

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

17 December 1962

MEMORANDUM FOR THE UNITED STATES INTELLIGENCE BOARD

SUBJECT:

US Position Paper on 1901/62: COMMUNIST CHINESE AIR CAPABILITY AGAINST INDIA

25X1X7

(Dated 4 December 1962)

1. The attached draft Position Paper has been approved by the Board of National Estimates pursuant to a consideration of it by the USIB representatives.

2. This paper has been placed on the agenda of the USIB meeting scheduled for 1030, Wednesday, 19 December. 25X1A9a

jio

Acting Deputy Assistant Director National Estimates

DISTRIBUTION A MILITARY

GROUP 1 Excluded from automatic downgrading and

Approved For Release 2000/08/30 : CIA-RDP80S01499R000100050056-4

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

17 December 1962

DRAFT

25X1C8a

UNITED STATES INTELLIGENCE BOARD COMMENUS ON 1901/62, "Communist Chinese Air Capability Against India," dated 4 December 1962."

1. The significant points of difference and agreement are con-25X1C8a sidered below in the order of their appearance in the study.

25X1C8a

2. (para. 5). We are in general agreement with the judgments made in this paragraph but would suggest the following wording for it:

"We believe that as a result of the discord in Sino-Soviet relations the Soviet Union has not supplied any modern offensive aircraft to China in the past two years, although she has been willing to make them available to other countries such as Iraq, Indonesia, and the UAR. We consider that as long as the serious rift in relations remains the Soviet Union will be reluctant to supply modern aircraft to China and China will be faced with growing obsolescence in her Air Forces. Even in the unlikely event of her



economic problems and ideological differences being resolved in the near future, it would be several years before China could significantly improve her air capability, unless combat aircraft were directly supplied by the USSR."

25X1C8a		X1C8a
3. para. 8). A comparison	or us and	estimates of
CCAF and CCNAF combined strength follows:	25X1C8b	
		US
CCAF/CCNAF TOTAL	2 , 850	2,650
Jet Fighters	1,980	1,920
Jet Light Bombers	390	325
Piston Light Dowbers	125	105
Special Ground Attack	120	40 prop
· -		and 60 jet
Piston Medium Bombers	15	15

We have firm evidence of two TU-16's (BADGERs). What evidence we have suggest that these aircraft are not operational, but we cannot be certain. They were probably delivered to the Chinese by the USSR prior to mid-1960. We estimate the strength of the transport force to be approximately 195 piston short-range aircraft.

25X1C8a para. 9). We believe that the Chinese have approximately 260 airfields, including 135 which are suitable for jet fighters or jet light bombers, and 30 which can be used for met medium bombers.



S-M V m m

25X1C8a

para. 11). Not all Chinese airfields usable for light bomber or fighter action against India are at very high altitudes. The airfields most likely to be used for operations against the Ladakh-Jammu-Kashmir area, are Hotien, at 3,000 feet elevation with a crushed rock runway, and Soche, at 4,400 feet elevation with a sod or natural surface. In regard to radius of action and/or bomb load for jets operating at airfields of high elevation, we believe that a number of operational factors must be considered. Air temperature as well as runway length is important in estimating required take-off distances. We do not believe that reduction in radius of action and/or bomb load would arbitrarily be required in all instances. At Ihasa, at 14,000 feet elevation and 0° Centigrade, we believe that a BEAGLE could take off with a full 4,400 pound load in approximately one-half of the 11,000 feet that

25X1C8b

25X1C8a

6. (para, 13). We would suggest the following wording for paragraph 13: "The medium bomber force possesses a very limited strategic bombing capability due to its small size and performance. The BULL, a piston-engined bomber dating from 1948, would be highly vulnerable to jet interception."

^{*} See Table attached to Appendix B for BEAGLE take-off and landing weights.

2-N-0-W-M-T

25X1C8a

7. After paragraph 13, we would suggest the addition of a paragraph on deployment to read as follows: "At present virtually all of the Chinese medium bomber force is located in the Sian area, none of it being present in Tibet or Sinkiang. In times past RATs, BEAGLES, and BULLs have operated in Tibet from Kaerhmu. The BAT, with a 440 nautical mile radius and normal bomb load of 3,300 pounds, would be the most reliable aircraft for tactical strikes because of its slower take-off speed and greater maneuverability at low levels. The BEAGLE could bomb targets in northern India from bases in Szechuan (Chengtu), Tibet, Yunnan, and possibly Sinkiang. The BULL and the BADGER, with a combat radius of over 1,600 miles, could cover most of India from their base in Sian."

25X1C8a

8. (Maria, para, 14). China's air defense capabilities in the Himalayan area are limited due to insufficient radar coverage and the apparent absence of any jet fighter aircraft. The nearest jet fighter unit is probably located at Chengtu, but units could be redeployed to Tibet and Sinkiang. We believe that the Chinese have a limited early warning capability in the Ladakh area, but are not equipped to handle tactical intercept air operations from bases in Tibet. Within China Proper we have firm evidence of a small number of surface-to-air missile sites at Peiping, San-yuan (near Sian), and the Shuang-cheng-tzu missile test facility.

2-m-0 1 1 10 10

25X1C8a

9. (para. 17.) We would suggest deletion of the first sentence of this paragraph.

25X1C8a

10. (para. 18.) We would suggest the following language for the first sentence: "The Chinese Communists would use transport aircraft in airborne operations; however, extensive airborne operations are unlikely if they would cause a major disruption of essential air transport operations."

25X1C8a

11. (pars. 19.) We do not believe that China's ability to wage an air campaign against India would be seriously handicapped by the need to maintain her present air posture. We would suggest deletion of the second sentence. In regard to the third sentence, our evidence is inadequate to allow a precise estimate, but we believe that at most 290 tactical aircraft could be committed to operations against India including 180 jet fighters, 50 jet light bombers, and 60 piston light bombers.

