Approved For Release 2001/03/04: CIA-RDP80-01601R

The Change at CIA

There are such strict limits to what is knowable about the Central Intelligence Agency and its workings that any discussion of Mr. Helms' departure from the directorship and Mr. Schlesinger's appointment to replace him must necessarily rest on a comparatively small store of information. Even so, one or two things are plain. And chief among these is the fact, evident from what is known about the two men themselves, that one highly qualified and eminently capable official is being replaced by another.

Richard Helms has spent most of his professional life in intelligence work, and he has acquired a reputation among those qualified to judge, as a man of great honesty and tough-mindedness. The term "tough-minded" in this connection can only summon forth imaginary zither music for some people and visions of grown men running around endlessly shoving each other under trains. But Mr. Helms-unflappable, personally disinterested, and beyond the reach of political or ideological pressures where his judgment is concerned—earned his reputation for tough-mindedness in an intellectual sense. As Agency Director, he has been far less a public figure or celebrity than some of his predecessors-Allen Dulles, for example, or John McCone-evidently preferring to maintain a certain becoming obscurity. He has worked very effectively with some of his overseers on the Hill. And, if the leaked (not by CIA) material, such as the Pentagon Papers, that has been appearing in the press is any guide, he and his Agency have also served their executive branch leaders with some distinction. One gets the impression that from the presumed efficacy of bombing the North Vietnamese to the presumed necessity of responding to every wild surmise of what the Russians were up to in nuclear weapons development. Mr. Helms has offered a practical, dispassionate and rigorously honest—if net always popular—view.

That the Congress will be pushing for some greater degree of responsiveness from the CIA in the coming session seems pretty certain. And there also is at least a chance that internal bureaucratic difficulties at the Agency will require some managerial rearrangements. In a way, solely because he comes to CIA from outside (not from up the ranks), James Schlesinger may be specially suited to take on both. But he has other qualifciations. At the Rand Corporation in California, Mr. Schlesinger did analytic work that gave him more than a passing familiarity with the intelligence estimating business. At the Budget Bureau—as it was then known -in the early days of the Nixon administration he proved himself a very astute, not to say downright coldeyed, scrutinizer of military budget requests. His brief term at the AEC was notable in several respects. Mr. Schlesinger bucked the pressure of the atomic energy establishment to insist that the AEC take note of and respond to the claims of its ecological critics. And he attempted to push the agency back from its political role toward the more disinterested service role it was meant in the first place to fulfill. He, like Mr. Helms, is demonstrably a man of talent, dedication and impressive intellect. We should have been content to see them stay on in their present jobs. But if Mr. Helms is to leave the Central Intelligence Agency, we think Mr. Schlesinger is a first class choice to replace him.

STATINTL

BEST COPY Available

STATINTL

A Novel of Cowboy Diplomacy in the Congo in the 60s

Reviewed by Bruce Oudes

The reviewer has written extensively on African offairs and was a member of the American mission to the Congo (Kinshasa) in 1964-65.

In this process of adding up our flesh and blood as well as psychological losses from our recent adventures abroad, there should be a line for the foreign service, especially the junior ranks. Talented potential candidates have shunned government service, and a number of those on the inside have resigned outright. An entire foreign service generation has been depleted by this self-purge.

Some signed petitions and demonstrated before they quit; others made a splash of resignation as a question of principle. Malcolm Mc-Connell did neither.

He quietly went to a Greek Island and wrote in eloquent fury a taut first novel about how a young American diplomat and ex-Freedom Rider, Steve Sherman, and his sexually athletic wife spent the last week of 1965 in the Congo during a matata, the Swahili equivalent of brouhaha.

And what, pray tell, does this now ancient Congolese history have to do with, say, the U.S. "people-to-people" campaign in Indochina? As Sherman, the disenchanted FSO, put it to a CIA mian on New Year's eve in Albertville, "All you people going around the world writing surrender passes and bombing the hell out of people and stuff like that. It's O.K. as long you say they're Communists ... Why the hell do we always have to decide who gets bombed and who gets the milk powder?"

Sherman is disgusted not

Books

MATATA. By Malcolm McConnell.

(Viking, 380 pp., \$8.95) only with the superficiality of the U.S. contact with the Congolese, but with the vapid, play-every-night life he and Lisa are leading within the American community-a phenomenon known as embassy incest.

