or supplies to or from a point of first delivery or to the farm of the truck owner or operator should have an exemption comparable to the commercial zone exemption applicable to urban drivers. This would permit a farm truck to operate free of the restrictions within a given distance of his farm.

I urge my colleagues in the House to examine this proposel which will cause unwarranted economic problems for the Nation's farmers and then to join me in petitioning Secretary Volpe to drastically ulter this completely unnecessary plan. A copy of my letter to Secretary Volpe is a part of these remarks:

MARCH 24, 1971.

Hon. John A. Volde, Secretary of Transportation, Department of Transportation, Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. SECRETARY: Regulations under your jurisdiction which are currently affecting the drivers of some farm trucks in interstate commerce and others which are scheduled to be implemented beginning July 1, 1971, pose serious and unwarranted economic problems to many farmers in my Congressional district in Western Hilmols. I'm certain the farmers of many other Congressional districts throughout the nation have similar concerns.

The existence of these regulations, which go back to 1939, was little known, understood, or of much concern to farmers until the DOT issed its notice in 1979 that drivers of farm trucks who had historically been exempt from most of the regulations would soon come under considerably more restrictive requirements than they knew about.

In addition to Inadequate advance notice of the regulations, no educational program was undertaken to inform farmers what the regulations were, where they could get a copy, or what was required of them to fully qualify under these regulations. It is appreciated that the application of the regulations to the drivers of trucks of under 10,000 pounds gross weight was deferred until July 1, 1971.

Unless the regulations are changed, beginning July 1, 1971 all drivers of form trucks involved in interstate commerce will have to be 21 years old, have passed a physical examination, a written examination, a road test, and if an employee of a farmer must have filed an extensive record relating to his driving history, which the employer must verify. I sincerely urge you to effect extensive changes in these proposed changes.

Regulations which are designed to fit large trucking operations do not fit farm trucks and their owners and operators. Some 2.8 million farm units operate an average of 1.3 trucks each as part of their individual farm

production and marketing operation.

A large number of these trucks are used primarily for on-farm operations off the public reads and highways. A similar large proportion of farm truck operation is for short trips, or for local hauling on an intermittent basis much of the time with very light loads or no load at all. I personally know many farmers who use their trucks on their farms much more than on public reads. An examination of motor fuel tax refund records will support this fact.

The safety record of farm truck drivers, including very young drivers, is dramatically better, according to insurance company and law enforcement records, than that of nonfarm truck drivers.

I suggest that your regulations be amended prior to July 1, 1971, to: (1) Provide a permanent exemption from these regulations for drivers of pickup, panel, and other small trucks under 10,000 pounds gross weight when used for transportation of farm supplies and produce.

(2) That local hauting of farm products or supplies to or from a point of first delivery or to the farm of the truck owner or operator should have an exemption comparable to the commercial zone exemption applicable to urban drivers.

I further suggest that whatever regulations you approve should not take effect until at least six months after they have been publicly amounced. This period of that should be used by DOT to mount an extensive information campaign to help farmers through the agricultural extension service, lend grant colleges, their farm organizations, and local farm cooperatives become acquainted with the regulations and to become qualified to continue operating their trucks when the regulations take effect.

Farmers and their families have proven their ability to handle trucks with a considerably better safely recent than the general trucking industry. These people, unlike the large commercial trucking firms, do not travel the highways in adverse weather, for the most part. In addition, they meanly always have a personni interest in the produce being hauled or the truck they are driving, and often share in the investment of both truck and load.

I urge you to announce as early as possible revisions in the regulations for farm truck drivers. I'm confident the revised regulations will be more acceptable and equally as effective for highway safety than those currently before us.

Sincerely yours,

PAUL FINDLEY, Representative in Congress.

The SPEAKER, Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Gonzalez) is recognized for 10 minutes.

[Mr. GONZALEZ addressed the House. His remarks will appear hercefter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

The SPMAKER. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Mrs. Grasso) is recognized for 10 minutes.

[Mrs. GRASSO addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

BAN SPORTS FROM CLOSED-CIRCUIT TV

The SPEAKER. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. Aspin) is recognized for 10 minutes.

Mr. ASPIN. Mr. Speaker, last Wednesday I introduced a bill which would ban virtually all sports events from closed-circuit television, thus faccing promoters to use home TV and radio for the broadcast of sports events.

