

FEB 1983

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT  
Routing Slip

*Lin* 6

TO:		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI				
2	DDCI				
3	EXDIR				
4	D/ICS	✓	✓		
5	DDI				
6	DDA				
7	DDO				
8	DDS&T				
9	Chm/NIC				
10	GC				
11	IG				
12	Compt				
13	D/EEO				
14	D/Pers				
15	D/OEA				
16	C/PAD/OEA				
17	SA/IA				
18	AO/DCI				
19	C/IPD/OIS				
20					
21					
22					

SUSPENSE \_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Remarks:

*Please coordinate any responses with  
DDI*

*VJ* Executive Secretary

*2/4/83*

3657 (10-81)

STAT

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

FEB 1983  
LOGGED

Executive Registry  
83-0757

**CABINET AFFAIRS STAFFING MEMORANDUM**

DATE: 2-4-83 NUMBER: 077773CA DUE BY: COB 2-8-83

SUBJECT: Decision Memorandum: Transfer of Civil Space Remote Sensing  
Systems to the Private Sector

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
<b>ALL CABINET MEMBERS</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Baker</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Vice President	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Deaver</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
State	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Clark</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Treasury	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Darman (For WH Staffing)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Defense	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Harper</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Attorney General	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Jenkins</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interior	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Agriculture	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Commerce	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Labor	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HHS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HUD	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Transportation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Energy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Education	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Counsellor	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
OMB	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CIA	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
USTR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CEA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>CCCT/Gunn</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CEQ	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>CCEA/Porter</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
OSTP	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>CCFA/Boggs</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
NASA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>CCHR/Carleson</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>CCLP/Uhlmann</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>CCMA/Bledsoe</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<b>CCNRE/Boggs</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

REMARKS: Please review and provide any comments by COB 2/8/83

DCI  
EXEC  
REG

RETURN TO:

Craig L. Fuller  
Assistant to the President  
for Cabinet Affairs  
456-2823

Becky Norton Dunlop  
Director, Office of  
Cabinet Affairs  
456-2800

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 25, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: THE CABINET COUNCIL ON COMMERCE AND TRADE

SUBJECT: Transfer of the Civil Space Remote Sensing Systems  
to the Private Sector

Issue

Should the Administration transfer to the private sector the civil operational land and weather satellite systems?

Background

The current U.S. program in operational civil space remote sensing consists of a single land satellite and four operational weather satellites in orbit. A number of private entities have expressed interest in assuming responsibility for portions of the civil space remote sensing system. Some firms are interested in the land satellite systems; another is interested in both the land and weather satellite systems.

Foreign governments have recognized the value of this technology. Civil space remote sensing systems are being advanced by France, Japan, the European Space Agency, India, Canada, the Federal Republic of Germany, and the Soviet Union. To date, only France has actually invested in a land remote sensing system (SPOT); others have invested only in weather systems.

There is agreement among all parties that a self-supporting, successful private venture or ventures can evolve in land, and weather. The Government provides a steady market for weather data. The value of land satellite data to the U.S. Government has not been rigorously established. Federal user agencies have been happy to use data now provided at subsidized costs, but, if required to pay the full cost of land satellite data, they indicate an intention to consider other means to meet their needs.

Other than Federal users, the land satellite data market has not grown as rapidly as it could have because of the inherent limitations on the Government in developing domestic and international markets. However, the market for land remote sensing data is growing and the long-term outlook appears promising, provided an aggressive private sector is given the opportunity to develop and expand the market base for this product.

The U.S. Government is currently spending more than \$14 billion per year on the civil and national defense space programs, of which nominally \$150-\$200 million is devoted to civil space remote sensing. The Administration's current budget includes funding for the long term operation and replacement of the civil weather satellite. For land remote sensing, the current policy is to continue with the two land satellites which were purchased prior to this Administration and are expected to last until 1988. Thus, the budget has only operating costs and does not include additional Federal funding to procure additional land satellites. The budget assumes that any future land remote sensing systems would have to be owned/operated by a private entity.

The United States has created this high-technology field, but it could lose its leadership position in land remote sensing unless action is taken to preserve it. Transfer to a private entity without any government assurances would be preferable and will be actively sought. However, implementing a commercial satellite system may involve some form of government-assured market for a brief time, e.g., a guaranteed minimum purchase agreement, until the private entity is firmly established. The level of need for such support, if any, will be considered carefully in the evaluation of proposals actually submitted.

Federal interests will require a continuing oversight to any private entity involved in civil space remote sensing, as outlined in the Outer Space Treaty. Such oversight, carried out with interagency coordination and contractual provisions between the Government and the data supplier, will assure that national defense, intelligence, and foreign relations concerns are satisfied.

The Cabinet Council on Commerce and Trade has extensively reviewed the issue and has identified two principal options for your consideration:

Option 1: Transfer to the private sector, by competitive means, the current operational civil weather and land satellites. Separate bids would be accepted for the land or weather satellites, or a firm could elect to submit a single bid for all.

The Department of Commerce will oversee the transfer of the civil operational weather and land, satellites to the U.S. private sector as soon as possible. The transfer will be guided by the following principles:

- (1) National security and foreign policy concerns would be appropriately addressed in preparing legislation, requesting proposals, and/or overseeing the private entity or entities.
- (2) The selection of the private entity would occur under competitive conditions. Private firms would have the option of bidding separately for the land or weather satellite system or preparing a joint submission for both. The financial and program justifications would be presented in such a manner that separate submissions can be appropriately compared to joint submissions.
- (3) The Department of Commerce would establish an inter-agency coordinating body as soon as possible.

#### Advantages

- o Stimulates technology development by the private sector in response to new market demands and expands the role of private industry.
- o Demonstrates commitment to the private sector role in space.
- o Reduces the size and scope of Government activities.

#### Disadvantage

- o May require increasing Federal funding to prior commitment levels until the private entity is firmly established.

Option 2: Continue the current budget policy of bringing the operational land remote sensing systems in the Government to a close nominally by 1988 (or sooner if private industry is willing to take it over) and retain the civil weather satellites under Government control.

Advantage

- o Option is within current budget.

Disadvantages

- o Only minimally reduces the size and scope of Government.
- o Would result in the relinquishment of land remote sensing to foreign competitors by U.S..

Decision

Option 1 \_\_\_\_\_ Transfer to the private sector, via competitive means the current operational civil weather and land satellites. Separate bids would be permitted for the land or weather satellites, or a firm could elect to submit a single bid for all.

Option 1 unanimously supported by the Cabinet Council on Commerce and Trade

Option 2 \_\_\_\_\_ Continue the current budget policy of bringing the operational land remote sensing systems in the Government to a close nominally by 1988 or sooner if private industry is willing to take it over, and retain the civil weather satellites under Government control.



Malcolm Baldrige  
Chairman Pro Tempore  
Cabinet Council on Commerce and Trade