

OPERATIONS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES

### Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020014-4

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 3

OS/PSI/Security Support Div/OSB

**EVALUATION:** 

9 June 1975

What is our reference for this activity? There does not appear to be any regulation or Office of Security Notice which covers these activities; however, they are being done in accordance with the Office of Security reorganization of July 1973.

Is the reference still in force? YES
Who is the beneficiary of this activity? The various requesting components in the Agency.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? YES
Does the beneficiary feel it is still necessary? YES
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? NO

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? NO

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More Properly? NO

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? NO

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? This should be answered by the various requesting components.

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? NO

IDENTIFIADTIONED FOR FELICIES & 2	000009/02icela-RDP63B00823R000700020014-Asible	
,	for processing requests for reports.	ĺ

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 3	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support	Div/
EVALUATION:	DATE:	
		-
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) o	force? Yes No of this activity?	
Do you feel the activity is still n Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	is still necessary? Ves No	
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No	-
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?	-
Does the activity include anything of Watergate? Yes No If so	questionable in the light of o, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in scor significant effect on overall Agen If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No	
s there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?	

IDENTApproved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R00 for conducting special inquiries regarding organiza inquiry is an investigative action handled under the another component within OS or the Agency. It can be obtaining a single biographical fact or it can investigative action.)  ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 2	e direction of OSB nt requested by be limited to lve a many faceted	
EVALUATION:	Security Support D	iv/
	OATE:	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Dat	e(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?	No	
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costl If so, how?	No Yes No y? Yes No	
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should to another OS component or to another Office? Yes	be transferred No	
Com	•	
Can another component do the activity more efficiently?  More properly? Yes No If so, which componen	Less costly?	
Does the activity include anything questionable in the 1 Watergate? Yes No If so, what?	ight of	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinue significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes	ed without No	
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that yo important than this one? Yes No If so, what?	ou feel is more	

IDENTIFICATION OF THE LEASE

ACTIVITY CODE: 1, B, (a), 4	<u>COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support D</u>
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) fo	r this activity? Date(s)?
	•
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes No No Of this activity?
• .	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel can the activity be done more efficient for, how?	it is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? Yes No
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	rity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
•	
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
	•
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there enveloped to the second	
is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so. what?

IDENTIFAPPTOVEdForAREIGESE 2000/09/02 i CHAORDR&3B00823R000700929014-Makes arrangements to facilitate DCI and DDCI travel by arranging that they be met and assisted through airport security and boarding procedures. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 5 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Div/ **EVALUATION:** DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes\_\_\_\_No\_\_\_ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes\_\_\_\_\_No\_\_\_\_

important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

If so, how?

## IDENTIFACOTOVE Por Release 20

ACTIVITY CODE: 1, B, (a), 6	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Suppo	ort Div
EVALUATION:	DATE:	
		•
What is (are) our reference(s) fo	or this activity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still i Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	in force? YesNo	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more eff If so, how?		o
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferr nother Office? Yes No	ed
Can another component do the activ More properly? Yes No	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?	?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?	•
•		
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Ago If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No	
s there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is mo No If so, what?	re

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020014-4

IDENTI Approved For Release 2000/09/02 f CIA-RDR83B00823R000700020014-4 the request of other Agency components arranges for security escort of money.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 7 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Su		,
,, octailey su	pport OSB	Div
***		
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?		
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?		
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how?	No	
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transfe to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No	rred	
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less cost More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?	1y?	
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?		
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No		
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is important than this one? Yes No If so, what?	more	

IDENTIFACTOR For Release 2000 109 102 fi CIA RDP83 B00823 R000700020014-4 the request of other Agency components arranges for the security escort of classified materials.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 8	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Di
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) o	force? Yes No No This activity?
Do you feel the activity is still n	ecessary? Ves No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes No iently or less costly? Yes No
Do array 51 days	
to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes No]	y more efficiently? Less costly? f so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything q Watergate? Yes No If so	uestionable in the light of , what?
Can this activity be reduced in scop significant effect on overall Agen If so, how?	e/size or discontinued without cy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	oursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

