[redacted] SECRET

50X1-HUM

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

IRONBARK

MEMORANDUM FOR: The Acting Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT : Combat with Enemy Nuclear Artillery, Free Rockets, and Guided Missiles in Offensive and Defensive Operations of an Army (Conclusion and Bibliography)

1. Enclosed is a verbatim translation of the conclusion, appendix, and bibliography of a seven-chapter TOP SECRET Soviet publication entitled "Combat with Enemy Nuclear Artillery, Free Rockets, and Guided Missiles in Offensive and Defensive Operations of an Army". It was issued by Scientific-Research Artillery Institute No. 1 in Leningrad in October 1960.

2. For convenience of reference by USIB agencies, the codeword IRONBARK has been assigned to this series of TOP SECRET CSDB reports containing documentary Soviet material. The word IRONBARK is classified CONFIDENTIAL and is to be used only among persons authorized to read and handle this material.

3. In the interests of protecting our source, IRONBARK material should be handled on a need-to-know basis within your office. Requests for extra copies of this report or for utilization of any part of this document in any other form should be addressed to the originating office.

[redacted]

50X1-HUM

Richard Helms
Deputy Director (Plans)

Enclosure

[redacted]

50X1-HUM

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted] SECRET

50X1-HUM

IRONBARK

Original: The Director of Central Intelligence

cc: The Director of Intelligence and Research,
Department of State

The Director, Defense Intelligence Agency

The Director for Intelligence,
The Joint Staff

The Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence,
Department of the Army

The Director of Naval Intelligence
Department of the Navy

The Assistant Chief of Staff, Intelligence,
U. S. Air Force

The Director, National Security Agency

Director, Division of Intelligence
Atomic Energy Commission

National Indications Center

Chairman, Guided Missiles and Astronautics
Intelligence Committee

Deputy Director for Research

Deputy Director for Intelligence

Assistant Director for National Estimates

Assistant Director for Current Intelligence

Assistant Director for Research and Reports

Assistant Director for Scientific Intelligence

Director, National Photographic Interpretation
Center

50X1-HUM

IRONBARK

50X1-HUM

COUNTRY : **USSR**

SUBJECT : **Combat with Enemy Nuclear Artillery, Free Rockets, and Guided Missiles in Offensive and Defensive Operations of an Army (Conclusion and Bibliography)**

DATE OF INFO : **October 1960**

APPRAISAL OF CONTENT : **Documentary**

SOURCE : **A reliable source (B)**

Following is a verbatim translation of the Conclusion and Bibliography of a TOP SECRET Soviet publication titled "Combat with Enemy Nuclear Artillery, Free Rockets, and Guided Missiles in Offensive and Defensive Operations of an Army". This document contains seven chapters and was published on 15 October 1960 by Scientific-Research Artillery Institute No. 1 in Leningrad. Each chapter will be disseminated as it becomes available and is translated.

In some cases, there are imperfections in the original text which leave doubt as to the accuracy of translation. Question marks are inserted in brackets following uncertain words or phrases. As in other IRONBARK reports, transliterated Cyrillic letters are underlined in translation, while Greek and Roman letters are given as in the original.

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

IRONBARK

50X1-HUM

Conclusion

The armed forces of our potential enemies have at their disposal various types of nuclear attack weapons. The bulk of these weapons consists at present of tactical and operational-tactical weapons. In view of this, combat with the enemy's tactical and operational-tactical nuclear attack weapons becomes a matter of exceptional importance.

Combat with the enemy's tactical, and to some extent with his operational-tactical, nuclear attack weapons, cannot be organized on the scale of a front alone. For carrying on this combat a front must concentrate in its hands control of all types and means of intelligence and all weapons for inflicting damage on the enemy, which are deployed in a comparatively narrow zone stretching for hundreds of kilometers. Naturally, it is impossible to centralize control at the front level of all the forces and weapons, brought in to combat all the enemy's nuclear attack weapons, without exception. Consequently, the front cannot be the sole organizer of this combat.

