



DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Washington, D.C. 20520

ES SENSITIVE
8108084

Executive Registry
81-6719

DIST:
3/14

March 14, 1981

S
D
C

~~SECRET~~

S/P-e.o.
Wolfowitz

PM-e.o.
Burt

ARA-e.o.
Enders

S/S
S/S-I

TO: Participants of SIG/Central America
FROM: L. Paul Bremer, III, Executive Secretary
SUBJECT: SIG Meeting; Central America, Monday,
March 16, 1981

The attached paper on Nicaragua is for discussion at the March 16 SIG to be chaired by Judge Clark with a view to preparing the issue for NSC consideration on Thursday, March 19, 1981.

COPIES TO

- CIA - [redacted]
- DOD - Frank Carlucci, Deputy Secretary of Defense
Francis J. West, Assistant Secretary of Defense (ISA) (Attention: Captain Frederick)
- JCS - Lt. General John Pustay (Attention: Brig. General Granger)
- NSC - Richard V. Allen
- VP's OFFICE - Admiral Murphy

25X1

Attachment:

As stated

~~SECRET~~

GDS 3/14/81

SECRET**NSC PAPER ON NICARAGUA****ISSUE**

-- How do we proceed with Nicaraguan assistance?

BACKGROUND

There is no hard evidence of arms arriving in El Salvador from Nicaragua since February 1. However, the intelligence agencies believe that support for the Salvadoran insurgents probably continues. Support includes training Salvadorans, operations in third countries, stockpiling, and planning future support. Efforts to activate the airlift from Costa Rica and overland shipments from Honduran stocks are cited. The intelligence agencies are preparing an assessment which will be distributed separately.

KEY CONSIDERATIONS

-- Our actions must accord with the law. If there is conclusive proof that the Government of Nicaragua is currently abetting violence in other countries, a determination must be made. If the evidence is less than conclusive there is discretion to decide whether or not to make a determination depending on the standard of evidence applied.

-- A confrontation with Nicaragua now could be counterproductive. We need time to develop government forces in El Salvador; a formal cut-off of U.S. aid would eliminate the leverage provided by the threat of a cut-off and could lead to accelerated infiltration into El Salvador, even infiltration of combat personnel.

-- A U.S. aid cut-off would weaken private sector and other non-Communist forces within Nicaragua, possibly fatally.

-- We would also like to continue the operation of our Embassy in Nicaragua so that our intelligence assets can be strengthened. We must be concerned with the safety of our people.

SECRET

GDS 3/13/87

-- Finally, a confrontation with Nicaragua and even an assistance cutoff will raise an unwanted issue with our European and Latin allies. Mexico, Venezuela and West Germany all strongly urge us to continue our dialogue and assistance to Nicaragua. They either believe there is still hope for a non-communist Nicaragua or want to avoid trouble with their public opinion and leftist groups. We would prefer that it be Nicaraguan actions that show these countries they are wrong.

As the military buildup of Nicaragua's own forces continues, we shall eventually have to react regardless of whether or not Nicaragua is arming others. We cannot permit Nicaragua to become another Cuba, armed to the teeth and potentially intervening in other countries while we continue to provide substantial economic assistance. But it is better -- for our public and worldwide opinion -- to delay our reaction on this point until the buildup is clearer and until we have a more comprehensive Nicaragua strategy.

OPTIONS

The interagency group has developed four options to try to deal with all the above considerations.

IF THE INTELLIGENCE ASSESSMENT DOES NOT PROVIDE CONCLUSIVE PROOF THAT THE GCM IS CURRENTLY ABETTING VIOLENCE, ALL FOUR OPTIONS BELOW ARE AVAILABLE:

1. Postponement of a Determination on ESP pending additional evidence on support for violence in other countries. Resume limited shipment of PL-480 (\$10 million remains suspended) or possibly development assistance (\$10 million remains suspended.) Neither PL-480 nor development assistance are subject to the statutory determination. Would avoid a confrontation, and provide time to obtain better evidence of Nicaragua's intentions. In the interim, a limited amount of PL-480 (\$3 million) would show our interest in maintaining good relations. Resumption of assistance would be difficult to defend in Congress.

2. Strong Statement, no Determination. A strong public statement would be made indicating that the Government of Nicaragua had supported violence in El Salvador but that such activities were now reduced or stopped. We would further state that, nevertheless,

SECRET

assistance would be held in suspense until steps are taken to make this improved performance permanent and that a formal determination would be made if there were a reversal of recent improvements. Some PL-480 (\$3 million) would be made available in about three weeks. If favorable trends continue some development assistance might follow. Repayment of previous loans would not be required and it would not be necessary to use 614 authority to waive repayment or subsequently begin ESF assistance should that be desired after an additional testing period.