# IDENTIFAPPROVED For Release 200 pho 10 pho 1

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 9	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Di
	OSB DATE:
EVALUATION:	DATE.
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
mat 13 (a10) but locations (b) and	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? YesNo of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still : Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i	t is still necessary? Yes No
Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	clently of less costly: les No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENATE FOR Release 2000/09/02 Of ACRDP83B60823R000700020914b4t at the request of other Agency components arranges for automobile rentals that will be used for official purposes.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 10	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Div/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in	force? Voc No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still no (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient if so, how?	is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

## I DENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY OF APPROVED FOR Release 2000/09

ACTIVITY CODE: 1, B, (a), II	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security	Support D
EVALUATION:	DATE:	OSB
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No Of this activity?	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi	t is still necessary? Yes	No
If so, how?		
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	r the activity should be tranother Office? Yes No	nsferred —
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less of If so, which component and t	costly? why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of so, what?	€
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued with ency security? Yes No	nout
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel No If so, what?	l is more

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020014-4

IDE Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CFA-RDP83B00823R000700020014-4at arranges for support to official couriers by facilitating their movements through airport security and boarding procedures.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 12	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security	Support	Div
EVALUATION:	DATE:	OSB	
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?		
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No of this activity?		
Do you feel the activity is still and Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel in Can the activity be done more efficient for the so, how?	ic ctill necessary	NoNo	
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another so, where and why?	the activity should be tranther Office? Yes No	sferred —	·
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less colf so, which component and w	ostly? hy?	-
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?		
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued withoncy security? Yes No	out —	
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel No If so, what?	is more	

IDE	aaw.	rever r	₽ <b>%</b> rRe	ASSEV	20000
	'תטע	IOVEU	1 01 176	icasc .	2000/

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 13	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support D
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) f	or this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies	in force? YesNo ) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is stil Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more ef If so, how?	it is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility to another OS component or to If so, where and why?	for the activity should be transferred another Office? Yes No
Can another component do the act More properly? Yes No	<pre>ivity more efficiently? Less costly?    If so, which component and why?</pre>
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No I	ng questionable in the light of f so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall If so, how?	scope/size or discontinued without Agency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now be important than this one? Yes_	eing pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020014-4

IDENT	MEDITARIA IT	For Release 20
•	Apploved	I OI Neicase 20

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 14 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Div/
EVALUATION: DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why?
Con conthor component do the entirity many officiently? I acc contly?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?  More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

IDENT	May Jordan A.	Por Release	Y)O
	/ \ppi 0 \ \ \	I OI I (OIOGOO	

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 15 C	OMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Div/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for th	is activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in fo Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	rce? YesNo this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still nece Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is Can the activity be done more efficien If so, how?	s still necessary? Vos : No
Do you feel that responsibility for the to another OS component or to another If so, where and why?	ne activity should be transferreder Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No If	more efficiently? Less costly? so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything que Watergate? Yes No If so,	stionable in the light of what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/ significant effect on overall Agency If so, how?	size or discontinued without security? Yes No
s there any activity not now being pu important than this one? Yes No	rsued by OS that you feel is more O If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 16 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Securi	t>- C
EVALUATION:  DATE:	OSB
What is (ome)	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? YesNoNo	_
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how?	NoNo
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be tr to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No_ If so, where and why?	ansferred
	•
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less More properly? Yes No If so, which component and	costly? why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?	of
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued wit significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No_	chout
s there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you fee important than this one? Yes No If so, what?	l is more

IDENTIApproved For Release 200

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020014-

PERSONNEL SECURITY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES

### Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020014-4

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 17

OS/PSI/Security Support Div/OSB

**EVALUATION:** 

9 June 1975

What is our reference for this activity? There does not appear to be any regulation or Office of Security Notice which covers these activities; however, they are being done in accordance with the Office of Security reorganization of July 1973.

