## Approved by Release 2002/05/02 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000600080004-8

7 May 1976

STATINTL	MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Regulations Control Branch						
	FROM : Chief, Review Staff, OP						
	SUBJECT : Proposed Revision of Management of Supergrade Personnel	STATINTL					
STATINTL	1. Forwarded for coordination and publication is a proposed revision of paragraphs a and b. These amendments are proposed on the basis of a memorandum from the Secretary, CIA Management Committee approved by the DDCI on 25 February 1976.						
	<ol> <li>The draft attached hereto has been informally reviewed by the ND/A.</li> </ol>						
		STATINTL					
	Att.						
	Distribution: Orig + 1 - Adse, w/att 1 - EO-DDA, w/att 1 - D/Pers, w/att 1 - OP/RS, w/att						
TATINTL	OP/P&C/RS :1rm (7 May 76)						

â	R	OUTING	G AND	RECOR	82-00357B000600080004-8-
SUBJECT: (O	ptional)	ST.	ATINTL	page - malescent tomorrow description -	•
	Proposed Revisio		/ (	Manager	ment of Supergrade Personnel
FROM:				EXTENSION	NO.
	Chief, Review Staff, OP			3465	
626 C of C					24 May 1976
TO: (Officer	designation, room number, and DATE			OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from who
building)		RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each commi
1.01	David Lines Control			engeneral a service e	We have reviewed your revision of
Chief,	Regulations Control Branch	•			our proposed regulation on super-
	Diancir,	de de l'appropriation de la company de la co			grades, and have annotated the cor
2.	\$ 1				being returned with our comments.
	211 Key				We have again revised para b(2)(a) to a version of the original. The
3.	- 1 - 1				Agency Board reviews OP's findings
			123		on the Agency-wide allocation and
					vour version fuzzes this aspect.
4.				·	This is an important feature of the
			1		new approach and we feel it must be made clear. The annual review is
5.					targeted to the allocation as it
			1 1 1 1 1 1 1		exists and the position requirement
					which could change it.
6.					In para b(4)(c), please reinser
					the phrase "upon receipt of sup-
7.					portive information." This is ve
					important many requests come without justification with subse-
* 2					quent delay of months in providin
8.					the data and without this require
			-		lment being included in the Regula
9.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		tion, 14 days could come to haunt
•					No problem with your other edit
and another position of the second se				<u> </u>	but I do note that page 1 uses 'w
0.					and the responsibilities are
٠					written as "is." Not sure why th
11.					Istrikes me, but it does. I can s
					it both ways and only note
		and the second s		<u> </u>	be sure it conforms to the current regulatory style (and not an abbe
12.					ation as ours often are).
1.3.		an and summing the deposits of the state of		1 1	STATINTL
				1	
			-	1	
1					
		-			
15.	ration of the second distinguishment of the second distinguish of the second se				
,	:	,			