The organization of combat with the enemy's nuclear attack weapons should be carried out at two echelons or levels: in the front and in the army. The best version of dividing the tasks in organizing this combat is for the front to organize in detail combat with the enemy's operational-tactical nuclear attack weapons, with the forces and weapons directly subordinate to it (taking into account the capabilities of the operational-tactical missiles of the armies), and to restrict itself to giving general directions only regarding combat with the enemy's tactical nuclear attack weapons, while the army organizes in detail combat with the enemy's tactical nuclear weapons, taking into consideration the tasks which it has been given by the front for combat with the enemy's operational-tactical nuclear attack weapons.

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

In accordance with this, the army must have at its disposal the necessary intelligence forces and means to ensure fulfilment of the tasks with which it is faced.

It is necessary to note that the intelligence means now in existence can fulfil the requirements for combat with the enemy's nuclear weapons to a certain extent.

However, for this it is necessary to create an efficient system of control of these forces and means, and, in the first place, to take all measures to reduce the time spent on target designation in the case of such important and mobile targets as nuclear attack weapons on firing or launching positions.

Bearing in mind the improvement and strengthening of the antiair defense troops of our probable enemies and the development of new, more perfected and mobile nuclear attack weapons, it is essential to pay the most serious attention to the most rapid development of new pilotless means of reconnaissance, which would be capable of fully replacing the existing means of aerial artillery reconnaissance and of getting accurate information very quickly regarding the enemy's nuclear weapons.

The investigations, carried out in this study, show that combat with the enemy's tactical nuclear attack weapons is one of the main tasks for our tactical missiles and gun artillery. In this connection it is necessary to note that the views held hitherto regarding the limited capabilities of gun artillery in combat with the enemy's nuclear weapons are not in accordance with the facts. Gun artillery is capable of combatting the enemy's nuclear attack weapons successfully. Moreover, for carrying on this combat, i.e., for neutralization and destruction of these weapons, it is not necessary, as was thought before,

to expend an enormous quantity of ammunition and to concentrate a large quantity of artillery. From the information given in this study, it is evident that in the conditions that have been laid down, fire for destruction of the enemy's nuclear attack weapons requires a comparatively small expenditure of ammunition and the employment, as a rule, of two or three batteries, i.e., not more than one battalion of artillery. This circumstance changes the significance and role of gun artillery considerably, and shows that at the present time gun artillery remains one of the main fire weapons of an army.

The short time that nuclear attack weapons remain on their firing (launching) positions poses exceptionally exacting requirements in the speed of carrying out a strike against them or in the preparation of fire for destroying these weapons. Timely opening of fire or delivery of strikes at the targets is the most important condition for successful combat with the enemy's nuclear attack weapons.

Under modern conditions, the destruction or neutralization of the enemy's nuclear weapons must not be postponed to a specific time, as, for instance, the neutralization of enemy batteries was postponed during the Second World War until the time of the artillery preparation for the assault. If the enemy battery (platoon, gun or launcher) is not destroyed immediately on the position it occupies, it will remain whole and undamaged, as it will most certainly not stay on one and the same position, especially after firing a nuclear projectile.

Under modern conditions, there should also be no delay in delivering a strike at a target or in opening fire to destroy it. Delay in opening fire or making a strike at the target will have the consequence that the target will escape destruction.

Thus, reduction of the time taken for opening fire at targets of opportunity is one of the chief, if not the most important, requirement, both for organizing combat with the enemy's nuclear attack weapons, and for those weapons and that armament which will be employed in this combat.

In accordance with this, in developing new models of weapons and in perfecting the combat employment of those weapon models which are or can be used to destroy the enemy's nuclear weapons, it is essential to make every effort to ensure that their preparation for firing (launching) should require considerably less time than that required for the preparation for firing (launching) of the enemy's comparable weapons. Or, in other words, the weapons employed for combat with nuclear weapons must be better as regards their basic tactical-technical characteristics than the enemy's similar weapons.

There is no doubt that the solution of these and certain other questions mentioned in this report will ensure favorable conditions for successful combat with the enemy's nuclear attack weapons.