IF THE INTELLIGENCE ASSESSMENT PROVIDES CONCLUSIVE PROOF THAT THE GRN IS CURRENTLY ABETTING VIOLENCE, ONLY THE FOLLOWING TWO OPTIONS ARE AVAILABLE:

3. Straight Determination. Make a determination that the GRN is involved in terrorism, formally suspend assistance, and call outstanding ESF loans.

Would probably force an immediate confrontation. Does not buy time for our other objectives. Would be popular with some in Congress, but would damage our ability to get wide support for our Salvador policy.

4. Nuanced Determination. A series of steps would be worked out with the Congress and the Nicaraguans in advance of their comprehensive announcement. The elements are:

-- a determination that Nicaragua is involved in abetting violence, worded to concentrate on the past more than the present and to recognize recent improvement;

-- use of provision 614 authority to avoid making previously disbursed ESF funds immediately repayable; this would be presented to Nicaragua as a major concession and to Congress as the price of buying time; a finding that the waiver is important to U.S. national security interests is required as well as prior Congressional consultations.

-- announcement that, if favorable trends continue, PL-480 will be restarted in about three weeks; this is another major carrot for continued Nicaraguan cooperation; the humanitarian aspects would be stressed with Congress;

SECRET

Legal Considerations

Section 533(f) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, (text attached) was originally enacted in the Special Central American Assistance Act of 1979. It will expire at the end of FY 81 unless reenacted by the Congress. It requires the President to terminate ESF assistance to Nicaragua and makes all outstanding ESF loans due and payable immediately if the President determines "that the Government of Nicaragua ... is aiding, abetting, or supporting acts of violence or terrorism in other countries...." (emphasis added)

The legislative history of the provision indicates that the President has discretion as to the nature and degree of proof to be required. He is without discretion and must make a determination only if there is conclusive evidence that the GRN is providing material support (as opposed to moral or political support) to acts of violence in a foreign country.

The plain language of the statute provides for a determination only where the evidence supports a finding that the GRN is supporting acts of violence at the time the determination is being made. This is consistent with the view that the purpose of the statute is to deter present and future involvement in arms traffic rather than simply to punish Nicaragua for past activities in this area without regard to current GRN actions.

Nevertheless, the President may find the GRN to be supporting violence within the meaning of the statute based on past activities if he considers those activities to evidence a continuing pattern of support for acts of violence. (There is an inherent time lag in intelligence reports and therefore the statute cannot reasonably be interpreted as requiring a determination based on up-to-the-moment data.) On the other hand, if he is not persuaded that the evidence conclusively establishes current GRN support for violence he would not be obligated to make a determination irrespective of the degree of proof of past GRN activities.

Given the judgmental factors necessarily involved in deciding whether or not a determination should be made under this provision, a certain degree of Congressional criticism is likely irrespective of the decision.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

announcement that, after a further testing period, development assistance could be again considered.

-- finally, the President would indicate that he would subsequently reexamine the situation to see if what Nicaragua is doing on support for violence and other issues warrants a further 614 determination that it is important to U.S. national security interests to restart ESP assistance.

This option is designed to comply with the law, while winning time for our other objectives by providing assistance in moderate amounts. However, it risks repeal or amendment of section 614 which is a valuable authority in many other contexts if there is serious objections in Congress to its use.

SECRET

- 2 -

Section 614(a) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended (text attached) is denominated a "Special Authority." It provides the President the important extraordinary flexibility to provide assistance to foreign countries "without regard" to the other provisions of the Act. It has traditionally been used to avoid the application of outdated provisions or the application of statutory restrictions of a general nature to specific circumstances in which they were clearly not intended to apply. For example, Section 614 was utilized to provide assistance to Egypt in reopening the Suez Canal and is currently being used to in effect reprogram funds from Egypt to El Salvador.

Section 614(a) was amended this year to provide the President additional authority to waive statutory restrictions under the Arms Export Control Act. This amendment was obtained on the basis of representations that Section 614(a) authority would be used prudently.

The Congress has also established a requirement for the President to engage in formal consultations with the Congress prior to utilizing this authority. Section 614(a) authority could technically be used to overcome the requirements of Section 533(f) to call outstanding loans to Nicaragua and to resume ESP assistance at some later date. However, it would be extremely difficult to justify so using it to override a recently enacted provision that was clearly intended to produce a specific result in a particular country under specific circumstances. Such a departure from the traditional uses of Section 614(a) would be challenged in the Congress, by those who would like to eliminate or severely restrict the President's broad authority under this section.

~~LIMITED OFFICIAL USE~~

Drafted: ARA: JABushnell
3/13/81 x 28562

Clearances: ARA: TOEnder:
L: JHMichol
L/ARA: MKozak

Letter American Nicaraguans (in subject)