This bill would place an outright ban on the production of sports events over closed-circuit TV whenever a radio or television network or station wanted to broadcast the event. In other words, all sports events of general interest would have to be shown on home TV, or not be shown at all. Included in the closed-circuit TV ban would be boxing matches, auto races, super bowls, the Clympics; ad infinitum.

This bill makes one basic but, I believe, easily defensible assumption: that free home TV is capable of providing a reas-

enable profit to those involved in any sports event of general interest in America today. The best demonstration of this is, I think, that the \$2.5 million paid to both Mr. Ali and Mr. Frazier for this recent fight over closed-circuit TV is the same amount paid by NBC for the home: TV rights to the 1971 Super Bowl—which provided a handsome profit to the 40 players on each team, the two team owners, and the NFL itself.

At present, closed-circuit TV is regulated, along with the telephone and telegraph industry, by the Common Carrier Bureau within the FCC. In addition to the ban of sports on closed-circuit TV, my bill would transfer jurisdiction over closed-circuit TV from the Common Carrier Bureau to the Broadcast Bureau, which has jurisdiction over pay TV, television, and radio. Since closed-circuit TV is, in essence, pay TV outside the home I believe this is a more rational approach.

Since my announcement 2 weeks ago that I would introduce this bill, the public reaction which I have received to it has been vocal, widespread in its origins, and nearly unanimous in support of the bill. This is hardly surprising, however, since, as you know, there has been a public outcry against the incredible profits the promoters of the fight made, the clitist nature of the fight, and the fact that the promoters even attempted to charge the armed services \$500,000 for a live broadcast of the fight to our men in Vietnam.

I believe there is clear evidence that if we do not act now to severely restrict sports presentations on closed-circuit TV that soon other sports will be drawn by the lure of the fantastic profits from closed-circuit TV. In fact, E. William Henry, chairman of Management Television Systems which set up the closed-circuit network for the Ali-Frazier fight, has predicted that the superbowl would be on closed-circuit TV within 5 years. Mr. Henry, who is also a former Chairman of the FCG, has estimated that the superbowl would gross receipts of \$48 million on closed-circuit TV.

Mr. Speaker, I believe it is clear that if we want sports events to remain open to the general public we must act now to make sure that sports remain on home TV. I believe this bill would accomplish that, and I urge the House to enact this legislation in this session.

SOVIET FAILURES IN SPACE

The SPEAKER. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. RARICK) is recognized for 10 minutes.

Mr. RARICK. Mr. Speaker, Mr. Julius Epstein, a research associate at the Hoover Institution of War, Revolution, and Peace at Stanford University has prepared a well-documented research paper outlining Soviet failures in space.

His paper may induce the administration to take certain steps to ease the unwarranted and feolish policy of absolute secrecy about the Soviet space tragedies.

I commend Mr. Epstein's paper to my colleagues.

CHICAGO, ILL. SUN-TIMES

M = 541,086

S - 697,966

MAR-1-7-1977-

Since we've prograsticated this long on our poor, beleaguered SST, why don't those wonderful folks in Washington wait just a fewmore months and see what the Tupolev 14!—the Russian version due to go operative this October—does to Russia? Surely our embassy, people (maybe even the CIA?) can find out the effects of the fast bird over there.

🔻 Jack I. Kessie

Approved For Release 2001/03/04: CIA-RDP80-01 igton Suggests Jackson Disclosed

WASHINGTON, March 10—tion of offensive systems."
In a brief Senate speech, Senator Streat Symington suggested today that Senator ly accuse Senator Jackson, a line of Senator Jackson, a line of Senator Menry M. Jackson had used colleague on both the Senate ministration "gave it to him to classified information in chains the senate ministration "gave it to him to

Henry M. Jackson had used classified information in stating on a nationwide television program that the Soviet Union was deploying an advanced intercontinental ballistic missile.

The suggestion was immediately denied by Senator Jackson who told reporters that "nothing I said violated any rules of security." In a television appearance last Sunday, the Washington Democrat said "the last of the Armed Services Committee and the Joint Congressional C "the Russians are now in the Later, after talking with Sen-ord was kept, the Senate Armed

By JOHN W. FINNEY
Special to The New York Times
WASHINGTON, Murch
mator Street Symington

In a brief Superconnection

process of deploying a new gen-lator Jackson, Senator Symington, and advanced generation said his speech was "not line brief Superconnection of offensive systems."