The recommendations made in this study regarding the organization and conduct of combat with the enemy's nuclear attack weapons should be tested at tactical and operational-tactical exercises of the troops.

Responsible author (ispolnitel)
Senior scientific worker of the 2nd Department

Guards Lieutenant-Colonel .....(KURBATOV)

Author
Senior scientific worker of the 2nd Department

Lieutenant-Colonel .....(FILIPPOV)

50X1-HUM

Appendix

Graphs for the determination of R_p and P dependent on d when the elliptical error of fire has the characteristics V_p and E

For drawing up the graphs we calculated the coefficients η taking into account the probability of a hit in a circle with a radius R_p, dependent on the characteristics of the elliptical error of fire (V_p and E) and of the displacement d of the center of dispersion in relation to the center of the circle.

The values of the coefficients η were determined by using the equation:

$$\eta = \frac{K\,r + d}{V_p} = K\,\frac{r}{V_p} + \frac{d}{V_p} \qquad (1)$$

To determine η it is necessary:

- to take the values P, E, and $\frac{d}{V_p}$, when $V_p = 1$;
- by means of P and E to find the value of the circular error r (in V_p), equivalent to the probability of the elliptical error;
- to express $\frac{d}{V_p}$ in terms of r and, making use of the graphs $K = f(P, d, r)$, for the given values of P, to determine the values of the coefficients K;
- to substitute the corresponding values arrived at in the formula (1) and to calculate $\eta = f(P, d, E)$.

50X1-HUM

In calculating the coefficients η use was made of the graphs $K = f(P, d, r)$ and the values $\frac{r}{V_p} = (P, E)$ given in the study (15).

It should be noted that in calculating coefficient η, use can also be made of the data in Table 3B, given in the study (18).

The procedure for calculating the coefficient η is given in the form of an example.

Example:

Calculate the value of coefficient η for $d = 1\ V_p$, $P = 80\%$ and $E = 0.5$.

Solution:

1. for $P = 80\%$ and $E = 0.5$ $r = f(P, E) = 0.782 V_p$;
2. we express $d = 1V_p$ and r as $\frac{d}{r} = \frac{1}{0.782} = 1.29$;
3. for $d = 1.29$ and $P = 80\%$ $K = f(P, d, r) = 1.9$;
4. using formula (1) we find $\eta = 1.9 \times 0.782 + 1 = 2.485$.

The values of the coefficient η for the various d, P, and E, which have been calculated in a similar way, are given in the table.

50X1-HUM

IRONBARK

Table

Values of coefficient $n = f(P, d, E)$

d[?]	E	Values of n when P (%)									
		10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	96
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
[Column 1 not legible]	0·1	0·22[?]	0·41	0·59	0·79	1·00	1·26	1·54	1·90	2·44	3·00
	0·2	0·32	0·42[?]	0·66[?]	0·84[?]	1·05	1·29	1·57	1·92	2·46	3·07
	0·3	0·38[?]	0·56[?]	0·74	0·91	1·11	1·34	1·61[?]	1·96	2·48[?]	3·09
	0·4	0·42	0·64	0·82	1·00	1·19	1·41	1·67	2·01	2·52	3·12
	0·5	0·46	0·71	0·91[?]	1·09	1·29	1·61	1·74	2·08	2·58	3·16
	0·6	0·52	0·77	0·98	1·18	1·38[?]	1·68[?]	1·86[?]	2·17	2·68	3·22
	0·7	0·57	0·83[?]	1·06	1·28	1·47	1·70	1·96	2·28	2·76	3·32
	0·8	0·61	0·89	1·12	1·34	1·57	1·81	2·07	2·40	2·89	3·44
	0·9	0·64	0·94	1·19	1·42	1·66	1·90	2·18	2·53	3·03	3·59
	1·0	0·68	0·99	1·25	1·50	1·75	2·01	2·30	2·66	3·16	3·77

