Approved For Release 2001/03/06: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020027-0

A OS Component regarde of the

- Establish policy relating to the security of the Agencys Information Processing Systems.
- 2. Perform surveys and make security evaluation of ongoing AIPS.
- Perform surveys and make security evaluation of contractor IPS holding Agency information.
- 4. Conduct physical and technical inspection resulting in security determinations on inhouse areas that will hold information process equipment.

Conduct physical and technical inspections resulting in security determinations on contractor areas that will hold information process equipment used using information supplied by this Agency of CA.

- 6. Operate the computer security audit analysis program.
- 7. Provide representation to the computer security sub-committee.
- 8. Provide representation COINS security panel.
- 9. Maintain the Agency Top Secret control program.
- 10. Develop central automation inventory system for the Top Secret control program.

2 3 e t

Annrov

Approved For Release 2001/03/06: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020027-0

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for establishing policy relating to the security of the Agencys Information Processing Systems. ACTIVITY CODE: II, C, 1... COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Information Systems Security Group **EVALUATION:** DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes 🖊 Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes ~ No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No____ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

important than this one? Yes___ No / If so, what?

Approved For Release 200 100 3/06 CIA RDP83B00823R00070002002700 sible for performing surveys and making security evaluation of ongoing AIPS. ACTIVITY CODE: II, C, 2 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Information Systems Security Group EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 23 Aug 74 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Krency as a whole AIPS users Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No___ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ___ No __ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No___ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No ___ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

important than this one? Yes ___ No __ If so, what?

evaluation of contractor IPS holding Agency information. ACTIVITY CODE: COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Information Systems II, C, 3 Security Group **EVALUATION:** DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 23 Aug 79 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? the Office which has the contract. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No____ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without_ significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ If so, how? function well grow Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes____ No___ If so, what?

IDENTIF Approved For Release 2001/03/06 i CALLES BOOK 23R00070002002700 sible for performing surveys and making security

inspection resulting in security determinations on inhouse areas that will hold information process equipment. ACTIVITY CODE: II, C, 4 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Information Systems Security Group **EVALUATION:** DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A 23 Aug 74 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Termina / users. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No___ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No___ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No____ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes____ No___ If so, what?

IDENTIF ACATION de le dése 2001/03/06 i CIAPROPESB00828R00070002002700sible

for conducting physical and technical

for conducting physical and technical inspections resulting in security determinations on contractor areas that will hold information process equipment using information supplied by the CIA. ACTIVITY CODE: II, C, 5 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Information Systems Security Group **EVALUATION:** DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Office holding the Contract Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____No__ If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No W If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No___ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes____ No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF OF RELEASE 2001/03/06: GIA-RDE83B00923RQ0070002002760sible

Approved For Release 2001703766ice A RDP83B00823R00070002002700 sible for operating the computer security audit analysis program.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, C, 6	COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Information System
EVALUATION:	Security Group DATE:
What is <u>(are) our reference</u> (s) fo	r this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A	23 Aug 74
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	of this activity?
Computer users. =	security of files.
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel can the activity be done more efficient for how?	t is still necessary? Vos / No No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred to ther Office? Yes No
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? YesNoIf	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

Approved For Release 2001/03/06 i CJA RDR83B90823B00070002P02700 sible for providing representation to the computer security subcommittee.