In a brief Superconnection

Services Committee was briefed about Soviet missile plans. This briefing included for the first time purported details of new Soviet missilery which was not known about when the Joint Atomic Energy Committee was briefed the previous weeks on the same subject by the same people."

Mr. Helms appeared before the Atomic Energy Committee on Feb. 24 and the Senate Armed Services Committee on Armed Services Committee on Merch 4 in his annual briefing of the committees on Soviet military posture.

Senator Jackson said he had not attended the March 4 brief-

lling but declined to say where the had obtained his information about the Soviet missile developments except to say, "I try to keep currently informed on what is going on." He said he had decided to make public use of the information on the basis of his own judgment, based on years of experience,

that it was not classified: The Symington speech was the latest round in a contro-versy that has developed be-tween the Administration and the Senate Foreign Relations Committee-of which the Senator is a member—over the use of classified information.

STATINTL

WASHINGTON POST STATINTL Approved For Release 2001/03/04/R 1914-RDP80-01601

Jackson Referred To Russian Silos, Not New Missiles

Sunday when he spoke of Mos-silo work. cow "deploying" an "advanced | Pentagon sources say the weapons.

the strategic arms limitation later this week.

said to have come from a contion."

Most importantly, recon-naissance photos of the new to reports that the Soviets are pears that the Soviets have ple, will have a 10-missile slowed the increase of their MIRV warhead. missile systems, the evidence is far from unambiguous."

last Dec. 16. As a result the ment is not evident. Soviet SS-9 total stands at 233, though Jackson spoke Sunday of around 305 SS-9s.

Approved For Referse 2001/03/04rta 1/2 RDF80-01/601 R000300340116-7 ing of that half have been unal been taken into account in valling. Since the recent discrevaluation of the U.S. posicovery of the new silo con- tion at SALT.

Construction of several new struction, it is being assumed. Soviet missile siles but no sign that Moscow did not want to of any new missiles is what make much of the SS-9 halt Sen. Henry M. Jackson (D-knowing that the United Wash.) was referring to on States would discover the new

generation" of such nuclear new missile construction is different from the normal This was learned yesterday SS-9 pattern but indicate that as President Nixon held an the size of the newly observed hour-and-a-half National Secu-silos is close to the SS-9 or rity Council meeting on prepa- perhaps slightly larger. Some rations for the fourth round of officials believe that the So. viets may be moving to a multiple independently targeted talks which opens in Vienna re-entry vehicle (MIRV), next Monday, Final presiden rather than a buckshot-style, tial decisions are expected warhead (MRV), for the SS-9 and that this may have Jackson's information was changed the shape of the mis;

Jackson interpreted the newgressional briefing by CIA work as meaning that "an ad-Director Richard Helms, But vanced generation" of ICBMs. the senator's statement was is being deployed. Others said. said to have been more spe-yesterday that there have cific than the available infor-been no new missile test firmation, accounting for the ings in the Soviet Union and cautious wording of Pentagon hence it is unknown just what apokesman Jerry W. Fried-purpose the new siles will heim in confirming evidence serve. A new generation of of "some new ICBM construct missiles, however, is a possibility.

silo construction work were at work on a six-missile MIRV available here before Mr. Nix- warhead for the SS-9s. Tests on's Feb. 25 State of the so far have not gone beyond World report and were taken three-missile, warheads but into account in writing the theoretically six or several-section on arms control. It was in that report, also, that the smaller American Poseidon President noted, "while it ap-submarine missile, for exam-

The president said on March Moscow last winter halted Soviets must include "some construction work on 18 siles mix" of offensive and defenfor their giant SS-9 missiles sive missiles. That leaves some and as of yesterday there was room for maneuvering at Vino evidence this work had enna but unless there is a been resumed. That halt was change of Kremlin instrucannounced by the Pentagon tions the makings of an agree-

At the State Department, spokesman Robert J. Mc-Closkoy termed the new So-American efforts to draw viet silo construction an "im-

Reds Held Testing "Hunter" Satellites

GTON POST

By George C. Wilson Washington Post Staff Writer

launched Soviet satellites indi-hunters. It appears that only knock out unfriendly space ve-test.

designated Cosmos 394 and creises detected debris from 397, also may have been sent with space specialists unsure up from a different spaceport whether the target satellitethan the two previous shots, shot the hunters or vice-versa. one in 1968 and the other in 1970.

plex at Plesetsk rather than of Congress report commented the more civilian spaceport of ture of the 1968 and 1970 tests. Tyuratum.

than experimental category.