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

IRONBARK

0·1	0·25	0·47	0·67	0·86	1·09	1·36	1·63	2·02	2·59	3·23	
0·2	0·33	0·54	0·72	0·93	1·14	1·38	1·65	2·04	2·60	3·26	
0·3	0·38	0·61	0·79	0·99	1·20	1·43	1·69	2·07	2·62	3·27	
0·4	0·43	0·71	0·86	1·08	1·27	1·49	1·74	2·11	2·66	3·31	
0·5	0·50	0·77	0·99	1·17	1·37	1·59	1·82	2·18	2·72	3·35	
0·6	0·54	0·82	1·01	1·26	1·46	1·67	1·91	2·27	2·79	3·41	
0·7	0·58	0·90	1·09	1·32	1·56	1·76	2·01	2·36	2·90	3·48	
0·8	0·61	0·96	1·16	1·40	1·63	1·85	2·11	2·48	3·02	3·61	
0·9	0·65	0·99	1·22	1·47	1·72	1·95	2·22	2·60	3·18	3·76	
1·0	0·70	1·03	1·30	1·55	1·82	2·00	2·33	2·73	3·32	3·95	
0·1	0·56	0·73	0·93	1·13	1·36	1·64	1·94	2·33	2·89	3·55	
0·2	0·49	0·75	0·94	1·16	1·40	1·66	1·96	2·35	2·90	3·56	
0·3	0·48	0·78	0·99	1·20	1·44	1·70	1·99	2·37	2·93	3·57	
0·4	0·52	0·81	1·05	1·28	1·50	1·76	2·06	2·42	2·97	3·61	
0·5	0·54	0·86	1·12	1·34	1·57	1·84	2·12	2·49	3·02	3·65	
0·6	0·56	0·91	1·17	1·41	1·63	1·92	2·19	2·57	3·08	3·70	
0·7	0·59	0·96	1·24	0·47	1·74	2·00	2·29	2·65	3·18	3·79	
0·8	0·61	1·00	1·29	1·54	1·81	2·08	2·38	2·77	3·30	3·89	
0·9	0·63	1·06	1·33	1·60	1·87	2·17	2·47	2·87	3·42	4·08	
1·0	0·71	1·10	1·40	1·67	1·95	2·25	2·57	3·00	3·56	4·15	

50X1-HUM
50X1-HUM

-9-

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

IRONBARK

d = 2·0											
	0·1	1·45	1·59	1·75	1·94	2·19	2·46	2·77	3·15	3·73	4·39
	0·2	1·25	1·53	1·73	1·97	2·21	2·47	2·78	3·17	3·74	4·40
	0·3	1·17	1·50	1·75	1·99	2·24	2·50	2·80	3·19	3·70	4·42
	0·4	1·10	1·48	1·77	2·03	2·27	2·54	2·84	3·23	3·79	4·45
	0·5	1·05	1·47	1·78	2·05	2·32	2·59	2·89	3·28	3·82	4·49
	0·6	1·00	1·47	1·80	2·09	2·37	2·65	2·96	3·35	3·89	4·54
	0·7	0·98	1·47	1·82	2·12	2·41	2·71	3·02	3·43	3·98	4·62
	0·8	0·96	1·48	1·84	2·15	2·46	2·77	3·10	3·52	4·09	4·72
	0·9	0·95	1·49	1·86	2·18	2·51	2·84	3·18	3·62	4·20	4·85
	1·0	0·94	1·50	1·88	2·22	2·56	2·90	3·26	3·72	4·32	5·01
d = 3·0	0·1	2·43	2·55	2·69	2·89	3·11	3·36	3·69	4·07	4·65	5·32
	0·2	2·20	2·49	2·67	2·89	3·12	3·39	3·70	4·09	4·66	5·32
	0·3	2·08	2·42	2·65	2·90	3·14	3·42	3·72	4·11	4·68	5·33
	0·4	1·98	2·36	2·63	2·91	3·16	3·45	3·76	4·15	4·71	5·36
	0·5	1·69	2·32	2·62	2·93	3·20	3·49	3·81	4·20	4·75	5·40
	0·6	1·84	2·28	2·62	2·95	3·24	3·54	3·87	4·26	4·81	5·45
	0·7	1·78	2·26	2·63	2·97	3·27	3·59	3·93	4·33	4·89	5·53
	0·8	1·73	2·24	2·64	2·99	3·31	3·64	3·99	4·41	4·99	5·63
	0·9	1·68	2·22	2·65	3·01	3·36	3·69	4·06	4·50	5·09	5·75
	1·0	1·65 [7]	2·21	2·63	3·04	3·40	3·75	4·14	4·60	5·21	5·90