25X1C8a

12. (para. 20.) We would suggest deletion of the 25X1C8a first sentenc. We agree with the that the key to air operations would be the amount of logistic support, particularly POL, which could be provided to forward bases. However, the first half of the second sentence appears to contradict the opening sentence. Our

logistics planning has been based on the premise that the aircraft listed in paragraph 11 could operate from 6 airfields, 4 of which are in the critical logistics zone of western Sinkiang and southern Tibet. The Chinese could supply the latter airfields with a maximum of 500 short tons per day.

25X1C8a

, para. 21) We have little evidence of stock piling 13. of air supplies in the Tabetan area. We believe that if the Chinese exerted a maximum effort, they might be able to deliver a total of 2,240 short tons daily to the Tibetan area, including 2,000 tons by road and 240 tons by air (assuming the use of 175 civil and military aircraft). They could divert some 500 short tons of this potential maximum to support air operations against India. However, it is not likely that the Chinese would choose to make such an all out effort. Currently some 12 transports (8 at Hotien and 4 at Lhasa) are probably operating in the area. We believe that up to 50 small transport aircraft could be diverted to support operations against India without imposing unacceptable restrictions on the overall Chinese air transport system. Under optimum conditions, these 50 transports could supply some 60 tons daily for a sustained period from railheads in China proper. Currently, the total tonnage delivered into Tibet is estimated to be 500 to 700 tons daily, virtually all by road.

Dame of the Ut

25X1C8a

sorties listed. We believe that the logistic requirements for carrying out the suggested operations are somewhat lower than those 25X1C8a given by the . Thus, jet light bomber sorties would probably require under three short tons per flying hour and jet fighter sorties would require one and one-half short tons per flying hour. We estimate that piston light bomber sorties would require about one short ton per flying hour and jet ground attack sorties would require slightly more than one and one-half short tons per flying hour.

25X1C8a

15. (para. 25). Of all Tibetan airfields, we believe that the Chinese are most likely to use Nagchhu Dzong for jet light bomber operations.

25X1C8a

25X1C8a

25X1C8a

17. (para. 27). We concur that raids by Chinese piston bombers would likely result in heavy casualties. Contrary to

S-E-V-N - 1 m

25X1C8a

capabilities are substantially improved, Chinese jet light bombers could penetrate Indian defenses in limited numbers without suffering unacceptable losses.

25X1C8a

18. (para. 30). We would suggest the following substitute wording for this paragraph: "In view of the limitations of and other calls upon the transport force, extensive airborne operations are unlikely. The air situation, however, would not necessarily be unfavorable to the Chinese in all areas where they might contemplate limited airborne operations."

25X1C8a

19. (para. 31b). We do not believe that China's ability to wage an air campaign against India would be seriously handicapped by the need to maintain her present air posture and deployment. We believe that a principal Chinese objective is to maintain current air moratorium as long as possible. We agree that China is unlikely to undertake air attacks deep into India except in retaliation. In addition, the Chinese might initiate tactical air operations if they suffered major military reverses.

25X1C8a

20. (para, para, 31c). We agree that the Chinese could mount only light, sporadic raids against India with piston bombers. However,

it is likely that Chinese REAGLES and, possibly, RADGERS could be effective against Indian targets in sustained operations involving limited numbers of aircraft until such times as the Indian air defense system is substantially improved. Moreover, we would hesitate to ignore or minimize the psychological significance of even token Chinese raids on Indian cities and military targets.

25X1C8a

21. (para. 31d). We believe the Chinese Air Force could provide air defense for a few localities in the Tibetan area. Although the five airfields in the Sin Viang-Tibetan area most likely to be used in operations against India would be vulnerable to air attack, we do not believe that this alone would deter the Chinese from mounting operations from them.

25X1C8a

22. (para. 3le). We believe that the Chinese are capable of undertaking limited airborne operations, although this appears unlikely in present circumstances. There is some evidence that limited supply drops have already taken place.

NOTE: We find a number of discrepancies between and US thinking on 25X1C8a

the technical data presented in the annexes to the text. There has not been time to work out a coordinated US position on these

STEWN COLD TO THE

25X1C8a

specifics, but this will be done before the meetings with the team.

Some examples of the discrepancies are: 25X1C8a

a. The identify 6,600 pounds as the bomb weight for a BEAGLE mission with combat radius of 600 nautical miles. The US concedes that the design bomb load for the DEAGLE is probably up to 6,600 pounds but believes that BEAGLE bomb bay dimensions indicate a maximum capacity of eight 550-pound general purpose bombs totaling 4,400 pounds. With a 4,400 pound bomb load, the US believes that the 25X1C82 combat radius would be 590 nautical miles. Furthermore, the believe that BEAGLES operating from Ihasa would be restricted to a bomb load of only 2,000 pounds. The US, in estimating BEAGLE operations from Nagchhu Dzong in Tibet rather than Ihasa, makes no restriction on BEAGLE bomb load as long as surface temperature is 0° centigrade or less (see page 7, attachment 4, to AFIC study dated 11 December).

25X1C8a

a ground attack capability in operations against India in the current dispute. The US estimates that FRESCO aircraft could be employed either with one 1,000 pound bomb or two 550 pound bombs.

S-B-U-A-E-M

25X1C8a

c. The estimate that the FAGOT (MTG-15) in a ground attack role, carrying two 550 pound bombs, would have an internal fuel combat radius of 235 nautical miles. The US estimates that the FAGOT in this configuration would have a combat radius of only 100 nautical miles. If, however, the FAGOT was carrying only one 550 pound bomb instead of two, the US believes that its combat radius could be 240 nautical miles.