Part of the basis for sus-pieces of debris, pecting a different launching "In the absence site is the change in the in-announcements," Sheldon conclination of the Soviet space tinued, "an assessment cannot craft this time as they crossed be conclusive. But the suspicthe Equator.

9--crossed at an inclination of lites had been created." 65.9 degrees and Cosmos 397. —launched Feb. 25—crossed at 65.8 degrees. This compares with an inclination of about 62 degrees for previous satellite inspection lasts from Tyuratam.

The Soviet Union in all three series of shots used "target" and "hunter" satellites. The radar track showed the hunters passing close enough to the target satellites to blow them up—apparently testing the ability to knock out another nation's observation or navigation satellites.

In this new shot, Cosmos 394 flew a nearly circular.orbit about 370 miles above the earth. The hunter - Cosmos 397 - flew an elliptical course, zooming up as high as 1,390 miles and down as low as 368 miles.

In the two earlier experiments-the first beginning on STATINTL

Flight paths of two recently lites were used, two of them cate a new test of a system to two were used in this latest

American radars in those The two Russian satellites, two earlier markmanship exexplosions in the hunters,

Although the Central Intellity gence Agency and Defense De-Space specialists theorized shots intensively, very little yesterday that the Soviet information is released to the Union used the military com-public. But a recent Library

urratum.

If further analysis substandade a reasonably close intiates that theory, the change tercept of a predecessor," of spaceports probably means wrote Charles S. Sheldon II that the Soviet Union considin the Library of Congress vol that the Soviet Union considing the Library of Congress reers its satellite inspection sysport of Jan. 12, "and then tem in the operational rather moving away a bit were in turn exploded into many

"In the absence of Soviet ion remains that a capability Cosmos 394-launched Feb. to inspect and destroy satel-

U.S. Strategy on the Kremlin Debate

BY ROBERT KLEIMAN

The critical period of decision-making underway in the Kremlin in preparation for the postponed Communist party Congress March 30, the first since 1966, has set off a parallel debate in Washington. A reluctant White House is being urged by State Department, C.I.A. and outside Soviet analysts-including some in the Soviet Embassy-to send a new signal to the Moscow summit to influence decisions there on Russia's national priorities in the 1970s.

Crucial choices between guns and butter in the long-delayed, 1971-75 Five-Year Plan, on the strategic arms limitation talks (SALT) and on other issues undoubtedly will be affected by Moscow's estimate of the Nixon Administration's intentions.

We are not sure that Washington is not serious about the SALT talks, but we are not sure that it is, "Fremier . Kosygin told Senator Muskie last month.

If the Soviet Union misreads American policy toward SATT, Soviet-German detente, stability in the Midcast and peace in Southeast Asia, hard line clements in the Politburo could be

strengthened.

There are signs that a power struggle within the Soviet leadership over the ultimate succession to party secretary Leonid Brezhnev may be in progress. Mr. Brezhnev, now 64, reportedly has suffered two heart attacks and some political ups and downs. President Podgorny will be 68 this month; Premier Kosygin will be 67 and has been in poor health. The average age of the 11-member Politburo is now 62 and ambitious younger men presumably are eyeing some of these posts.

Although the scriousness of this challenge is difficult to assess, as are the precise issues and players in the Polithuro debate, analysts agree that there is a sharp conflict of tendencies, if not of individuals, within the Soviet leadership.

The official press reflects a frend toward a tightening up in ideology and discipline, a trend evidently favored by the party machine and military leaders. But among scientists, younger plant directors and the managerial clite who travel abroad there is a desire to modernize and rationalize the lumbering Soviet system and even to open it more to the world. Pressure for higher living standards, at the expense of heavy industry and arms spending, has increased since the Polish food price riots.