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

-10-

[redacted] SECRET

50X1-HUM

[redacted] SECRET

50X1-HUM

IRONBARK

d=[?]·0	0·1	4·43	4·53	4·67	4·84	5·07	5·34	5·62	6·00	6·57	7·23
	0·2	4·16	4·43	4·68 [?]	4·83	5·07	5·26 [?]	5·63	6·01	6·58	7·24
	0·3	4·00	4·35	4·59	4·82	5·08	5·36 [?]	5·65	6·00 [?]	6·60	7·26
	0·4	3·89	4·29	4·55	4·82	5·10	5·39 [?]	5·68 [?]	6·06	6·64 [?]	7·28
	0·5	3·76	4·23 [?]	4·51	4·82 [?]	5·11	5·42	5·72	6·11	6·67	7·32 [?]
	0·6	3·67	4·19	4·48	4·81	5·12	5·43 [?]	5·77	6·16	6·72	7·36 [?]
	0·7	3·58	4·14	4·45 [?]	4·91 [?]	5·14	5·45 [?]	5·82 [?]	6·22	6·80	7·42
	0·8	3·50 [?]	4·00	4·45	4·52 [?]	5·15	5·50 [?]	5·87 [?]	6·30 [?]	6·89 [?]	7·55 [?]
	0·9	3·44 [?]	4·04	4·42	4·83 [?]	5·18	5·56 [?]	5·94	6·38 [?]	6·99	7·65 [?]
	1·0	3·37 [?]	3·99 [?]	4·41 [?]	4·58 [?]	5·20	5·60 [?]	6·00	6·44 [?]	7·18 [?]	7·79
?	0·1	9·41	9·51	9·67	9·84	10·06	10·31	10·61	10·97	11·54	12·[??]
	0·2	9·14	9·41	9·63	9·83	10·06	10·32	10·61	10·98	11·55	12·[??]
	0·3	9·00	9·32	9·59	9·82	10·06	10·33	10·63	10·99	11·56	12·[??]
	0·4	8·83	9·22	9·54	9·80	10·07	10·35	10·65	11·02	11·59	12·2[?]
	0·5	8·70	9·15	9·49	9·78	10·07	10·38	10·69	11·06	11·62	12·2[?]
	0·6	8·58	9·06	9·45	9·76	10·08	10·40	10·73	11·10	11·67	12·3[?]
	0·7	8·49	9·02	9·41	9·75	10·08	10·42	10·77	11·16	11·74	12·3[?]
	0·8	8·38	8·96	9·37	9·73	10·09	10·45	10·81	11·22	11·82	12·4[?]
	0·9	8·29	8·91	9·34	9·72	10·10	10·48	10·86	11·28	11·91	12·5[?]
	1·0	8·19	8·85	9·30	9·70	10·10	10·50	10·90	11·35	12·00	12·[?]

......../8.

50X1-HUM

[redacted]

[redacted] SECRET

50X1-HUM

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/01/13 : CIA-RDP80T00246A029800210001-8

Below are given graphs for $\eta = f(P, d, \underline{E})$, drawn up on the basis of the data in the table, for $\overline{\underline{E}} = 1; 0.9; 0.8; 0.7; 0.6; 0.5; 0.4; 0.3; 0.2; 0.1$, i. $\overline{e}$. for the whole range of variations of $\underline{E}$ that is possible in practice.

