

SECRET

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R002700120013-6

Executive Registry  
78-66288/2

CA  
OLC # 78-3428

16 November 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Conversation with Dr. Brzezinski,  
15 November 1978

I discussed the proposed sensitive intelligence collection operation  
[redacted] delivered the letter requesting this to him. I suggested,  
however, that we need at some time to take some of these sensitive  
clandestine approvals to the SCC as a whole, rather than just clearing  
them at his level or his level and the Secretary of State. I thought  
this was a good one. It was timely but not urgent. He agreed and kept  
the memo in order to remind him to schedule an SCC meeting on it.

25X1

*ST*  
STANSFIELD TURNER  
Director

[redacted]

25X1

*cc: NSC Coord*

[redacted]

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R002700120013-6

SECRET

[redacted]

[REDACTED]

DRAFT Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R002700120013-6

CAC #78-2470/K

The Honorable Edward P. Boland, Chairman  
 The Honorable Les Aspin  
 The Honorable Bob Wilson  
 Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence  
 House of Representatives  
 Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Sirs:

The meeting we held on September 13, 1978, to discuss your letter of July 28 to the President was extremely useful to me and my colleagues. Such full and frank exchanges go a long way towards broadening the area of agreement and mutual understanding between us.

As a result of our discussions I have had the entire process of clearing covert actions restudied. I believe there is one small, but very significant, change that we can make which will help to clarify the situation. Henceforth, we will categorize Findings as either "specific" or "general." Looking at the Presidential Finding [REDACTED] items 25X1 A, B, C, D, E and the paragraphs under F which pertain to a network of agents and paramilitary operational capability would be considered "specific"; other subheadings would be considered "general." The purpose for making this distinction is to clarify what procedures ensue to check on the proper implementation of a Finding once approved by the President.

a. In the case of specific Findings, [REDACTED] that is to be 25X1 accomplished, and where and when, ~~should be~~ <sup>is</sup> sufficiently clear in the language used by the President; further instruction or clearance within the Executive Branch should not be required. Clearly, ~~notification~~ <sup>regarding</sup> under the Hughes-Ryan Amendment to the appropriate 25X1 committees of Congress is required. Overall, this ~~is a simple and~~ <sup>procedure</sup>

~~involves the~~  
~~straightforward procedure:~~ the President makes <sup>is</sup> a specific determination;  
the CIA <sup>reporting to</sup> notifies the Congress; and ~~then~~ carries <sup>it</sup> out the Presidential  
Finding.

b. In the instances of general Findings, ~~we are attempting to~~ <sup>The general scope and</sup>  
~~foresee a need for covert action which cannot be spelled out in full~~ <sup>description of the</sup> ~~detail in advance.~~ <sup>is</sup> Accordingly, ~~amplifying details must~~ <sup>in connection with</sup> be developed by the  
~~CIA prior to execution and a further clearance process within the~~ <sup>a foreseen need with implementation</sup> Executive Branch ~~is in order.~~ <sup>to</sup> ~~prior to execution.~~

I understand that some of your members have questioned whether in the cases of general Findings it is adequate to rely on clearance within the Executive Branch for approval of amplifying details rather than going back to the President. One example cited was whether support against domestic terrorism in a particular country should be approved by the State Department or specifically by the President. It is my view that we need note that we can neither overburden the President nor become so bogged down in clearance machinery that we cannot act in a responsive manner. You will recall that in the instance of providing assistance

25X1  
25X1

What we do in the case of each general Finding is to obtain clearance from the State Department and upon occasion from other concerned departments of the Executive Branch prior to translating the general Finding into specific action. ~~We need some standby authorities so as to be responsive~~ <sup>without having to process the decision all the way to the President of the</sup> ~~ness~~ <sup>This permits</sup>


~~United States, and yet we must also have some form of check to ensure that~~  
the ~~proposed covert action will~~ be in conformance with the ~~basic~~ foreign policy of our country. In brief, when signing a general Finding, the

President entrusts to the Department of State the responsibility for ensuring that the way in which the covert action is carried out meets the standards of foreign policy which he has established and within which the State Department operates.

With respect to Congressional involvement in this process, there clearly is no question with respect to the specific Findings. Under the Hughes-Ryan Amendment your committee and the appropriate additional committees are notified in a timely manner. As far as the general Findings are concerned, you are, of course, notified when they are approved. I recognize this leaves you with some uncertainty with respect to the details of execution. However, yours is certainly the right at any time to interrogate us through the oversight process and it seems to me that is the essence of our relationship. If we were to inform you on a daily basis of all sensitive collection and covert action activities, it would greatly overburden both of our organizations for little advantage. It seems to me that I bear a specific responsibility, however, to identify activities which I believe you are interested in and provide you notification, and that the essence of your supervisory and oversight function is to buttress your responsibility for intelligence activities by selective and judicious questioning.

In the future, when you are briefed on a covert action Finding that is classified as "general," it will naturally and automatically raise the question in your committee's mind as to whether you have special concerns

ILLEGIB



*Handwritten note:* Important - Informal/Secret - Informal/Secret - Informal/Secret - Informal/Secret

in this area and therefore want to conduct a closer oversight than with a specific Finding perhaps.

I think we have benefited greatly from our discussion with you in September because it has clarified the way in which we should carry out our responsibilities to the President ~~and you~~ in obtaining permission to execute general Findings, and it has clarified, in our minds at least, how your oversight responsibilities can be fulfilled in these same circumstances. Your position and that of the President's, it seems to me, are very analogous in these circumstances: each of you bears a responsibility; wants to be involved only in that amount of detail necessary for adequate control; and wants ensure that the system truly is responsible to the national needs within the proper degree of control.

I am grateful for your stimulus to clarify this situation. I stand ready to discuss this further with you at any time.

Yours sincerely,

STANSFIELD TURNER

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R002700120013-6

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R002700120013-6