Is the reference still in force? YES Who is the beneficiary of this activity? The employee receiving the help and indirectly the Agency in terms of the precluding of embarrassing publicity to the Agency.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? YES Does the beneficiary feel it is still necessary? Unable to determine how the beneficiary feels in all cases but presume that the employees would still like to be helped. Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? NO

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? NO

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? NO

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? NO  $\,$ 

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? NO

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? NO

### I DENT Approved For Refease 2000 25X1A

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 17	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Div/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	
·	
Do you feel the activity is still r Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient If so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ppe/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020014-4

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 18	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Div/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? YesNo of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still : Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
More properly? Yes No No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENT Approved For Release 2000/09/02f CIA RDP83B00823R00070002004444 at the direction of the Office of Security handles personnel security cases.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 19	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Div/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) o	force? Yes No No This activity?
Do you feel the activity is still n Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything of Watergate? Yes No If so	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scor significant effect on overall Agen If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without acy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENT Approved For Release 2000/09/02 fci Ae RDP83800823 R00070002007984 onsible for locating missing employees (and their dependents).

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 20	COMPONENT: OS,	/PSI/Security	Support	Div/
EVALUATION:		DATE:	OSB	
What is (are) our reference(s) for	or this activity?	Date(s)?		
Is (are) the reference(s) still who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	in force? Yes	No		
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more eff If so, how?	it is still neces	ssary? Yes	NoNo	
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to a If so, where and why?	for the activity sunother Office?	should be tran Yes No	sferred	
Can another component do the acti More properly? Yes No	vity more efficie If so, which co	ently? Less component and w	ostly? hy?	
Does the activity include anythin Watergate? Yes No If	g questionable in so, what?	ı the light of		
Can this activity be reduced in s significant effect on overall A If so, how?	cope/size or disc gency security?	continued with Yes No	iout —	
Is there any activity not now bei important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS No If so,	that you feel, what?	. is mor∈	3

IDENT Approved For Release 2000/09/02 fine RDP83B00823R000700020014s4 onsible for assisting Agency employees involved with police.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 21	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Sup	port D
EVALUATION:		SB
What is (are) our reference(s) for t	his activity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in f	Force? Yes No	
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	this activity?	
Do you fool the activity in 1117		
Do you feel the activity is still ne Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effici	is still necessary? Yes	No
If so, how?	chery of loss costly. Tes	
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anot If so, where and why?	the activity should be transfe her Office? Yes No	erred
Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes No I	y more efficiently? Less cost f so, which component and why?	1y?
Does the activity include anything quality Watergate? Yes No If so	uestionable in the light of , what?	
	•	
Can this activity be reduced in scope significant effect on overall Agence If so, how?	e/size or discontinued without cy security? Yes No	
Is there any activity not now being primportant than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is No If so, what?	more

IDENT Approved For Refease 2000/09/02 f CIA RDP 83B00823R00070002004444 assists/counsels Agency employees who request help concerning marital problems.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 22	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Div/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) o	force? YesNo f this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still n Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything of Watergate? Yes No If so	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scor significant effect on overall Ager If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENT Approved For Release 2000/09/62 of CIX-RDF89B00828R000700020014.4t assists/counsels Agency employees who request help concerning financial problems.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 23	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Sur	port Div
EVALUATION:	DATE:	SB
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No of this activity?	
Do you feel the activity is still r Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel in Can the activity be done more efficient If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes	No
		•
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	the activity should be transfether Office? Yes No	erred
•		
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less cost If so, which component and why?	:1y? ?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No	
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is No If so, what?	more

IDENT Approved For Release 2000/09/02 fcire RDP83B00823R0007000200444 assists/counsels female Agency employees who request help concerning a matter of rape.