In these circumstances, President Nixon is being urged to employ a channel his predecessors used but he has neglected-direct correspondence with Premier Kosygin-in an effort to break the impasse in the SALT talks and clear away other misunderstand-

Another suggestion is to set up a high-level strategy group to screen out Administration moves--such as the illtimed announcement of a defense budget increase -- that could have an adverse impact on the Poliburo's in-

ternal argument.

Mr. Nixon reportedly tried to signal a real desire for accommodation in his speech to the U.N. General Assembly last fall. But there evidently was too much static in the line. Other White House comments have interpreted difficulties with Moscow on some matters, such as the Middle East and · Cuba, as a general hardening of Soviet policy, requiring a stiffening of Wash-

ington's responses in unrelated fields. Many Soviet analysts challenge this "linkage" concept and urge an issueby-issue approach to the Russians to make progress where possible,

The Russians have never been suro whether they were dealing with the "old Nixon" or a "new Nixon" and the White House has felt it advantageous in the past to keep them guessing. That strategy could becomerang now. What Ambassador Charles Yost once termed "the law of disproportionate responses to miscalculated challenges" could again govern the Kremlin's de-

Of all the positive steps Washington might take, Soviet analysts consider two of over-riding importance. One is to normalize trade with the Soviet Union beyond recent case-by-case relaxations in strategic trade controls. Secondly, a need is seen for a new, more reasonable proposal in the SALT talks to meet Soviet concern that the United States is trying to freeze Russia into a position of nuclear inferiority.

President Nixon has always believed that he could pressure Moscow toward agreement by withholding trade until later and by stepping up American missile deployments. But now he is being told that these tactics play into the hands of the Kremlin's hardliners. His decision in the coming weeks may determine whether deterioration continues in the Soviet-American relationship or whether a beginning is made toward the fundamental accommodation that Mr. Nixon himself undoubtedly wants.

Robert Kleiman is a member of the editorial board of The Times.

ing, also of the Saginay League, does alternate shows. Mary and I ask the dumb questions that everyone else would ask, you know, and then we have a host, Mr. Henderson, who is the arts editor of the Saginaw News. He's the solid person on the show; he gets into the meat, the drag-it-down-into-the-depths sort of thing."

"The other program is called "Introspect." I'm the hostess and producer for that one too. We present a person who has a hobby that is really different and exciting, but completely remote from his education or vocation. We had a dentist who's a magleiguand a guy who runs a trucking company and collects fire engines, real ones, and also builds little teeny models."

In addition to coping with three television programs—quite a load for a professional, let alone a housewife—Barbara has three children: a boy, 7, and two daughters, 5 and 8. It's a corny question, but how does she manage?

"My house is dirty sometimes. But I think the more you have to do, the more organized you get. If I get up in the morning and I don't have anything to do that day, it takes me all day to get the house picket up and the dishes out of the dishwasher. But I have a meeting at 9:30 a.m., everything is done by 9:00. Besides, I only taps 'Marquee' once a month, two shows at a time. 'TNT' is at 10:00, so the kids are in bed by that time. And I started taping 'Introspect' last summer, so there wasn't that much to do this winter. I do most of the work coordinating 'UNI' on the phone at home. I'm not really out much."

NEXT YEAR: PROJECT BRITE

"Next year I'll just be doing a little bit of television. But I'll be working mostly in inner-city schools. I'm involved in a project down there that's really going to pan out to be something neat. It's Project Brite (Bridging Besources to Improve Teaching for Everyone). We're starting with a very deep inner-city school. The Board of Education began this and I've been working as a volunteer. We've done things like the Carcer Orientation program. I've gone out and gotten tapes of black community leaders who have made it—ministers, drug store owners, beauticlans, and so on, who give about two minutes of peptalks on tape. We play maybe two a' week on the speaker system in the school, and the kids feel like the people are in there. The tapes just say, 'each day in school is important.' It's a black voice and a black person whom they know, at least by name The point is to motivate the kids to bigger things.