ACTIVITY CODE:	II, C, 7	CC	OMPONENT: OS/	P&M/Information	Systems
EVALUATION:				Security DATE:	Group
				2711 13 .	
What is (are)_	Our reference	(s) for thi	c octivity?	D-4 () 0	
25X1A	Total chec		By 74	Date(s)?	1
		•			
Is (are) the r Who is (are) t	eference(s) state he beneficiar	till in for v(ies) of t	ce? Yes /	No	
I	ntell Cor	$n \sim 2$		•	
Do you feel th Do (es) the ben	eficiary(ies)	feel it is	still nacas	Tarura Vos	No
Can the activi If so, how?	ty be done mor	re efficien	tly or less o	costly? Yes	No
	•			·	•
Do you feel the to another OS If so, where	a combonent of	ity for the	e activity sl r Office? Ye	nould be transfersNo	erred
		•			
Can another com	nnonent do the	e activity :	moro officion	tly? Less cost	
More properly	7? YesN	If s	so, which com	ponent and why	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
Does the activi Watergate? Y	ity include an Yes No	ything ques	stionable in what?	the light of	
		 .			
Can this activi	ty he reduced	in			
significant e If so, how?	effect on over	all Agency	size or disco	ntinued without esNo	
-					
s there any ac important tha	tivity not nor nor nor nor nor n	w being pur Yes No	sued by OS to	hat you feel is what?	more

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY 200 1/03/06 : CIAORDP83B00823R000700020027 consible for providing representation COINS security panel.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, C, 8 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Information Systems

Security Group EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A 23 Aug 74 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No___ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes ~ No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No___ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No___ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without_ significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes___ No___ If so, what?

Approved For Release 2001/03/06: CLA-RDP83B00823R0007000200270Asible for maintaining the Agency Top Secret control program.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, C, 9	COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Information S	System
EVALUATION:	Security (DATE:	Group
What is 250/41/4) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?	
		•
Is (are) the reference(s) still in	force? Yes No	
Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies)		-
Agency as a who		
Do you feel the activity is still a Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient of the so, how?	is still necessary? Vos	No
11 30, now.		
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	the activity should be transfer ther Office? Yes No	red:
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costl If so, which component and why?	y? __
		•
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No	· -
s there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is No If so, what?	more

Approved For Release 2001/03/06 ic Approved For Release 2001/06 ic Approved For Release 2001/06 ic Approved For Release 2 ACTIVITY CODE: II, C, 10 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Information Systems Security Group **EVALUATION:** DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? rency as a who Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No____ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

important than this one? Yes____ No___ If so, what?

If so, how?

R	OUTING	3 AND	RECORI	D SHEET	_
JBJECT: (Optional)					
	25X1A				
OM:			EXTENSION	NO.	
1_D1	Constant		x5311	DATE	
Policy and Plans	Group		X3311	5 March 1975	
O: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from wh	om.
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comme	л.,
. Information &	yetam	- Lone			
Chief, Special Securit	[<u>]</u>	3/10/2	ZOXI	Under Objective B57104 the	
Group		7/10/7	3 7 /	Office of Security will re view and validate all Offi	· e
Σ.		,	/	of Security functions,	
				activitiesto ensure ef	Eec
3.				tiveness, economy and effi	:16
o				The attached documents represent two milestones und	er
4.	1			that objective. Milestone	1
25X1A				the components identified	
	 			their functions and activi Milestone 2 was establishm	en.
5. PPG				of a format for evaluating	
				theseactivities. It is quested that Special Secur	re
6.				Group review the attachmen	t t
				and:	
7.				a) Certify that there are deletions/corrections/	n
				additions to be made t	
8.				the previous listing s	ub
				mitted by your office.	
9.			<u> </u>	b) Review the Identificat of Activity statement	on
y .			ı	each evaluation sheet	to
				ensure it fully equals	_ t
10.				the comparable activity from your offices list	y .in
			ļ	- c) Use the attached formage	ιt
11.				to evaluate each indiv	id
				activity	
12. Jack:					
In reviewing	the 1	isted :	activit	ties, it appears that #3 and	
#E chould be combi	ned '	The or	ipina1	intent was to reflect a	
	of once	ofing co	ohtract	rs hiit also a security	
inspection of a ne	w cont	ractor	prior	to operation. If you agree,	
14. a possible rewrite					.
"Conduct surve	ys or	physic	al and	technical inspection, result	1N nt
in security de	termin	ations	on con	ntractor areas and/or equipme ocess information supplied	
no and tha	r will	nora	and pro	RDP83HQQ823R00079092Q2759FI	

-