IDEN	Approved For Release 2000/09/02	The Office of Security component responsible for requesting documentation for the RDP 83F0 8823R0 equivous of the RDP 83F0 8823R0 employees.
	•	25X1A

ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b),	COMPONENT: OS/PGM/AGTD/Personnel Branc
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for At the direction of the Director of	this activity? Date(s)? Security.
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies)	formo
Do you feel the activity is still a Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficient for the so, how?	f 10 04:71
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another so, where and why?	t the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No_X
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No X	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No X If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No_X If so, what?

IDENT Approved for Release 2000/09/02 The IA STATE 1828 00 \$238 000 7900 2002 1 forent that serves as secretary to the Professional Security Career Service Board and is responsible for preparation of agenda and minutes of meetings. ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 1 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branch DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? At the direction of the Director of Security. Yes X No_ Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No_X__ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

If so, how?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No χ

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 2 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branch
<u>EVALUATION</u> : <u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
As directed by the Director of Security.
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No_X If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No_X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No_X If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02 iopal RDP83B00828R060700020024 Commended by the Security Career Service Boards.

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

IDENTIFICATION Approved F	ON OF ACTI or Release 20	00/09/02 : နုပြ	e Office Arpressiones an.	FR 0007000020025	mponent resp ne Annual Per	ponsible rsonnel
					· •	
ACTIVITY CODE	E: II, E,	(b), 3	COMPO	NENT: OS/P&M	I/A&TD/Persor	nel Branc
EVALUATION:					DATE:	mer branc.
What is (are) Personnel	our refe Advisory Si	rence(s) tudy Group	for this a and CIA Manag	ctivity? Da ement Committee	te(s)? e, 1974	·
Is (are) the Who is (are)	reference the benefi	(s) still iciary(ie	in force? es) of this	Yes X activity?	No	·
Do you feel t Do(es) the be Can the activ If so, how?	ity be don	11651 +66	litic ct-	71 2222	· O 37	No NoX
			•			
Do you feel to to another of If so, where	oo compone	THE OT LO	for the acanother Of	tivity shoul fice? Yes_	ld be transf	crred
		••				
Can another co More proper]	omponent d ly? Yes	o the act No_X	tivity more If so,	efficiently which compon	? Less cos ient and why	tly? ?
Does the activ Watergate?	vity inclu Yes	de anythi No <u>X</u> I	ng questio f so, what	nable in the ?	e light of	·
Can this actives significant If so, how?	ity be receffect on	duced in overall	scope/size Agency seco	or disconti urity? Yes_	nued withou No_X	t ·
s there any a important th	ctivity no an this or	ot now be ie? Yes_	ing pursued	l by OS that If so, wha	you feel is	s more

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : GHA-RDE83B00823B007R002002A& Personnel Development Program.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 4 COMPON	NENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Brancl
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this ac Personnel Advisory Study Group and CIA Manage	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this	Yes X Noactivity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessar Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is sti Can the activity be done more efficiently If so, how?	ill necessary? Yes X No
Do you feel that responsibility for the acto another OS component or to another Of If so, where and why?	tivity should be transferred fice? Yes No_X
Can another component do the activity more More properly? Yes No_X If so,	efficiently? Less costly? which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questio Watergate? Yes NoX If so, what	nable in the light of ?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size significant effect on overall Agency sec If so, how?	or discontinued without urity? Yes No X
Is there any activity not now being pursue important than this one? Yes NoX	d by OS that you feel is more If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY The O Approved For Release 2000/09/02: GIATRO	ffice of Formitan
Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : GIA-RD Super	psibons25R60070002002¢6mponent responsible roviding guidance and counseling to visors and employees on bonesist
proce	ssing grioverse on benefits,
and p	reparation of Fitness Reports, etc.
ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 5	COMPONENT
EVALUATION:	COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branc
	DATE:
What is (are) our reference() s	
What is (are) our reference(s) for 25X1A	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	force?
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) o	f this activity? No
Do you fool 41	
Do you feel the activity is still n Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic	ecessary? Yes X No
Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	iently or less costly? Yes X No
	No X
Do way 5: 5	
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anot If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred her Office? Yes No X
Can another	
Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes No_X I	y more efficiently? Less costly? f so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything que Watergate? Yes No X If so,	nestionable in the light of what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope significant effect on overall Agence If so, how?	/size or discontinued without y security? Yes No_X_
Is there any activity not now being point important than this one? Yes?	ursued by OS that you feel is more No_X If so, what?
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

