This is a TEMPORARY DOCUMENT

released to National Archivas. under the HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM.

Transcribed by cem for abd 19 January 1953

Date 16 JAN 91 HRP 89-2

The Assistant Director, R & E

16 February 1949

813266

Chief, Global Survey Group

Coordination of the CIA Series

Reference: Memorandum for the Director from the Chief, ICAPS, 8 February 1949

1. The Reference, para. 6, implicitly recommends that the CIA Series be subjected to the same processes of coordination as are required in the case of the ORE Series. The basis of this recommendation is indicated in para. 7, which says:

... the basic law and regulation under which we function give to CIA the responsibility for only national intelligence, and the method of setting up national intelligence is participation by the various established intelligence agencies...

- 2. The concept of national intelligence indicated in the foregoing f quotation is obviously taken from the "Dulles Report", where, I believe, it finds official expression for the first time. It is entitled to respectful consideration, but is, in my opinion, the most controversial aspect of the "Dulles Report" and certainly has not yet the force of "basic law and regulation."
- 3. This controversial concept equates "national intelligence" to "coordinated intelligence" in terms that made "coordinated intelligence" mean nothing more than "joint intelligence". But I know, of my own knowledge, that CIA was created to produce, as "strategic and national policy intelligence", something above and beyond joint intelligence. "Strategic and national policy intelligence" (now called "national intelligence" for short) was understood in terms of the function such intelligence was intended to serve, not in terms of a particular method of production. The essential idea with respect to the production of such intelligence was expressed in the term "final synthesis": it was to be an authoritative final evaluation and synthesis of all available intelligence, free from the influence of departmental bias. A process of "coordination" was retained, not because joint intelligence was the end in view, but as a means of discovering and noting any departmental position substantially different from the essentially independent final analysis and interpretation of CIA.
- 4. Admittedly, this clear concept has been lost sight of in the confusion of thought which has existed since June, 1946. It is still valid, however, and is consonant with the existing "basic law and regulation." Moreover, the Dulles-ICAPS concept finds no explicit support in the existing law and regulations.

CONFIDENTIAL

This document has been approved for release through the HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM of the Central Intelligence Agency.

Approved For Release 2000/09/14 : @IA-RDP84-00022R0002000400@2)1) 1 1 9

Approved For Release 2000/09/14 : CIA-RDP84-00022R000200040062-1

CON DENITAL

25(15)

- 5. The law says only that CTA shall "correlate and evaluate intelligence relating to the national security". It makes no stipulation with respect to "coordination": it is CTA that is to correlate and evaluate, language originally used to express the concept of independent final analysis and interpretation set forth above.
- 6. NSCID No. 1 defines "national intelligence" in terms of the national security (its function), not in terms of "coordination" of any other process of production. It specifies that, insofar as is practicable, CIA shall not duplicate departmental research, but shall make use of departmental facilities and production. It says nothing whatever about any coordination of interpretation.
- 7. NSCID No. 3 describes "national intelligence" as "integrated" (not "coordinated") departmental intelligence. This distinction appears to be deliberate and points toward the original concept ("final synthesis") rather than the Dulles-ICAPS concept of CIA's function. Coordination is referred to only with respect to the procurement of departmental contributions for CIA consideration, not with respect to any process of joint interpretation.
- 8. DCI 3/1, intended to "facilitate departmental participation in the preparation of national intelligence", is the highest law or regulation pertinent to the subject and the Reference. In view of the preceding demonstration, its relevant provisions must be regarded as a matter of current policy rather than of compliance with the law or with NSC direction. DCI 3/1 prescribes various procedures for obtaining departmental contributions to and concurrence or dissent on "national intelligence reports and estimates" (the SR and ORE Series). It specifically provides that coordination is not required with respect to current intelligence.
- 9. DCI 3/1, dated 8 July 1948, was contemporary with the tenth number in the CIA Series (CIA 7-48). It was specifically understood at that time that the procedures prescribed therein with respect to the ORE Series were not intended to apply to the CIA Series, which, by mutual agreement with the departmental agencies, was already accepted, for these purposes, as current intelligence.
- 10. This agreement was not reached on any basis of abstract consideration, but as a result of practical experience. Initially we undertook to coordinate items in the CIA Series as though they were items in the ORE Series. CIA-1 (September 1947) was so coordinated, at a cost in time and effort, to the agencies as well as to ourselves, far in excess of any resultant benefit. CIA-2 was not coordinated, for absolute lack of any time in which to do so. I do not recall precisely when the agencies begged off. They may well have been prompted to do so by their relief on those occasions when coordination proved impossible to accomplish for want of time. In any case, I do recall distinctly that agreement to regard the CIA Series as current intelligence was reached on agency initiative and was as much for the relief of agency analysts as for our benefit. If any agency representative now wants to

