

SIARDP83M00914R0019002:10

The W.P. answers the  
DCI's question on EN 82 12414/1

TOP SECRET

jbe 25X1

Working Paper  
29 October 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

FROM : [REDACTED]  
Chief, Arms Control Intelligence Staff

25X1

SUBJECT : Update to the Rostow/Nitze Package Deal [REDACTED]

25X1

1. This "fast-track" memorandum gives you an update of the work underway in the Executive Branch--and in this Agency--about Ambassador Nitze's, and Director Rostow's variation on the, package deal for INF. As background, you asked me some weeks ago for an assessment of what is attainable and acceptable in the INF negotiations. More recently, you asked me for an evaluation of Director Rostow's variation on Ambassador Nitze's package. [REDACTED]

25X1

2. On Tuesday, 26 October, I attended a private meeting in Admiral Howe's office at the Department of State to discuss where the Executive Branch goes next on the concept of a package deal. The participants, besides me, were:

NSC - MGen. R. Boverie (Ret.)  
DOS - Adm. J. Howe  
ACDA - Dr. J. Timbie  
OSD - Mr. R. Perle  
JCS - BGen. R. Brooks (TS)

3. The following points summarize this meeting:

- o The Executive Branch does in fact have to study, as the NSPG principals were directed, what alternative positions the U.S. might want to have in the INF negotiations, other than the existing "zero-zero" option, et al; OSD/Perle had offered the idea that the Soviet rejection of the package (at the beginning of this round of INF talks) obviated the need for such study.
- o OSD/JCS agreed to look at what mix of weapons systems in Europe (and what quantities) the U.S. could move to as a position sometime in early 1983. OSD/ Perle agreed to use the issue of nuclear-armed aircraft as a cover means to allow the bureaucracy to study the mix question, given that the U.S. position in INF focuses now, in effect, on missiles alone.

TOP SECRET

25X1

TOP SECRET

[Redacted]

25X1

SUBJECT: Update to the Rostow/Nitze Package Deal [Redacted]

25X1

- o CIA agreed to look at what key questions--e.g., monitoring--we would want to have addressed in any future considerations by the Executive Branch of any "new" U.S. positions.
- o At my request, it was agreed (I believe) that the secrecy of such considerations be paramount and specifically that:
  - the subject never be discussed on unsecure phones under any circumstances; and,
  - the movement of papers within the Executive Branch be done strictly within "eyes only" sealed envelopes -- unlike Director Rostow's correspondence. [Redacted]

25X1

4. In this context, this Staff is working towards giving you answers for both of the issues you requested. I hope to do this--given the complexity of your requests and the other requirements on this Staff--by 15 November. I am told that a NSPG meeting needs to be called, but I believe that meeting will be postponed until at least mid-November, and it is likely to be even later than that because the questions for DOD are relatively hard ones. In any case, I want you to know I have not forgotten your requests, and we will get answers to you. [Redacted]

25X1

5. If you desire to change the timeline for our work, or if there is anything else I can do for you now, please call and let me know. [Redacted]

25X1

25X1

[Redacted]

cc: DDCI  
ExDir  
DDI  
C/NIC

TOP SECRET

[Redacted]

25X1