IDENTIFIC A	CATION pproved F	OF A	CTIVITY ease 2000	09/⊕∂_rČ⊮ provi	de proje nnel cei	ctions r	egarding	nent responds a base positions and promote	s,
ACTIVITY	CODE:	II,	E, (b),	6	COMPO	NENT: OS	/P&M/A&TI)/Personne	el Brane
EVALUATION	<u>on</u> :						DATE	:	
What is									25X1A
Is (are) Who is (a	the reare) th	eferen Le ber	ice(s) ieficia	still ir ry(ies)	of this	Yes activit	x No		
Do you fe Do(es) th Can the a If so,	ne bene ctivit	ficia	ary(ies) feel i	t is sti	ill nece	ssary?	Yes X	No No X
Do you fo to anot If so,	her OS	comp	onent o	ility fo or to an	or the ac oother Oi	ctivity Efice?	should be	e transfe No_X	rrod
			*15.		•	; ·	·. ·		
Can anoth More pr	er com	ponen ? Ye	t do tl	ne activ No_X	rity more If so,	e effici which c	ently? omponent	Less cost and why?	1y?
Does the Waterga	activi te? Y	ty in es	clude a	anything X If	questic so, what	onable in	n the lig	ght of	• ·
Can this signifi If so,	cant e	ty be ffect	reduce on ove	ed in sc erall Ag	ope/size ency sec	or discurity?	continued Yes	l without No X	
Is there importa	any ac nt tha	tivit n thi	y not r s one?	now bein Yes	g pursue	d by OS If so	that you , what?	ı feel is	more

	IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/Q2; CIATED 283B 00027 0020021 6 files, date of grade roster, etc. for Office of Security management use.
	ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 7 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Bran
,	<u>EVALUATION</u> : <u>DATE</u> :
25	What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25 April 1965 and at the direction of the Director of Security.
	Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
	Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?
	Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No_X If so, where and why?
	Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No_X If so, which component and why?
	Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No_X If so, what?
	Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No_X If so, how?
	Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/42 r Clar RPR \$2 000/09/42 r Clar RPR \$2 000/09/40002002156 ing professional and contract personnel.
ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 8 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Brai
EVALUATION: DATE:
Deta(c)?
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No_X_ If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No_X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes NoX If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No χ If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 The Office of Security 2009 meant responsible supervisors and employees on personnel matters and employee benefits, such as insurance, Credit Union, Federal Employee Compensation Act, and leave entitlements.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 9	COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branci
EVALUATION: 25X1A	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	force? Yes X No f this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still no Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient for so, how?	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anot If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No_X
Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes No X I	y more efficiently? Less costly? f so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything q Watergate? YesNo_X If so	uestionable in the light of , what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope significant effect on overall Agend If so, how?	e/size or discontinued without cy security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being primportant than this one? Yes	oursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that provides Approved For Release 2000/09/02dvClAcRDP83B00823R00070020025apport on disciplinary and placement problems to senior management of the Office of Security. ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 10 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branck EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No_X___ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ No_X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02:18/A:89P83A00823B090709020316mission of	d
Honor and Merit awards.	
ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 11 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Br	aı
EVALUATION: DATE:	
25X1A	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?	
ar (art) one concident, (100) of this decivity.	
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No	
Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No	,
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?	
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X	
If so, where and why?	
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?	
More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?	
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of	•
Watergate? Yes No_X If so, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without	
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X	
If so, how?	

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No $\frac{X}{I}$ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Approved For Release 2000/09/02 GIA CERTIFICATE AND	Security component that \$23,50,00,70,00,200,21.6 sentation of wards by the Director of Security.
ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 12 COMPON	NENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branci
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this ac	·
At the direction of the Director of Security	•
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this	Yes X Noactivity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessa Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is st Can the activity be done more efficiently If so, how?	ili necessary: les a no
Do you feel that responsibility for the a to another OS component or to another O If so, where and why?	ctivity should be transferred ffice? Yes No_X
Can another component do the activity mor More properly? Yes No X If so,	e efficiently? Less costly? which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questi Watergate? Yes No_X If so, wha	onable in the light of
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size significant effect on overall Agency set If so, how?	e or discontinued without ecurity? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being pursuimportant than this one? Yes No	x If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000/09	The Office of Security comp 9/02ff CLA-RDP89B00823R0097410920973 ment projections by system statistics of Office of Sec and arrange appropriate appointme retiring employees.	nt with retire- and maintains urity retirees.
ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b),	13 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A	ι&TD/Personne1 Brar
EVALUATION:	·	ATE:
	25X1A	
What is (are) our reference((s) for this activity? Date	e(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) st Who is (are) the beneficiary		No
Do you feel the activity is Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) Can the activity be done mor If so, how?	feel it is still necessary?	No ? Yes X No Ly? Yes No X
•	•	
Do you feel that responsibil to another OS component or If so, where and why?	ity for the activity should to another Office? Yes	be transferred No X
Can another component do the More properly? Yes N	e activity more efficiently? No X If so, which compone	Less costly? ent and why?
Does the activity include an Watergate? Yes No X	ything questionable in the If so, what?	light of
	•	
Can this activity be reduced significant effect on over: If so, how?	in scope/size or discontinall Agency security? Yes	ued withoutNoX
Is there any activity not not important than this one?	w being pursued by OS that Yes No_X If so, what	you feel is more ?

