

NOV 19 1964  
64-442413

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director-Comptroller  
THROUGH : Deputy Director for Support  
SUBJECT : Language Training for U. S. Government Dependents  
REFERENCE : Action Memorandum No. A-402

1. This memorandum suggests action on the part of the Director of Central Intelligence; this action is contained in paragraph 2.

2. There is attached a proposed reply to NSAM 306 as requested by your Action Memorandum No. A-402, dated 3 July 1964.

3. The General Counsel has advised the Deputy Director for Support that the Agency has existing authority to provide language training for dependents of these employees whose duties justify such training, that there is no need for the Agency unilaterally to initiate a request for further legislation on this matter, but that the Agency would agree to inclusion in any new legislation sponsored by State or other agencies of the Government related to dependent language training.

4. We propose to continue language training for dependents abroad unilaterally and within the program and under the authority of [redacted] [redacted] Section 8(a) of The CIA Act also applies and will cover language training in the United States for selected dependents based on operational justification or on the space available factor in existing courses.

25X1

25X1

MATTHEW BAIRD  
Director of Training

Attachment: Reply to Honorable McGeorge Bundy

CONCUR: \_\_\_\_\_  
L. K. White  
Deputy Director for Support

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

25X1

DDTR [redacted] 28 Jul 64)

Distribution:

0 - Adse (return to DTR) 2 - DDS

1 - ER Approved For Release 2006/08/09 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000400160018-4

MORI/CDF Pages  
1-2

**CONFIDENTIAL**

64-4424/A

30 JUL 64

**MEMORANDUM FOR: Honorable McGeorge Bundy**  
**Special Assistant to the President**  
**The White House**  
**Washington, D. C.**

**SUBJECT : Language Training for U.S. Government Dependents**

**REFERENCE : NSAM No. 306, dated June 19, 1964, same subject**

1. The Central Intelligence Agency is working with the pertinent agencies to develop the specific details of a program for language training for U.S. Government dependents. We believe that Section 8 of the CIA Act of 1949, as amended, provides ample authority to meet the immediate needs of the Agency in complying with the spirit and intent of NSAM No. 306. We will, of course, be glad to work with other appropriate agencies in the preparation of legislation in this area for the Government generally.

2. We do not anticipate a need for additional funds in Fiscal Year 1965 but will make adequate provision for such funds in subsequent Fiscal Years.

John A. McCone  
Director

30 JUL 64

25X1

DDTF [redacted] pdr (29 Jul 64)  
Rewritten:DD/S:LKW:sbo (30 Jul 64)

Distribution:

- 0 & 1 - Adse
- 1 - ER
- 1 - Signing Official
- 2 - DD/S (1 w/h)
- 2 - DTR

*White House*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Executive Registry

OGC 64-2053(a)

64-4839

JUL 1964

**MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Training**

**SUBJECT: Response to NSAM 306. Subject:  
Language Training for U. S.  
Government Dependents**

1. By Action Memorandum No. A-402, dated 3 July 1964, the Executive Director has requested the Director of Training to prepare a reply to Mr. Bundy relating the steps which the Agency is initiating to comply with NSAM No. 306. That NSAM, together with NSAM No. 293, directs the agencies concerned, including CIA, to submit a report on language training for dependents of Government employees stationed overseas and to "propose necessary legislative authority and appropriations through normal channels." Action Memorandum No. A-402 also states that it will be necessary for the Office of General Counsel to determine if the Agency has adequate authority to expend funds for this type of training.

2. Section 8(a) of the CIA Act authorizes the expenditure of funds by this Agency "for purposes necessary to carry out its functions." We perceive no legal objection to a decision by the Agency that it is necessary to provide language training to at least some dependents situated overseas. It might be difficult to justify such a decision with respect to all dependents, however. Further, cover considerations suggest the desirability of a uniform program throughout the Government. We would recommend therefore that the Agency join with the other agencies in responding to the National Security Action Memoranda and in requesting legislative authority and appropriations.


[Redacted Signature]

Associate General Counsel

25X1

cc: Executive Director - Comptroller  
Deputy Director/Support

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification



24 June 1964

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director

Kirk:

I have looked into the background of NSAM 306 which directs that various agencies including CIA develop a specific program and propose legislative authority and appropriations to provide language training to dependents of U. S. Government officials stationed abroad. NSAM 306 relates to an interagency response to an earlier NSAM 293 of last April.

It appears to me that various actions are required to honor the terms of NSAM 306.

In the first place, to date matters related to the two NSAMs have been handled within DD/S and OTR. If we are to respond affirmatively to the directive in NSAM 306, it appears that you, Larry Houston and John Clarke will have to get in the act.

Second, with regard to the interagency response, I note that the Acting DD/S gave the Agency's concurrence in a letter dated 6 May. I question whether anyone short of the Executive Director should commit the Agency to a response to an NSAM. I was struck by the fact that the interagency response said nothing specifically about CIA. Jocko Richardson tells me that we had provided the numbers of dependents given language training to the State Department under CONFIDENTIAL classification. The reason these numbers were not included was because they were insignificant.

OTR is of the belief that to begin providing a meaningful language training program for dependents will require some sort of authority. OTR has the training capacity but insufficient authority. If the Agency is to become more active in this field, another problem is that there must be sufficient lead time in assigning dependents to the field to permit adequate training before the assignment.

Richardson tells me that State, some time back, began to <sup>intensify</sup> identify its language training program for dependents. Congressman Rooney heard about it and raised hell with State for doing this without appropriate authority. Because of this, DD/S exercised an extremely

cautious policy within the Agency. Later on, however, State sought and got some kind of authority for this activity. I am not sure of the nature of this authority but maybe the Agency could base its program on whatever this authority is.

A rectangular box with a black border, used to redact the signature of the author.

H. Knoche

25X1

Approved For Release 2006/08/09 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000400160018-4

**Page Denied**