50X1-HUM

IRONBARK

50X1-HUM



50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

IRONBARK

50X1-HUM



50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

IRONBARK

50X1-HUM



50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

IRONBARK

50X1-HUM



50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

IRONBARK

50X1-HUM



50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

IRONBARK

50X1-HUM



50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

IRONBARK

50X1-HUM



50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

IRONBARK

50X1-HUM



50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

IRONBARK



50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

IRONBARK



50X1-HUM

50X1-HUM

Bibliography

1. Field Service Regulations of the Armed Forces of the USSR (division-corps), Military Publishing House of the Ministry of Defense (Ministerstvo Oborony - MO) of the USSR, Moscow, 1959.

2. Field Service Regulations of the Soviet Army (regiment-battalion). Military Publishing House of the MO of the USSR, Moscow, 1959.

3. Combat Regulations of the Artillery of the Soviet Army (division-corps artillery). Military Publishing House of the MO of the USSR, Moscow, 1959.

4. Combat Regulations of the Artillery of the Soviet Army (battalion, battery, platoon, gun). Military Publishing House of the MO of the USSR, Moscow, 1959.

5. Problems in the Combat Employment of the Artillery in an Operation. Military Artillery Command Academy (Voyenno-Artilleriyskaya Komandnaya Akademiya - VAKA), Leningrad, 1957.

6. Short Handbook on the Organization, Armament, and Operational-Tactical Norms of the Armed Forces of the USA. General Staff (Generalnyy Shtab - GSh), Chief Intelligence Directorate (Glavnoye Razvedyvatelnoye Upravleniye - GRU), Moscow, 1959.

7. Handbook of Tactical-Technical Data on the Basic Models of Armament and Combat Equipment of the Armed Forces of Great Britain. GSh, GRU, Moscow, 1959.

8. American Field Guided Missile System "Corporal" II. GSh, GRU, Moscow, 1959.

9. Information on the American Guided Missile "Redstone". Directorate of the Commander of Artillery, Chief Artillery Directorate (Glavnoye Artilleriyskoye Upravleniye - GAU), Moscow, 1960.

10. American Field Guided Missile System "Lacrosse" I. GSh, GRU, Moscow, 1960.

11. Translation No. 13424/d "Lacrosse". Production-Publishing Committee of the All-Union Institute of Scientific and Technical Information, Moscow, 1959.

12. Combat with the Enemy's Artillery. Training aid. Military Publishing House of the MO of the USSR, Moscow, 1959.

13. Combat Employment of a Battalion of Tactical Missiles. Training aid. Scientific-Research Artillery Institute-1 (Nauchno-Issledovatelskiy Artilleriyskiy Institut-1 - NIAI-1), Leningrad, 1960.

14. Scientific-Research Work (Nauchno-Issledovatelskaya Rabota - NIR) No. 121-59. Determination of Settings for Firing for Effect by Missiles of Operational-Tactical Designation. NIAI-1, Leningrad, 1960.

15. NIR No. 100-58. Firing and Control of the Fire of Heavy Rocket Artillery Using Rockets with Conventional and Special Charges. NIAI-1, Leningrad, 1960.

16. NIR No. 123-59. The Use of Artillery Surveillance Radar (Artilleriyskaya Radiolokatsionnaya Stantsiya Obnaruzheniya Mestnosti - ARSOM) Type Radar Sets for Assisting the Firing and Control of Fire of Ground Artillery (including tube artillery using ammunition with atomic charges).

17. Bulletin No. 5. Military Publishing House of the MO of the USSR, Moscow, 1954.

18. Shor Ya. K. Bases of the Theory of Processing and Evaluating Ballistic Work . Part 1. Scientific-Research Institute (Nauchno-Issledovatelskiy Institut - NII) of the GAU, Moscow, 1958.

50X1-HUM

19. NIR No. 113-58. Firing by Tube Artillery for the Destruction of Launching Sites of Free Rockets. Special (chastnyy) report. NIAI-1, Leningrad, 1959.

20. NIR "The Strength of the MO". Developing Principles for Setting Up a Radio Countermeasure System of the Ground Troops and the Basis of the Main Tactical-Technical Requirements for Radio Countermeasures Means. NIAI-1, Leningrad, 1959.

