Tape 49 Side A, 0 - 1/2

2 OCT 1979

(Addition to NSIA speech)

No intelligence officer will ever complain about being swamped with information, however. The essence of our business is being able to separate the wheat from the chaff. Perhaps a better way to put it would be to say that we are always trying to put together a picture puzzle when we have only 20% or 30% of the pieces. Identifying those pieces which are most likely to give shape to the puzzle, as opposed to those which could fit in almost anywhere, is the vital part of our task. With your help we have been working on ways to employ computers to screen large quantities of data for us and surface those which make them worthy of further human screening. We need much more sophistication in this area to ensure that important information is not overlooked. Yet there will always be a limit on the number of humans we can apply to processing this growing body of data.

The importance of being able to screen information quickly and efficiently with computers is apparent when we realize why intelligence officers would be reluctant not to collect all of the data that is available. Even if screening of such data today does not reveal any reason that we should be interested in it, we never know when we may want to go back to it tomorrow. Today perhaps we will apply 25 indicators to <code>axbadyxaf</code> information that we have collected; tomorrow perhaps we will want to go back and rescreen that same data with a 26th or a 27th indicator. Perhaps somewhere else we have received a new clue; perhaps international events have brought new attention to bear on some portion of a problem that was previously overlooked.

Approved For Release 2005/07/26: CIA-RDP80B01554R003200090031-8

Although Lane was among those instrumental in the reopening of the investigation, especially into the Martin Luther King Jr. assassination, he says he feels no sense of personal vindication by the committee's conclusions. It was one that could have been reached years ago, he insists, by a careful reading of the forensic evidence in the Kennedy assassination.

"It comes at a time when it is probably too late to find who was responsible. The intelligence agencies and police agencies who should have been responsible to go find the killers turned their backs on the evidence."

Lane is convinced that the committee's conclusion on involvement of lower-echelon organized crime figures was politically inspired by public opinion polls showing a wide majority of Americans believe there was a conspiracy.

"So they had to come up with a statement there was a conspiracy if they were going to run for reelection," Lane said. "They had to come up with an acceptable enemy, so they came out with the Mafia. Nobody likes the Mafia. They picked a target that can't answer."

HOWARD ROFFMAN, a Washington attorney, was only 21 when he wrote "Presumed Guilty" in 1974, which focused on the evidence against Oswald. He does not think the House committee has come up with any concrete evidence of any direct connection with any of the groups it looked at, and the assassination.

Roffman is especially critical of what he says was the committee's failure to order an acoustical examination of the three out of four shots that did not appear to come from the grassy knoll. "It's almost laughable that they came up with this major piece of evidence at the last minute and didn't subject it to more analysis," he said."

He is not optimistic about the results of a continued investigation; even in the hands of a special prosecutor. "I haven't seen anything at this point to make me believe the trail is hot enough to pursue. "I really think this thing is just going to go on and go on. The only real difference is in the general public's opinion at the time."

PETER DALE SCOTT and Paul
Hoch, both faculty members at the
University of California, Berkeley, are
the editors of an anthology, "The Assassinations; Dallas and Beyond"
(Random House, 1976). Both men are
critical of what they say was the committee's failure to investigate a coverup of the assassination evidence.

"There obviously was a cover-up, and they're trying to say there wasn't," Scott said. "It may have been for security reasons, innocent reasons, or whatever. But there was a cover-up.

. . I think it would have been more constructive to look at the undeniable instances of cover-up and put pressure on those people and find out who ordered the cover-up and why."

Hoch agrees, but he believes the committee may have overplayed the case for involvement of organized crime figures, although he withholds final judgment until he reads the report.

"I don't think there was ever any doubt that organized crime had the motive and means to get rid of Kennedy. The sticky point has always been

in the evidence. I think we're seeing more of an emphasis on organized crime than the evidence might warrant. They seem to absolve the Cuban and Soviet governments entirely. I'd like to see how thoroughly they pursued that sort of thing."