One of the paranoia-inducing truths of embassy life abroad is that in posts without an FBI agent, it is the ClA section that keeps tabs were flying on behalf of the on the private lives of all Americans. McConnell demonstrates just what a clout for conformity this lever can deliver. The spook tells Sherman, "You won't get a security clearance for a pay toilet in Red Square when I'm through with you."
"Matata" is the first novel

to give a slice of what life was really like for Americans in the Congo in those slapdash days, and Me-Connell's effort is a vivid, fluence remains high, and chilling success. The Congo, now the Republic of Zaire, stable. Joseph Mobutu is one set of American officials used every possible pressure to keep private Americans from joining the South African-Rhodesian dominated mercenary commandos. while others saw to the "mercs" combat needs including jeeps-with AlD friendship decals-to chase Simba rebels. It wouldn't do for Americans to actually kill Africans, not even errant ones.

fortunately, McConnell, does not limit his perspective to a one-way view of the Congo's tragedies, but he tells at sympathetic counterpoint the only slightly incredible story of the quintes-sential Congolese, Pierre-Marie Tshimpama, a victim of independence.

One is almost relieved to see Tshimpama's youthful respect and admiration for evolve to adult whites hatred. Anything less would meant McConnell have pulled punches. A CIA B-26 /don't have any human feel-I saw parked on the aprony at Kamina in 1964 carried an unforgettable reminder. On the nose of that plane; our" anti-Castro Cubans Congo's national air force was the World War II-style hand-painted name: "Boogic's Bogey.'

The difficulty of drawing a fair conclusion about what, the U.S. did in the Congo is that, according to the usual yardsticks of international success, our cowboy diplomacy worked. The Congo is still whole, the U.S. role and expenditure there is down considerably, American inwas the kind of place where just as much a fat-cat general and expert at one-man elections as Nguyen Van Thieu, but he and his country have receded in the American mind back to the travel pages. eri ka ka

Nevertheless, the American diplomatic brigade that helped put out the fire is to a substantial degree still intact. When things got slow in the Congo, the State Departtransferred Connell's boss, Ambassador G. McMurtrie Godley, back to Indochina. The gregaria ous Godley took to Laos a choice selection of aides in-cluding his CIA station chief and his present chief deputy where, to this day, the "Congo mafia" is still doing business.

However, the protagonist of this novel, Steve SherSTATINTL

reaching a conclusion about the quality of American diplomacy he saw. He told the CIA man all about it: "You're all just robots. You ing left . . . They're just spics or niggers or slopes to you. They're something to around with, something to laugh at and plan air strikes against and make up lies about in your horseshit reports. It doesn't matter where they send you. It'll always be the same, doesn't matter if it's Cuba. or Laos or the Congo. You just follow orders."

The civil rights collegians of the early '60s didn't integrate easily into the foreign service. They weren't as indifferent and calculating as the traditional mold would have preferred, but the Steve Shermans were intensely aware of what Washington is now rediscovering: the human consequences of

foreign policy.

1 OCT 1971 Policeman to the World

Reviewed by Henry Steele Commager

The reviewer taught at Columbia University for 20 years and is now the Simpson Lecturer at Amherst College. He testified this spring before the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations on presidential powers in foreign policy.

When James Russell Lowell wrote that America was nutured "by strong men with empire in their brain" he had in mind that empire which stretched from the Atlantic to the Pacific, not one that encompassed the globe. To the generation of the Founding Fathers, and their successors through most of the 19th century, these two concepts of empire were antithetical; more, they were irreconciliable.

Mr. Pusey here conducts an inquiry into the nature of the new American empire, one which ostentatiously plunges into the "exterminating havoe" and the degradations" of every quarter of the globe. The new American empire, in sharp (and perhaps in the long run benificent) contrast to carlier empire, from those of Alexander and Augustus to those of Louis XIV and Queen Victoria, is neither cultural nor economic, but almost wholly military.

tions of this American response to the Communist challenge: \$1,000 billion in military expenditures; an annual military budget of some \$80 billion; military bases in 33 countries; an elaborate networrk of alliances which has led us to propping up the Franco regime in Spain, the rule of the colonels in Greece, the military regime in Brazil, the Thieu dictatorship in South Victnam and so forth;

Books

THE U.S.A. ASTRIDE THE GLOBE. By Merlo J. Pusey.