"Then every other week we have a successful black come into the school to talk to the fourth and fifth graders. Like one week we had a program on ministers. First the kids studied a little bit about what a minister does, and then we had a minister come into the classroom and he talked to the kids. Each minister had three half-hour sessions with them. It was great because it was double reinforcement, both for the minister and the kids. I sat in on one session featuring a girl who works for the Wicks Corporation. She's black, an accountant. She explained what she did, and what sort of education she needed. Just sort of a general career talk, and then the kids asked questions:

INDIGENOUS PUPPLITS

"And then . . . the neatest thing now is that we're starting a puppet program. We're actually making black puppets. We've hired an artist who makes black heads. First, the heads were molded out of clay and then we made plaster of paris molds. We're in the experimental stage now to see if we should use latex, ceramic, plastic wood or papier mache. We'll test the puppets this summer, using black volces on tape, to see which puppets are most successful, and which racthod

goes over best. We'll send it out into the school system next fall. We're writing the scripts ourselves, using everything from reading concepts, math concepts, dental hygiene, family problems, social situations, or just fun. Just everything. We're working in conjunction with Saginaw Valley College and the Board of Education on this program. It may go throughout the country if it works.

may go throughout the country if it works. "So it's really just kind of . . well, I guess you could say . . the Saginaw League is really rowing."

HERE WE GO AGAIN ON EAST-WEST TRADE

HON. JOHN M. ASHBROOK

OF OTH

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES .

Monday, February 1, 1971

Mr. ASHBROOK, Mr. Speaker, several years ago over 120 Members of the House cosponsored legislation to establish a select committee to review this Nation's policies regarding trade with Communist countries. Unfortunately, as in the case of many other proposals, the legislation was not enacted. This proposal was based on the experiences of the House Select Committee on Export Control in 1961 and 1962 which reviewed and appraised grandiose recommendations for increased trade with Communist countries involving chemical processing equipment, machine tools and electronic equipment. As a result of the extensive work of the select committee, not only military but economic restrictions were made a basis for future policies.

In late December and January two excellent articles appeared in Barron's, the business and financial publication, which update developments in this all important field. Written by Shirley Scheible, Barron's alort and inquisitive investigative reporter, this material provides ample arguments for the establishment of another House select committee to review our present policies in this area. For a sobering look at what the State and Commerce Departments have in store for us in the East-West trade field, I include at this point the two articles appearing in Barron's issues of December 28, 1970 and January 4, 1971:

[From Barron's, Dec. 23, 1970]

BUSY BRIDGE-BUILDERS-COMMERCE OFFICIALS
PUSH PLANS FOR EXPANDING EAST-WEST
TRADE

(By Shirley Scheibla)

Washington.—For the past few months, the Commerce Department's Director of International Commerce has been quietly urging U.S. industrialists to make business deals with Romania, Bulgaria and other Communist countries.

The proposals include factories for the manufacture of electronic components and ball bearings; as well as several chemical plants. Those ventures and others are on so-called Communist shopping lists which Director Harold Scott obtained during a trip he and three other Commerce officials made last summer to Eastern Europe to look into the prospect of expanding East-West trade. Ever since, Mr. Scott has been traveling throughout the United States, making the same speech in which he reports on his mission and seeks to whip up business sentiment for increased trade with Communist Europe.

LOW-KEY PROGRAM

STATINTL

Se far the speech is the only visible sign of air intensive low-key program designed to achieve what once was known as bridge-juliding between East and West. Both the Commerce and State Departments already have taken policy positions in favor of new legistation to extend Expert-import Bank financing and most favored nation (MFN) treatment to Eastern Europeans, (MFN treatment offers tariff advantages, while Exim financing, terms of which are below-merket, amounts to a subsidy.) Except for Yugoslavia, which enjoys both advantages, and Poland, which gets MFN treatment, both now are outlawed for Communist countries.

Commerce wants legislative authority to empower the President, at his discretion, to grant Exim financing and MFN treatment for any European country in the Communist blos. State, going even farther, seeks legislationauthorizing both advantages for all Communist nations with which the U.S. has diplomatic or trading relations (and State, by the way, favors trading with Red China.)

Commerce argues that the establishment of "nernal" trade with Eastern Europe will be impossible without the legislation it advocates. The measures, it contends, would encourage U.S. exporters to promote sales in Eastern Europe and enable the European Communists to expand their purchases from the U.S. On this score, Mr. Scott also obtained a list of everything the European Communists are willing to export to the U.S. Chaistopher Stowell, one of his assistants, told Barron's the list includes 100 products, such as hem, fish, tenate sauce, cheese, whe, fresh fruit, furniture and glass. Quite a quid proque for ball bearings and transistors.