	•	•		
ACTIVITY CODE:	II, E, (b), 14	COMPONENT: OS	S/P&M/A&TD/Person	nnel Rranci
EVALUATION:			DATE:	mer branch
EVALUATION: 25X1A DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No No No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary?				
Is (are) the red Who is (are) the	<pre>ference(s) still i beneficiary(ies)</pre>	n force? Yes of this activit	X No	
Do(es) the benef Can the activity	ficiary(ies) feel	it is still nece	essary? Yes X	No No_X
EVALUATION: 25X1A DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transfer to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less cost More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how?	ferred			
	*	÷		
Can another comp More properly?	onent do the acti YesNo_X	vity more efficient of the so, which co	ently? Less cos omponent and why	stly? y?
Does the activit Watergate? Ye	y include anythin sNo_X If	g questionable in so, what?	n the light of	
significant et	y be reduced in s fect on overall A	cope/size or disegency security?	continued withou	ıt -
Is there any act important than	ivity not now being this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS No X If so	that you feel i , what?	is more

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/02crClArspR03BQ082BRQ0070DQ2Q021-6relocation travel orders on Office of Security employees.

IDENTIFICATION OF	ACTIVITY:	The Office	of Securi	ty componer	t respons	ible
Approved For	Release 2000/09	For maintain CA RAPS	3B 90823 R9007	700020021-6	occur I cy	

ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 15	COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Bran
	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
At the direction of the Director of	Security.
EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? At the direction of the Director of Security. Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do you feel the heneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No	
Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient	r 18 Still Recessary: 163 A. No
EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? At the direction of the Director of Security. Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? Nore properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how?	
Can another component do the activity More properly? YesNo_X	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No X If	questionable in the light of so, what?
significant effect on overall Ag	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No_X_
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No_X If so, what?

	•			
			·	
ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b),	16 COMPO	NENT: OS/P&M	/A&TD/Person	nel Branci
EVALUATION:	25X1A		DATE:	
What is (are) our reference((s) for this a	ctivity? Da	te(s)?	·
Is (are) the reference(s) st Who is (are) the beneficiary	ill in force? (ies) of this	Yes X activity?	No	
Do you feel the activity is Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) Can the activity be done mor If so, how?	feel it is st	ill necessar	y? Yes X	No_X
Do you feel that responsibil to another OS component or If so, where and why?	ity for the a to another 0	ctivity shou ffice? Yes_	ld be transf	erred
Can another component do the More properly? Yes N	activity mor	e efficientl which compo	y? Less cos nent and why	tly? ?
Does the activity include an Watergate? Yes No_X	ything question If so, what	onable in th t?	e light of	
Can this activity be reduced significant effect on over If so, how?	in scope/size all Agency see	e or discont curity? Yes	inued withou No_X	t
Is there any activity not no important than this one?	w being pursue YesNo_X	ed by OS tha If so, wh	t you feel i at?	s more

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/62rCparepressing0823R0007D90200216611 personnel transactions.

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/62 r CHACRD#83B00823R000700020021 checkout appointments for employees resigning or changing assignments. ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 17 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branch DATE: EVALUATION: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No $\frac{X}{X}$ If so, what?

Periodic Step-Increases on Office of Security personnel are submitted when due. COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branc! ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 18 DATE: EVALUATION: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No_X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X__ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIF Approved For Release 2000/09/01/20 CIA-RDF 3800823R000770002005 Reports and

Preference Book and recording employee preferences. ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 19 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branci DATE: EVALUATION: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

The Office of Security component that

the Office of Security Assignment

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CHARDR83B00828R000700020021ing and updating

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

notices, and records responses to these notices. ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 20 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branch EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Career Board and Director of Security. Minutes of Career Board meeting of 10 April 1974. Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes_X No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible

