13 September 1956

MEMORANDUM FOR: General Cabell

SUBJECT

: Status of Governor Stassen's Disarmement Activities

- 1. At the last meeting of the President's Special Committee on Disarmement Problems on 29 August, Governor Stassen indicated that he would seek the President's Secision with regard to certain proposals relative to disarmement policy. I do not know whether he has had any conversations with the President on this subject and, in view of the international situation, I doubt that disarmement will be a very popular subject for discussion in the immediate future. However, in the event that references should come up in the MSC or elsewhere I will summarise developments of the last two months for your information.
- 2. On June 29, Severnor Stanger addressed a memorandum to Members of the National Security Council in which he outlined at some length the background information as a basis for recommendations relative to U.S. policies on discrement preliminary to U.N. meetings and Discrement Committee discussions. Individual members were asked to be prepared to discuss these recommendations with the President after 12 July.
- (a) Both Defense and ABC took exception in writing to many of the proposals and in meetings of the Committee, State and others joined them.
- (b) On 15 August during Governor Stassen's absence, his Deputy, Ambassador Penslee, endeavored to reduce the proposals to documents which could be presented to the U.H. Disarramment Committee at forth-coming discussions. However, these proposals met a cold reception in the Committee. At a meeting on 27 August on Governor Stassen's return, State and Defense urged that before considering these Socuments Governor Stassen should revise his proposals of June 29 in the light of departmental comments and should obtain decisions as to what U.S. policy should be. Governor Stassen took some time to familiarise himself with Ambassador Penslee's papers and the departmental reactions and then on 29 August made the statement to which I have referred.
- 3. The policy problems involved appear to be primarily concerns of State, Defense and AMS. The positions they have taken appear to be entirely sound and are supported in all essentials by the comments of OME on the proposals.
- 4. For your information, the proposals and communits can be very briefly summarized as follows:

Approved For Release 2003/05/05 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000600010029-6

Governor Stassen's Proposals:

- A. After 1 July 1957 future production of fissionable material to be exclusively for non-weapons use under international inspection and supervision.
- B. and C. Provide small nuclear-equipped forces to UN under Security Council and HATO under SHAPE.
 - D. Insure UK adequate nuclear posture by 1 July 1957.
- E. Transfer from previous nuclear production for vespons purposes to supervised peaceful purposes in successive increments.
- F. Ban tests of nuclear weapons after 1 July 1957 and agree to effective inspection systems.
- G. Research and development for outer-space objects to be for perceful purposes only with international inspection and participation in tests.
- H. Continue negotiations for adoption Eisenhover's serial imspection combined with Bulganin-type ground imspection.
- I. Agreements to be subject to termination on one year's written notice.
- J. Consider progressive development of an inspection and control system.
- K. Consult German Federal Republic relative arms limitation effect on unification.
 - L. Consider application of agreements when made to think.
- 5. The comments of the Joint Chiefs of Staff emphasized that an effective inspection and control system is essential preliminate to any disarmement agreement; opposes considers production preduction for to existence of such inspection system; considers provision of nuclear forces to UN and NATO would restrict freedom of action of U.S. in own security; points out that nuclear proposals spuld require Congressional action; maintains that continued tests are essential as long as nuclear steekpiles exist; notes that any agreement should provide for impediate termination in case of violation; points out that accepting a gradual development of inspection and control system is fraught with grave damped considers any discussion with German Federal Republic most undesirable for U.S. security from military point of view.

- 6. The Secretary of Defense and the Deputy Secretary of Defense supported the JCS position and urged adherence to the principle that "an acceptable and proven procedure for inspection and verification of armaments should be a prerequisite to an international agreement on disarrament."
- 7. The AZC took exception to Governor Stassen's estimate that 15 er 20 other countries could have nuclear weapons in the near future; notes that inspection system to insure production for peaceful use could not be established by 1 July 1957 but would require at least 3 years after agreement; notes that provision of nuclear weapons to U.K. would require Congressional action and affect future adequacy U.S. stockpiles; notes that determination of edequacy stockpiles should precede any agreement to terminate production; opposes any agreement to ben tests apart from a general disarmement agreement which includes an effective and proven inspection system; believes an inspection system to supervise outerspace missile tests possible but more difficult of establishment than detection of lew-yield explosions; considers that activities necessary to an inspection system are not items for negotiation after agreement but are an indispensable prerequisite; does not look with favor on any proposal for does-grading priority of serial inspection and making it as item to be negotiated and implemented progressively.
- proposals and made detailed comments as a basis for background information for the DCI. The Board considers the date of 1 July 1957 would have propagands value but little chance of UESE asseptance; does not consider that a UE nuclear force would be an effective sanction against major powers; considers the idea of legitimatizing nuclear weapons contrary to wide-spread scatiment for outlawing such use; considers transfer from weapons to non-vespons use would not reduce the threat from viewpoint of non-nuclear powers; considers UESE unlikely to accept inspection of any outer-space weapons tests; points out that ground inspection proposed by Balganin considered insdequate to meet U.S. requirements; points out that discussions with the Federal Republic are undesirable as the proposals would have serious disadvantages to the Adamser Soversment

SIGNED

L. K. THESOTT, Jr. General, USA (Ret.)

LKT/c
Distribution:

#'Orig-addressee
#21 cc-Mr. Amory
#31 cc-LKT
heldby * KT

or or

TOP SECRET Approved For Release 2003/05/05 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000600010029-6 SIGNATURE RECORD AND COVER SHEET REGISTRY DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION CIA CONTROL NO. SOURCE 158669 fragoutt, 0/20I DATE DOCUMENT RECEIVED DOC. NO. 9/14/56 DOC. DATE LOGGED BY of 5 COPY NO. NUMBER OF PAGES NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS ATTENTION: This form will be placed on top of and attached to each Top Secret document received by the Central Intelligence Agency or classified Top Secret within the CIA and will remain attached to the document until such time as it is downgraded, destroyed, or transmitted outside of CIA. Access to Top Secret matter is limited to Top Secret Control personnel and those individuals whose official duties relate to the matter. Top Secret Control Officers who receive and/or release the attached Top Secret material will sign this form and indicate period of custody in the left-hand columns provided. Each individual who sees the Top Secret document will sign and indicate the date of handling in the right-hand columns. SEEN BY RELEASED REFERRED TO RECEIVED DATE SIGNATURE AND OFFICE TIME DATE DATE TIME SIGNATURE OFFICE 9/11 1030 Exec.Re 25**K**4 NOTICE OF DETACHMENT: When this form is detached from Top Secret material it shall be completed in the appropriate spaces below and transmitted to Central Top Secret Control for record. DISPATCHED (OUTSIDE CIA) DESTROYED DOWNGRADED BY (Signature) TO BY (Signature) WITNESSED BY (Signature) BY (Signature) DATE OFFICE DATE DATE Approved For Release 2003/05/05: CIA-RDP80B01676R000600010029-6

FORM NO. 26 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS.

TOP SECRET

White House Disarmament