Both men, however, are pleased overall with the job the committee did. "My bottom line reaction is increased optimism," Scott said. "We may never know the identity of the second gunman at Dealey Plaza, but I feel much better about the American political-process. It took a lot of courage for this committee to do what it has done."

Added Hoch: "In a sense all my friends and I feel somewhat vindicated, that it wasn't kooky to go around all these years saying there was a conspiracy."

The issue that is on the front pages of our newspapers today regarding the Soviet brigade in Cuba is an example of this. In 1963 we felt the/combat forces which the Soviets had introduced into Cuba had all been withdrawn. It was not until 1978 that we began to have strong suspicions that this was no longer the case. It was only in August of this year that we were able with an intelligence breakthrough to adduce persuasive evidence that there is now Soviet combined arms a/mrmund combat brigade in Cuba. With today's evidence, however, we are reexamining all of the data from 1962 until present. We have new clues with which to probe into old data. These probings still persuade us that the combat at least the capability was withdrawn in 1963-64, but that by/xhm mid-1970s such a capability had been reestablished in essentially its present form of a combined arms brigade with three motorized infantry battalions, one tank battalion, and all the normal artillery, anti-aircraft, other support elements common to a Soviet combatant unit of this size.

(Typed at EOB & given directly to	for NSIA speech
-----------------------------------	-----------------

25X1

He is severely critical of the committee's work and methodology. "This committee hasn't even come close. . . . It never conducted an investigation of either assassination. The committee del dicated itself to putting down private citizens (Warren Report critics), and to ending all criticism. With the tape of Dealey Plaza (the acoustical evidence of a fourth shot), the committee was saved from its own bankruptcy. And that tape had to be forced on them."

Still, Weisberg is begrudgingly pleased with the committee's conclusion that conspiracy is probable ("It was their only chance to avoid bankruptcy."). But he disagrees with its reading of Oswald as an assassin somehow in league with lower-echelon organized crime figures. "With all the expert hit men they could draw on why a dumb-dumb like Uswaid who couldn't shoot straight?"

JOSIAH THOMPSON, 44, is a San Francisco private investigator, former Naval officer, and Yale graduate (Ph.D.) who once taught philosophy at Haverford College. His 1967 book, "Six Seconds in Dallas," is a detailed analysis of what happened at Dealey Plaza the day of the assassinaton. The committee's conclusion that there were four shots instead of the three believed by the Warren Commission, is in agreement with those of Thompson's book (although he believes the shotswere fired by three gunmen, not two).

While Thompson expresses admiration for the committee's courage in reaching the conclusions it did, he believes its members were driven to the least political conclusion they could arrive at by strong, impossible-to-ignore

scientific evidence.

He disagrees, however, with the conclusion that lower-echelon organized crime figures were probably the acting conspirators. "If one concludes that the assassination was carried out by various low-level members of organized crime, it remains an apolitical assassination, and that is only one step above the lone nut theory we have had with us all these years," he said.

"Here is the initial problem in my mind: No one has doubted that Oswald has some connection to this killing. But as far as I know, his connection to organized crime has never been shown, while his connection to intelligence agencies has been demonstrated.

"So the question remains: If this was a low-level killing by organized crime, why Oswald? How would the committee's theory explain a guy as odd as Oswald being involved in the assassination, if those (intelligence) agencies themselves had no connection? How did organized crime know that Oswald had all these interesting (intelligence) connections? Why would organized crime pick Oswald, of all people, to be the patsy? "

SYLVIA MEAGHER. (pronounced . Mah-er), a former United Nations liaison officer, is author of the highly regarded "Accessories After the Fact" (Bobbs Merrill, 1967), and the first index to the Warren Report. Her onebedroom apartment on the west side of New York's Greenwich Village shows the effects of her commitment to finding answers to the President's murder. There are two file cabinets, three closets, and four bookcases holding material relating to the assassination.