ACTIVITY CODE: 1, B, (a), 24	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Div/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? YesNo of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still no (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel in Can the activity be done more efficient for how?	t is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENT Approved For Release 2000/09/02 CIACROP 83B00823R00070002004444 assists/counsels Agency employees who request help because they are the victim of a threat.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 25	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support D
EVALUATION:	OSB DATE:
EVILLOUI .	DATE.
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No of this activity?
Do	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i	t is still necessary? Yes No
Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	ciently or less costly? Yes No
11 50, Non.	
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? YesNo
	·
Can another component do the active	ity mana afficiently? Laga costly?
More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
To them one obtains to the second	
important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENT Approved FOF Release 2000/09/02 CIACRDP83B00823R0007000200444 assists/counsels Agency employees who request help because of roommate problems.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 26	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Div/
EVALUATION:	OSB DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	force? Yes No
Do you feel the activity is still r Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENT Approved For Release 2000/09/02 f.f.c.A-RDP83B00823R000700020014-4 assists/counsels Agency employees who request help because they have suffered a theft.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 27	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support	rt Div/
EVALUATION:	DATE:	
What is (are) our reference(s) for	thic activity? Data(c)?	
mac is (are) our received by rer	this activity: Date(s):	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No	
Do you feel the activity is still a Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficient for the so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes No	lo
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferr other Office? Yes No	ed
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly If so, which component and why?	?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No	
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is mo No If so, what?	ore

IDENT Approved For Release 2000/09402f CHAR RDP 83B00823R00070002004404 in conjunction with the Office of Medical Services, assists/counsels Agency employees who require help because of alcoholic problems.

ACTIVITY CODE: 1, B, (a), 28	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support D
EVALUATION:	OSB DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No f this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still n	ecessary? Yes No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes No
to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09902 CIA RDP83B00823R00070002001404 in conjunction with the Office of Medical Services, assists/counsels Agency employees who require help because of drug problems.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 29	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security	Support Di
EVALUATION:	DATE:	OSB
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No No of this activity?	
		•
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i	necessary? Yes No Yes t is still necessary? Yes	No
Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	ciently or less costly? Yes_	No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be tran other Office? Yes No	sferred
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No		
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in scoring significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued with ency security? Yes No	out —
Is there any activity not now being	g pursued by OS that you feel	is more
important than this one? Yes	No If so, what?	

## IDENT Approved For Release 2000 to 200 for Conjunction with the office of Medical Services, assists/counsels Agency

employees who require help because of psychiatric problems.

ACTIVITY CODE: 1, B, (a), 30	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support D
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i	t is still necessary? Yes No
Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	ciently or less costly? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
	• •
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENT Approved For Release 2000/09/02 FCFA-RDP83B00823R000700020014-4 in conjunction with the Office of Medical Services, assists/counsels Agency employees who require help because of suicidal tendencies.

ACTIVITI CODE. 1, B, (a), 31	COMPONENT: US/PSI/Security Support D
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (one) the metaurate (a) at 11 :	S 0 37
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi	t is still necessary? Yes No
If so, how?	
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scoring significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?



MISCELLANEOUS ACTIVITIES

### Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020014-4

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 32

OS/PSI/Security Support Div/OSB

EVALUATION:

9 June 1975

What is our reference for this activity? There does not appear to be any regulation or Office of Security Notice which covers these activities; however, they are being done in accordance with the Office of Security reorganization of July 1973.

Is the reference still in force? YES Who is the beneficiary of this activity? The Office of Security, various other Agency components, and sometimes the individuals who are seeking assistance.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? YES
Does the beneficiary feel it is still necessary? YES
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? NO

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? NO

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? NO

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? NO

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? This should be answered by the various requesting components.