BALANCE OF PAYMENTS

Contrary to long-stending contentions of the bridge-builders, trade with Communist Europe, either with or without new legislation, is unlikely to have much effect on the U.S. batance of payments—it is not expected to constitute more than 1% of total U.S. exputs. According to official projections, U.S. exports to Eastern European countries are put at between 6500 million and \$700 million by 1976, compared with total U.S. exports of between \$55 billion and \$60 billion for that year.

State, in advocating broader Communist trade legislation than Commerce, reasons that, if the Administration is going to make the effort on Capitol Hill, it might as well seek broad authority. Moreover, the Department argues that such authority would help Eusident Mixon carry out his policy of negotiating with the Communists.

Contrarivise, the Defense Department has consistently maintained that State and Commerce have failed to provide adequate justification for seeking such changes. Defense is not convinced that the U.S. should reviewe its polley of not lending or guaranteeing leans to countries with records of defaults on debts, confiscation of property without adequate compensation and supplying countries engaged in hostilities with the U.S. (According to Senator Thurmond, the Soviet Union and its Eastern European safellites furnish Hanoi with over 80% of the materiels used in the Vletnam war.)

If the Administration opts for MFN treatment, Defense suggests asking Congress for it for only one Communist country at a lime—and then only when assured of reciprocal gain. Like Defense, the National Aeronwettes and Space Administration and the Atomic Energy Commission are leary of the whole idea.

"TEMPTATION PERSISTS"

But Commerce's Harold Scott talks as if he has a Congressional mandate for promoting U.S.-Communist trade, "The Export Adminstration Act said to encourage trade with the Communists, and we started with that when

MANCHESTER, ENGLAND

GUARDIAApproved For Release 2001/03/04 : CIA-RDP80

WEEKLY - CIRC.N-A JAM 5 0-1971

STATINTL

12

THE KHRUSHCHEV WEMOURS

VICTOR ZORZA has deduced that the Khrushchev memoirs now published in the West are not genuine and that the American Central Intelligence Agency has had a hand in them. Here he gives his reasons for thinking they are not by Khrushchev: next week he explains how he thinks the CIA was involved.

Mir IK amdl tihe

The Khrushchev memoirs, which have been described as the publishing sensation of the decade, are more than that. There is a great deal of evidence to suggest that they are the publishing hoax of the century. They do not come from Khrushchev nor, as has often been asserted, from the "disinformation department" of the KGB in Moscow—although both Khrushchev and the KGB had something to do with them. On this occasion, however, the Kremlin's "Department D," as it is familiarly known in the trade, seems to have had the cooperation of its American counterpart, the "department of dirty tricks" in the Central Intelligence Agency, which looks like being responsible for the final product.

The evidence for this view which it has taken me more than a month to collect, will certainly be disputed. The reader will have to make up his own mind on the facts presented in this series. I spoke to Svetlana Stalin (now Mrs Wesley Peters) in Arizona, and to Milovan Djilas, the former Yugoslav leader, in Belgrade. I have questioned the Russian pianist Vladimir Ashkenazy, who has now made his home in Iceland, about the references to his activities which appear in the book. But above all else, I have been checking the facts in every accessible source - from the war archives captured by the Germans, to old copies of "Prayda."

There are literally hundreds of errors of fact, of time, and of place in the book-but the publishers claim that these prove nothing. Mr Ralph Graves, the managing editor of "Life" magazine, which obtained the material and then syndicated it throughout the world, says that Mr Khrushchev is "remembering at a fairly advanced age, and I think it is perfectly natural for him to misplace some dates, places, chronology.'

"Khrushchev Approved For Release 2001/03/04 and Clar RDR80 01501 R00030034011 6 7 and this is that Deutsch at 70s." KGB would catch up with them with them with them

The American publisher of "Khrushchev Remembers" declares in an introductory note that the book "is made up of material emanating from various sources at various times and in various circumstances." But he is "convinced beyond any doubt, and has taken pains to confirm, that this is an authentic record of Nikita Khrushchev's words.

These are not memoirs, the publisher insists, but "reminiscences." However, for the sake of convenience, I will follow the usage which has been generally adopted and will refer to them as memoirs.