Like most of the critics, Meagher has not yet had a chance to read the 686-page summary report. But from what she knows of its conclusions through newspaper accounts, she thinks the committee, as she puts it,-"has inadvertently stumbled on a small piece of the truth - proof of conspiracy.

"But it has tried to confine it to a narrow, low-level conspiracy, while I see it as a broad, high-level conspiracy. And I don't accept that Oswald had meaningful links (to the FBI, CIA. and Office of Naval Intelligence).
"I do think the House committee has produced some impressive evidence that organized crime was involved, and probably utilized Oswald as a scapegoat. But if he was used as a scapegoat, I think it is likely that it was a government source working with organized crime and anti-Castro Cubans. I can't believe that organized crime alone could do that."

PENN JONES JR. is the retired editor of the Midlothian Mirror, a fourpage weekly serving a small Texas town 25 miles south of Dallas and -Dealey Plaza. He is the author of a series of four self-published books on the Kennedy assassination titled, "Forgive My Grief," and editor of a newsletter, "The Continuing Inquiry," published on the 22d of each month.

One of the prime tasks of the newsletter is to publish a massive index compiled by fellow Texan Mary Ferrell, whose files on the assassination are regarded by most writers and investigators as the best in the country. The index consists of 50,000 3-by-5-inch cards. "We're in Volume 4, No. 1, and we're still in the G's," Jones said."It will take us 10 years to finish the alphabet.25 means 生活 有的特点点的 动乳的

But his reputation nationally owes to his compilation of what has come to be known as the "strange deaths list." On the list-originally 15 names- are those associated with the assassination one way or another whose deaths Jones regards as suspicious. He has added steadily to the list over the years.

"I'm certainly happy they finally said, 'Yes, it is a conspiracy.' My God, it has taken them 16 years to say that. But then on the same day they said it was a conspiracy, they adjourned and abandoned the committee. That's the day they should have gone to work. Instead, they discontinued their efforts."

EDWARD J. EPSTEIN is one of the youngest of the first-generation critics. He has written three books on the assassination. The first, "Inquest: The Warren Commission and the Establishment of Truth" (Viking, 1966), grew out of a thesis he wrote at Cornell University for a master's degree in political science. His most recent book. "Legend: The Secret World of Lee Harvey Oswald" (McGraw-Hill, 1978), depicts Oswald as a KGB-trained agent.

The committee's conclusion that there was a second rifleman, most likely on the grassy knoll, troubles Epstein. "How could someone have fired a shot and escaped with the rifle? How do you run away with a rifle?"

"I think the so-called acoustical evidence is a hoax. I think the key to the Kennedy assassination is Lee Harvey Oswald. I think he tried to assassinate Walker (U.S. Army Gen. Edwin Walker); and I think there is evidence be had an associate there. If he did, it might explain a great deal where his sympathies lay.

Epstein discounts the committee's belief that Oswald somehow worked with lower-echelon organized crime figures. "The evidence is so overwhelming that Oswald, before the assassination, wanted to prove to Fidel (Castro) he was worthy of the revolution. I think he saw his uncle only twice (Charles "Dutz" Murrett, who the committee identifies as a minor New Orleans or-

ganized crime figure and a surrogate father for Oswald).

"He (Oswald) saw himself as a conspirator working secretly with the FBI, Soviets, and CIA," Epstein continued, "and I think all the intelligence agencies know more than they have said.

"But to be perfectly frank, we're talking about an event that happened 16 years ago. We could have a conspirator who died of old age.'

MARK LANE HAS been the most vocal and public of Warren Report critics since the 1966 publication of his book, "Rush to Judgment." He is attributed with keeping public interest high in the further investigation of the assassination through his lecture tours, records, films, and books, and also with being the prime cause of much of the criticism leveled at the critics for his commercial opportunism and, say many in the field, his sloppy schol-\_arship. \_\_\_\_\_ arship. \_\_\_\_

CONTINUED