(Houghton Mifflin, 247 pp., \$5.95)

the world's largest fleet off state papers; Tom Paine in the China coast, perhaps the "The Rights of Man." second largest fleet in the We no longer read history Mediterranean; enough nu in this way or to this purclear overkill to destroy any pose; yet Presidents John-enemy 10 times over; CLN son and Nixon might have larger costs - to the suc- dides: taken to heart, that to our standing in the inter- us to escape from Vietnam. national community, to our The last four administra-own internal unity, to our tions have been prepared, in economy, our culture and little things as in big, to igour morality.

a people who so long therished the notion that they were happily isolated from the rest of the globe now cagerly embraces involve-tary cannot be trusted with ment—military and political responsibility for national. ter of the globe?

one which Mr. Pusey does a government which dwarfs not undertake to illuminate, its men in order to make Two considerations appear them docile instruments of to be relevant.

solve any problems; they plished. amiably bid them good-Papers," John Adams in the remberg and for Japanese in

subversion in some 60 coundone worse than study the tries. He has not explored, history of the Sicilian Expethough he hints at, the dition as told by Thucycess of the United Nations, lesson might have allowed

nore history, even our own. How has it happened that They have been prepared to ignore what we ourselves long took for granted: that secrecy defeats itself; that you can't fool all the people policies; that power cor-rupts; and that-in the This is a large subject and words of John Stuart Millpower will find that with. Santayana has said that small men no great things Americans never really can ever really be accom-

This readiness to forego bye. We are now in process the lessons of the past is in not merely of bidding prob- part responsible for the seclems good-bye but of bid ond major source of confuding good-bye to both his sion and error: our persisttory and experience; it may ence in a double standard-Mr. Pusey has analyzed be doubted that there has a double standard of national and charted the manifesta- ever been an American ad- and international conduct ministration as ignorant of that has by now become secand contemptuous of history and nature. Examples are as that which now presides familiar: we denounce Rusover our frustrations and de. sia (and justly) for invading feats. The Founding Fathers Czechoslovakia to overthrow did not feel themselves a government it disapbound by history-indeed, proves, but we ourselves inthey were confident that vade Santo Domingo for America was to open a new much the same purpose; we page in history-but they regard it as "a dark day for were familiar with it, and mankind" when China deto-with its "lessons". They nates a nuclear bomb but thought that history was we ourselves dropped nu-"philosophy teaching by ex-amples" and studied that threaten Victnam and China-philosophy and those exam-ples: thus Madison and standard for the Germans Hamilton in the "Federalist guilty of war crimes at Nu-Paners" Laba Adams in the Tembarg and for Innovation "Defense of the Constitut the Tokyo Triels, but a very tions," Jefferson in all of his different one for our own vithe laws of war, the treatment of prisoners, and the Mylai massacre.

It is, still, always the other side that cheats-Russia or China, or Cuba, or North Victnam, They are the aggressors. It is they who violate the law. It is they who are the militarists and force us, all unwilling, to take to arms.

Mr. Pusey proposes some remedies and some changes designed to advance peace throughout the world and harmony at home. Put an end to the Vietnam adventure; get out of Southeast Asia, and, eventually, of Korea and the Philippines. Abandon our excessive bases in most parts of the globe-but not NATO: NATO is a beneficent instifution. Limit the arms race; defuse trouble spots in the Middle East and India and the Caribbean, restore civilian control of the military and restore the balance between the executive and the legislative power.

All of this is, needless to say, to the good; needless to say it is not good enough. It leaves the Celd War almost as cold as ever. The Soviet is net going to loosen pressure on her horder states as long as we maintain a mighty military presence in Germany; it is not going to slep playing a power game in the Middle East as long as the U.S. Navy dominates the Mediterranean and Greece and Turkey are part of NATO. China is not going to abandon the Cold War as long as we insist on a two-China policy or force hearmement on the Japanese. whom we once forbade to arm. The Pentagon is not going to be returned to that subordinate place which it should occupy in our political system as long as Presidents and Secretaries of Defense are prepared to act as its spokesmen and champions: the Congress is not going to recover lis constitutional equality as long as so many of its members are pusillanimous in performance of duty. Americans are not going to abandon their delusive double standard either at home or abroad as long as their schools, their press, their television, their leaders continue to impress upon them that they are a pecuSTATINTL