not know precisely how or when Islamabad advised the illingness to go along with Indira's suggestion, but New ction was strongly negative. In India's view, the arms red from the United States since the invasion make it more an's "irrational" leaders will again wage war on India, s military advantage.	
threatened India, Z We believe the prop	Indian arguments that Pakistan's acquisitions of US arms Zia in 1981 proposed a nonaggression pact with New Delhi. Dosal was designed in part to reassure Washington of I toward India and to improve relations with India as a Soviets.	,
high-level bilatera meetings eased tens resolved. In their Pakistan saw as imp foregone the right	icism about Pakistan's motives, Indira Gandhi agreed to al talks on the nonaggression pact in late 1982. The sions, but also resulted in a deadlock that has yet to be counterproposal, the Indians insisted on conditions that pinging on Islamabad's sovereignty; Pakistan would have to take disputes to the United Nations and committed itself eign bases on its territory.	
	2	

25X1

25X1

25X1

.25X1

The two countries agreed to establish working-level joint commissions to promote normalization of ties, and talks have taken place intermittently between high-level as well as working level foreign ministry officials. Zia met with Indira several times, and has already met with Rajiv twice--at the funerals of Indira and Chernenko. The two foreign secretaries also meet from time to time at meetings of regional organizations, the Nonaligned Movement, and the UN. No high-level meetings have been held between military officials, although the two sides generally abide by an informal agreement to keep each other informed about military exercises.

New Delhi continues to parry Pakistani initiatives on a nonaggression pact by pushing only for progress on trade and cultural exchanges until tensions have eased. Many influential Indians believe the 1972 Simla Agreement—in which Indira Gandhi and then-President Bhutto agreed to settle their countries' differences through bilateral negotiations, refrain from hostile propaganda, and avoid meddling in each other's domestic affairs—is the most realistic basis for normalization.

New Delhi, in our view, wants to maintain at least the appearance of cooperation on a nonaggression pact. The Indians want to bolster their image of reasonableness and statesmanship as leaders of the Nonaligned Movement, to remind Moscow that India is pursuing its own foreign policy line, and to avoid complicating India's relations with the Gulf Arabs by appearing hostile to a Muslim state.

Over the past three years, New Delhi's suspicion of Pakistani involvement with Sikh extremists in Punjab has emerged as the major impediment to a breakthrough in bilateral relations. The Indians apparently have surmised—in our view, correctly—that Zia is even more eager than they to pursue bilateral normalization, in part because he believes the military balance strongly favors India. By restarting normalization talks with Islamabad only after Zia agreed to put on trial two groups of Sikhs who had hijacked Indian airliners to Pakistan in 1981 and 1984, Rajiv signaled that he probably will continue to link progress toward normalization with Islamabad's stance on the Sikh issue. Indira Gandhi last summer broke off talks at least partly to protest Pakistan's handling of the last Sikh hijacking and its media treatment of Indian Government actions in Punjab. Rajiv may well do likewise if he decides Islamabad's actions toward or statements on the Sikhs threaten his domestic management of the problem.

For its part, Islamabad still fears that India's desire for regional preeminence threatens Pakistan's survival. From Pakistan's point of view, the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, coupled with Moscow's close ties with New Delhi, raises the threat of Indo-Soviet collaboration to weaken Pakistan—a development we consider unlikely because of divergent Indian and Soviet equities in South Asia. Some Pakistanis are aware that New Delhi wants Pakistan to remain intact as a buffer against Soviet—occupied Afghanistan, but many still worry that Moscow will somehow manipulate India to attack Pakistan. Pakistanis also are concerned that India might take advantage of tension along the Pakistani—Afghan border to intimidate Pakistan or that India might launch a preemptive strike against Pakistan's nuclear facilities.

25X1

25X1 25X1

3

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/11/08 : CIA-RDP85T01058R000406370001-6	25 <b>X</b> 1
SUBJECT: The Effects of Afghanistan on Indo-Pakistani Relations	25 <b>X</b> 1
Internal Distribution: 1 - DDI 1 - NIO/NESA 1 - NIO/EA	
1 - C/PES 1 - D/NESA 1 DD/NESA 1 - D/SOVA	
1 - C/SOVA/RIG 1 - C/PPS 1 - D/OGI 1 - C/ISID 1 - C/SO/D/NESA	
1 - C/SO/S/NESA 1 - D/OEA 1 - DDO/NEA 1 - DDO/NE	25X1 25X1
1 - CPAS/ISS 1 - PDB Staff 4 - CPAS/IMD/CB 2 - NESA/PS 2 - NESA/SO/S Branch	r
DDI/NESA/SO/S (17 May 85)	25 <b>X</b> 1

25X1

SUBJECT: The Effects of Afghanistan on Indo-Pakistani Relations

25X1

External Distribution:

Peter Burleigh, Bureau of Intelligence and Research, South Asia Division, Department of State, Room 4636A, Washington, DC 20520

Major General Kenneth D. Burns, USAF, Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Near Eastern and South Asian Affairs, Room 4D765, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301

Captain Edward Louis Christensen, USN, Chief, South Asian Regional Plans and Policy Branch, Department of Defense, Room 2E973, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301

Mr. James P. Covey Special Assistant to the President and Senior Director, Near East and South Asia Affairs, National Security Council Room 351, Old Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20500

Donald Gregg, Assistant to the Vice President for National Security Affairs, Room 381, Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20520

Mr. Philip S. Kaplan, Staff Director for Policy Planning Council Department of State, Room 7316, Washington, DC 20520

Colonel Kenneth E. McKim, Director, Office of the Secretary of Defense, International Security Affairs, Near East and South Asia Region, Department of Defense, Room 4D765, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301

Christopher Ross, Director, Regional Affairs, Bureau of Near Eastern and South Asian Affairs, Department of State, Room 5254A, Washington, DC 20520

Dr. John D. Stempel, Special Assistant, Near East South Asia, Department of State, Room 5246A, Washington, DC 20520

Peter Tomsen, Bureau of Near Eastern and South Asian Affairs, Director, INS, Department of State, Room 5251, Washington, DC 20520

Darnell Whitt, Intelligence Adviser to the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, Room 4D840, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301

Dr. Dov S. Zakheim Deputy Assistant Under Secretary of Defense for Policy/Resources Room 3D777, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301

Mr. Ronald P. Zwart
Special Assistant for South Asia, International Security Affairs,
Near Eastern and South Asian Affairs, Department of Defense,
Room 4D765, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301

5	