Approved For Release 2000/09/14: CIA-RDP84-00022R000200040062-1

CONTROL MINISTER

4900 25

resume coordination, he is presumably a headquarters character arguing in the abstract without appreciation of the practical consequences of his proposal.

- ll. This observation has particular application in the case of State. One obstacle to the efficient coordination of any appreciation of global scope, such as items in the CIA Series, is the lack of any unit in the IAC agencies comparable to G/GS. To coordinate with us in such a case OIR has to employ a team of half a dozen area specialists. Each such specialist is inclined to demand that his area be treated as though it were the sole subject of consideration. The net result is vexatious delay and diversion of effort for us and a much greater loss in man-hours for OIR, without substantial effect upon the tenor of the estimate.
- 12. The Series is presently prepared with cognizance of departmental. views as conveyed to us through various media and in discussions relative to estimates in the ORE Series. Specific prior consultation with departmental specialists would consume their time and ours without contributing materially to the preparation of the initial draft. Moreover, experience shows that the comment elicited in the process of coordinating a draft of such scope and character as an item in the CTA Series is essentially editorial in character and without significant substantive effect.
- 13. It is, of course, quite feasible to coordinate items in the CIA Series as though they were items in the ORE Series, but it can be done only at considerable cost in loss of timeliness and in terms of man-hours expended, without commensurate gain. Under present procedures, which include elaborate coordination within ORE, it is necessary to write in terms of the situation existing ten days in advance of the publication date. The imposition of external coordination would require allowance of at least an additional week, very definitely impairing the timeliness of the appreciation as of its date of publication. (In this connection ICAPS should be advised that there is not, and cannot be, in real life any such thing as a meaningful oral coordination.) Moreover, in view of the fact that the Global Survey Group is already experiencing difficulty in giving proper attention to its many and various commitments, the additional consumption of time (approximately one man-week) would require the provision of an additional member to the Group. These consequences could be avoided only be relieving G/GS of any responsibility for prior consultation and subsequent coordination with the Branches of ORE. This development is, indeed, the logical ultimate consequence of the position taken by ICAPS. If consultation and coordination with the departmental agencies (including consultation with half a dozen area specialists in OIR) is the controlling consideration, consultation and coordination with the Branches of ORE is an unnecessary and inconsequential duplication and the best procedure would be to set up a permanent interdepartmental committee to produce the monthly review for the Security Council.
 - 14. The issue is, in essence, whether the CIA Series is intended

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2000/09/14 : CIA-RDP84-00022R000200040062-1

COMPEDIANT

or desired to be (as we suppose) a responsible synthesis and interpretation of the developing global situation, written with cognizance of departmental views, but with independent judgment, or merely a routine joint intelligence periodical.

15. I recommend that the Director be briefed with respect to the foregoing considerations and advised to defer decision on this incidental matter pending a basic policy decision by the NSC with respect to the doctrine enunciated by the Dulles Report and latterly copied by ICAPS in this connection. If, however, an immediate decision in conformity with the Reference is taken, I recommend that he then be advised to form at once a permanent IAC subcommittee to continue the CIA Series.

LUDWELL L. MONTAGUE

Buch

cc AD/ORE S/PP G/SI

COMPTDIENTE