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? NO

## 

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 32	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Div/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? YesNo of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	t is still necessary? Ves No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? YesNo
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 fcine RDP83B00823R0007000200444 handles "crank" callers at the compound gates.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 33	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Div/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for t	his activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in f Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	orce? Yes No No this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still ne Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effici If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anot If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred her Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes No I	y more efficiently? Less costly? f so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything quality Watergate? Yes No If so	uestionable in the light of , what?
	·
Can this activity be reduced in scope significant effect on overall Agend If so, how?	e/size or discontinued without cy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being primportant than this one? Yes	oursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENT Approved For Release 2000 The Office Ropes Bud 23 Roug 200 200 144 handles telephonic offers of information received at the Agency open switchboard.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 35	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Div/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	r this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficient of the control o	it is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? Yes No
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without gency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020014-4

IDENT Approved For Refease 2000/0902 fc Ac Rope 83800823 R0007000200 444 interviews callers who come to the Agency compound offering information.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 36 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Div
EVALUATION: DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?  More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

## 

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 37	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Div/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still a Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficient of the so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

## IDENT LATION FOR Release 2000/09/02 friche RDP83B00823R0007000200144 conducts SRD traces in response to requests from Office of General Counsel.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 38	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Su	ipport :	Div/
EVALUATION:	DATE:	OSB	
EVALUATION.	DAIL.		
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?		
To Court the me Court of Co. and III in	Canada N		
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)		•	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i		No	
Can the activity be done more effi	ciently or less costly? Yes		
If so, how?	•		
Do you feel that responsibility fo	or the activity should be trans	ferred	
to another OS component or to an			
If so, where and why?			
		÷	
Can another component do the activ	rity more efficiently? Less co	stly?	
More properly? Yes No	If so, which component and why	y?	-
Does the activity include anything	questionable in the light of		
Watergate? YesNoIf			
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag	ency security? Yes No	ut	
If so, how?		- <del></del>	
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes		is more	:
zmporeante enen entre onte. 105			

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020014-4

			•
	•		
ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 39 COMPONENT: OS,	PSI/Security	Support	Div/
EVALUATION:		OSB	
EVALUATION.	<u>DATE</u> :		
	Na. Andrews		,
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity?	Date(s)?		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	220 (3).		
			,
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes	No .		
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity	y?	-	
Do you fool the activity is still assessed by	•		
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary.	No ssarv? Yes	No	
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less	costly? Yes	No_	
If so, how?			
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity s	should be tran	acformad	
to another OS component or to another Office? )	riodid be clai	isterred	
If so, where and why?		<del></del>	
Can another component do the activity more efficient More properly? Yes No If so, which component do the activity more efficient with the activity with the activity with the activity more efficient with the activity with the ac	ently? Less o	costly? why?	
	, mponone and ,		
Does the activity include anything questionable in	the light of	£	
Watergate? Yes No If so, what?			
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or disc significant effect on overall Agency security?	ontinued with	iout	
If so, how?			
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS	that you feel	l is more	2
important than this one? Yes No If so,	what?		·

IDENT APPROVED FOR Release 2000/09/02 fc A RDP83B00823R0007000200444 conducts security file reviews for the DCI Staff.

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020014-4

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 40	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security Support Div
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in the Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	
Do you feel the activity is still not Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anot If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred her Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes No I	y more efficiently? Less costly? f so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything of Watergate? Yes No If so	uestionable in the light of , what?
Can this activity be reduced in scor significant effect on overall Agen If so, how?	
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

TIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: An Office of Security component that conducts Approved For Release 2000/09/02 CM - RDP 83B00823R000700020014-4

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020014-4

Approved For Release 2000/09/02ctCIA-RDP83B00823R000700020014-4

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (a), 41	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Security	Support	Div
EVATUATION.	DAGE	OSB	
EVALUATION:	DATE:		
			•
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Data(a)?		
mae is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity: Date(s):	_	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No No This activity?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>.</u> :
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes	NoNo	
		•	
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	r the activity should be tranother Office? Yes No	nsferred —	
	•	*	
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less of If so, which component and w	costly? why?	
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of so, what?	E	
Can this activity be reduced in scoring significant effect on overall Age of the so, how?	ope/size or discontinued with ency security? Yes No	out	
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel No If so, what?	l is more	<b>e</b>