Approved For Release 2000/09/ff2rCtA-RDP83BQ082BR000700D02002fl-Security vacancy

ACTIVITY CODE:	II, E, (b), -21	COMPONEN	VT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Pe	rsonnel Branc
EVALUATION:			DATE:	
What is (are) o	our reference(s)	for this acti	vity? Date(s)?	
DD/M&S Memo	randum 74-0525, 20 F	ebruary 1974	*	
Is (are) the re Who is (are) th	eference(s) still ne beneficiary(ie	in force? s) of this ac	Yes X No tivity?	- -
Do(es) the bene	e activity is sti eficiary(ies) fee ey be done more e	l it is still	Yes X No necessary? Yes less costly? Ye	X No s No X
Do you feel that to another OS If so, where	component or to	for the acti another Offi	vity should be tr ce? YesNo_	ansforred X
·	•			
Can another com More properly	ponent do the ac? YesNo_X	tivity more e If so, wh	fficiently? Lessich component and	costly? why?
Does the activi Watergate? Y	ty include anyth	ing questiona If so, what?	ble in the light	of
Can this activi significant e If so, how?	ty be reduced in ffect on overall	scope/size o Agency secur	r discontinued wi ity? Yes No	thout X
Is there any ac important that	tivity not now be n this one? Yes	eing pursued No X	by OS that you fe If so, what?	el is more

ICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/@2rClArB.pP83B90823R990709020021f6fing requirements to Office of Personnel.

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsib Approved For Release 2000/09/42rClArBDP83B90828R09070002002066 of Personnel, annually, staffing requirements for Summer Only employees.	
ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 22 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel B	ran
EVALUATION: DATE:	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?	
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No_Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No_Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes_No_If so, how?	Χ
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No_X_ If so, where and why?	1
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?	
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No_X If so, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No χ If so, how?	
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is mo important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?	re

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/42 CLARDPR380082880007000800216 anging the EOD processing of Office of Security Summer Only employees. COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branch ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 23 DATE: EVALUATION: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes___ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION O Approved Fo	F ACTIVITY: r Release 2000/09	files on addition Fair Labo	83B60823R0 Office of insures th	nat copies o ls Act infor	administr rsonnel, of LOIs an	d ·
ACTIVITY CODE: 1	I, E, (b),		OMPONENT:	OS/P&M/A&TD	/Personne	1 Bran
EVALUATION:	25X1A			DATE:	· :	
What is (are) ou Is (are) the ref Who is (are) the	erence(s) st	ill in fo	rce? Y	es X No		
Do you feel the a Do(es) the benef: Can the activity If so, how?	iciary(ies)	feel it i	s still ne	cessary? Y		kox
Do you feel that to another OS o If so, where an	component or	ity for the to another	he activit er Office?	y should be Yes	transfer No <u>X</u>	red
	*:.					
Can another compo More properly?	onent do the YesN	activity o <u>X</u> If	more effi so, which	ciently? L component	ess costl and why?	.y?
Does the activity Watergate? Yes	v include an	ything que If so,	estionable what?	in the lig	ht of	
Can this activity significant eff	y be reduced fect on over	in scope, all Agency	size or d	iscontinued ? Yes	without No_X_	
Is there any acti important than	vity not now this one?	w being pu	irsued by	OS that you so, what?	feel is	more

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/020:rCIA:RDPR43B00823R000799020021-6Security Affirmative Action Plan and advises Office of Security management of overall Agency EEO programs.
ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 25 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Bra
EVALUATION: 25X1A DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in famous was years.
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?
Affirmative Action Plan and advises Office of Security management of overall Agency EEO programs. ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 25 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Braze EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes NoX If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No_X If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/03; Clared and Representation of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/03; Clared and Representation of Security Component that Programs. ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 26 . COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Brancl EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? the direction of the Director of Security. Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of

important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ No__X

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

If so, how?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security Component in the Office of Security Comp

ACTIVITY CODE:	II, E, (b), 27 C	OMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel	Branc!
EVALUATION:		DATE:	
	25X1A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
What is (are) ou	r reference(s) for th	is activity? Date(s)?	
Career Board	and Director of Security d	irection.	•
Is (are) the ref Who is (are) the	erence(s) still in for beneficiary(ies) of	rce? Yes X Nothis activity?	,
•			
Do(es) the benef	activity is still necesiciary(ies) feel it is be done more efficien	s still necessary? Yes X No	No X
	•		~ 1
Do you feel that to another OS If so, where a	component or to anothe	he activity should be transferrer Office? YesNo_X	ट्रेट
	5.		
Can another compo More properly?	onent do the activity YesNo_X If	more efficiently? Less costly so, which component and why?	?
Does the activity Watergate? Yes	y include anything que s No_X If so,	estionable in the light of what?	
Can this activity significant eff	y be reduced in scope/ fect on overall Agency	size or discontinued without y security? Yes No X	
is there any acti	ivity not now being puthis one? Yes N	ursued by OS that you feel is m	ore

TRANSMI	TTAL SLIP	
то:		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	_
REMARKS:	•	
OS/EAB ha	ndles this activity.	
	•	
	,	
FROM:		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING EXTENSION	
FORM NO . 241	REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED.	(47)