21. Krukovskiy, A.S. Study of Firing by Direct Laying Against Tanks Taking into Account Return Fire. Dissertation. NIAI-1, Leningrad, 1958.

22. NIR No. LA-21-54/1201. Developing New Methods for Range Testing of Ground Artillery Ammunition for Fragmentation Effect. Main Scientific-Research Artillery Range (Glavnyy Nauchno-Issledovatelskiy Artilleriyskiy Poligon - GNIAP) of the GAU, 1956.

23. NIR No. 89-57. Study of the Effectiveness of Ground Artillery and Mortar Shells with Proximity Fuses. NIAI-1, Leningrad, 1959.

24. NIR No. 211-53. Developing Methods of Studying the Fragmentation Effect of Various Shells. NIAI-1, Leningrad 1954.

25. NIR No. 48 (210). Firing for Effect Against Observed and Unobserved Targets by a Group of Batteries (Battalion) of Rocket Artillery. NII-4, Moscow, 1955.

26. Instructions for the Employment of Chemical Weapons by the Artillery and Rules for Firing with Chemical Shells and Mortar Shells. Military Publishing House of the MO of the USSR, Moscow, 1959.

27. NIR No. 115-59. "Center-1" Organization and Capabilities of the Intelligence of the Air Forces

(Voyenno-Vozdushnyye Sily - VVS) and Ground Troops. Special Report. NIAI-1, Leningrad, 1959.

28. NIR No. 142-59. "Analysis". The Basis for Creating an Army Artillery Point for the Collection and Analysis of Intelligence Data and of the Requirements for a Radiotechnical Complex for Reconnaissance of the Enemy's Objectives Throughout the Depth of His Operational Formation. NIAI-1, Leningrad, 1959.

29. NIR No. 207-60. "Branch" ("Vetka"). Preliminary Recommendations for the Planning and Control of the Fire and Maneuver of Missile Units in a Front (Army) Offensive Operation. Preliminary Report. NIAI-1, Leningrad, 1960.

30. NIR No. 209-60. "Mountain" ("Gora"). The Employment of Missile Units in Mountainous-Wooded Terrain (from the experience of exercises of the Transcaucasus Military District). NIAI-1, Leningrad, 1960.

31. Skor'ba, B.A. Certain Questions Concerning Combat with Enemy Artillery Weapons of Atomic Attack. VAKA Works No. 30, Leningrad, 1959.

32. Problems in the Development of Artillery and Its Employment in a Modern War. Military Publishing House of the MO of the USSR, Moscow, 1959, Part 1 and 2.

33. Shkarubskiy, P.F. The Preparation of Atomic Strikes in Modern War. Collection of Articles of the Artillery Journal, No. 47, Moscow, 1960.

34. Varentsov, S.S. Problems of Fire in the Development of Modern Military Art. Collection of Articles of the Journal "Military Thought", No. 4 (48), Moscow, 1959.

35. Yeletskikh, G. Aerial Reconnaissance of Targets in Support of the Employment of Missile Weapons. Collection of Articles of the Journal "Military Thought", No. 1 (51), Moscow, 1960.

50X1-HUM

36. Reducing the Time for the Preparation of Missile Units to Fire. Artillery Information Collection, No. 50, 1960.

37. Gel'vikh, P.A. The Theory of Probabilities. The Press of the Artillery Academy, Leningrad, 1933.

38. Kashkin, L.P. The Organization of Combat with Enemy Atomic Attack Weapons in a Front Operation. Collection of Articles of the Journal "Military Thought", No. 6 (50), Moscow, 1959.

39. Zaika, Ankudinov, Buyev, Strel'chenko, Aderey, Khorenkov. The Organization of Combat with Enemy Nuclear Attack Weapons in a Front Operation (comments on the article of Major-General of Artillery L.P. Kashkin). Collection of Articles of the Journal "Military Thought", No. 4 (54), Moscow, 1960.

40. Special Report on Subject No. 13. The Organization of Combat with Enemy Nuclear Attack Weapons in the Front. Higher Artillery Academy (Vysshaya Artilleriyskaya Akademiya - VAA), Leningrad, 1960.