SECURITY INFORMATION

MENOGRANDUM OF CONVERSATION:

9 January 1952

SUBJECT: New CIA Building

TIME : 1330 to 1400

DATE : 7 January 1952

PMACE: Public Buildings Service, GSA (Office of Mr. W. . Beynolds, Commissioner)

THOSE PRESENT: Mr. Reynolds, Commissioner, PRS; Mr. Wolf, DD/A, CIA: Colonel White, ADD/A, CIA

- l. Wr. Wolf briefly reviewed our building problem to include the fact that we had permanent authorisation to build a new building to house our departmental employees at a cost of \$38,000,000 but that Congress had declined to appropriate the necessary funds at its last session.
- 2. Er. Wolf then stated that we were preparing another request to Congress for the necessary appropriation which would be submitted at the first available opportunity during its current session and asked Mr. Reynolds to confirm our understanding that there was no existing structure in the Washington area into which our departmental organization could fit under one roof and none which could be made available to CIA at any time in the foreseeable future. Mr. Reynolds stated that our understanding was entirely correct. Mr. Wolf then asked if or Reynolds thought it would be appropriate for PBS to furnish CIA with a written statement to this effect which could be used at our representations to the Bureau of the Budget and the Congress. Mr. Reynolds stated that he did not consider such a written statement to be necessary and, further, that he would be happy to testify personally before any committees with which we had difficulty.
- 3. Wr. Wolf related that it was our understanding that the only three possible sites available to us were in the vicinity of (a) Lengley, Virginia, (b) Suitland, Maryland, and (3) the Nevius Tract. He added that he did not believe that the Director would be willing to locate our proposed new building at either Suitland or Langley. The plans prepared by PED for the Nevius Tract are attractive and acceptable. However, it would certainly require the entire \$38,000,000 appropriation to construct a building on this site which would meet with the approval of the National Capital Park and Planning Commission. If we are unable to obtain the entire \$38,000,000 appropriation and are forced to contract something for approximately \$25,000,000, we, therefore, cannot construct a building on the Nevius Tract.
- h. Mr. Wolf asked for confirmation of our understanding that no other sites were available. Mr. Reynolds replied that a fourth site could be made available.

Approved For Release 2002/08/28 COM RDP80B01676R004100060046-3

| This site is on the Soldiers' Hor require the architectural fringer Nevius Tract. | me property and construction on this site would not sthat would be necessary for construction on the 25X |
|--|---|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | this position by saying that he did much prefer to |
| priate the entire \$38,000,000 when anable to do this and were force | and hoped that we could persuade Congress to appro- nich would enable us to do so, but that if we were ed to undertake construction for less money the de available. He reiterated his desire to support us a personal testimony before appropriate committees |
| Congress for the entire \$38,000, the Soldiers' Home site to be co | the agreed with Mr. Reynolds and that we would ask ,000 with a view toward acquiring the Nevius Tract, onsidered as an alternate if we must build for less ed that Mr. Peel and I visit the Soldiers onvenience. Arrangements have been made to do this |
| ought to know that it was the Proposition of favorably considered by Congressident desires that it be represented from the previous keynolds feels that if the Dispersioning it would have been pass | conversation, kr. Reynolds stated that he thought we resident's desire that the Dispersal Plan, which was gress at its last session, was to be revived. The submitted and NSRb has lowered its minimum distance 20-mile radius to a 10 to 12-mile radius. Mr. ersal Plan had been based on these Digures in the besed at the last session of Congress. I asked Mr. this should influence CIA in any way in proceeding cilding. He was positive in his belief that it should |
| not. | |
| | 1/2/ |
| | L. K. WHITE |
| cc: Exec Asst to DCI | Assistant Deputy Director |
| cc: Exec Asst to DOI | (definistration) |