Spokesmen for "Life," and the small group of men directly concerned in arranging the publication, refuse to state on record any fact concerning the provenance of the material. However, they have spoken off the record both to officials and to journalists of repute in the United States, which makes it possible to build up a composite picture of the claims they make for the book's origins.

It is claimed that the material came in the first place from members of the Khrushchev familyhis daughter Rada, her husband Alexey Adzhubey, the former editor of "Izvestia" who, after the fall of Khrushchev, was given an in significant journalistic post with a picture magazine; and another son-in-law, Lev Petrov, also a journalist, who died some months

ago.

The story is difficult to credit, because these members of the Khrushchev family would have enough experience of international affairs to realise that their role

memoirs,

liberty.

and would ruin what remained of their careers and even their

Whatever motives they might have for wishing to publish Khrushchev's would not trust their lives to "Life." And, as the disclosure of their names in the American press shows, they would have been right. Even though "Life" might now deny, for the record, that they had played any role in the metter their names have been the matter, their names have been published and the KGB would certainly follow up any such clue with the utmost thoroughness and would find out anything there is to find out—as they would have known in advance.

The theory widely held in American official quarters-which deny that the CIA could possibly have had anything to do with it—is that, whatever the origins of the material might be, at some stage the KGB got in on the act. The date quoted most often is late August when Victor Louis, the KGB's international journalistic "fixer," travelled from Moscow to Copenhagen for a week's meeting with staff members of "Time-Life."

At the same time, however, it is claimed that the "Khrushchev" material had been reaching ' in dribs and drabs for something like 18 months, during which the work of editing and translation was proceeding apace. Indeed, some American officials profess to believe that the Moscow purveyors of the material intended it to be published in the West in time for the twenty-fourth party Congress in March, since postponed to March this year.

with their outspokenly anti-Stalin-

KGB would catch up with them,

STATINTL Approved For Release 2001/03/04 : CIA-RDP80-01601R000

Experts on Soviet Find Khrushchev

By TERENCE SMITH

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Jan. 20

A group of 30 experts on the Soviet Union concluded in a micipated in the session, at the recently published memoirs.

Soviet Union concluded in a micipated in the session, at the recently published memoirs.

The recently published memoirs bureau for former Nikita S. Khrushchev of Intelligence and Research, were authentic and that they hada been released to the West without the approvar of the present Soviet leadership.

The unpublicized meeting convened at the State Depart-amount, was attended by Soviet area specialists from the decomposition of the participant described as the partment, the Central Intelli and gossip" that have pears to have been doctored.

The conclusion that the document reached the West without the authorization of the present Soviet leadership is based on the anti-Stalinist tone pased on the anti-Stalinist tone of the memoirs. One participant described them as "an echo of the secret speech" Mr. Khrushchev delivered before a Soviet party congress in 1956.

Terror Now Minimized
The anti-Stalinist tone is out of step with the current policy of giving credit to Stalin for

of giving credit to Stalin for his wartime leadership and playing down his rule of terror.

The conflict with current policy is so great, in the opinion of the experts, that the memoirs could not have received the en-

could not have received the endorsement of the present party chief, Leonid I. Brezhney, who is closely identified with the partial rehabilitation of Stalin.

The specialists tend to doubt the theory that the memoirs were passed to the West under the sponsorship of a ranking Soviet official and the secret police in an effort to embarrass the leadership. No agreement on an alternate theory was reached at the meeting.

The inclusion of other damage

The inclusion of other damaging material, such as the admission that the Soviet Union attacked Finland in 1939 and that North Marianteens of the Soviet Union attacked Finland in 1939 and that North Korea attacked South Korea in 1950, bolstered the experts' conclusion that the leadership had not intended the manuscript to be published in the form it was.

Although no definite plans have been set, a second meeting of the experts may be called after the material for the first is digested and further reseach is done by Government agencies.

лешье 👙 cut-

THE WASHINGTONIAN January 1971 Approved For Release 2001/03/04 : CIA-RDP80-01601 R0003003201 action get information STATINTL

John Fosier and How He Learned to Love the Bomb

By Donald May

THE WELCOME in the Pentagon's Room 3E1006 is friendly. John Foster smiles, shakes hands, and offers a chair. It seems Mr. Arms Race himself ought to be more sinister.

To his critics, Foster is a symbol of everything wrong with the arms race. He helps calculate the greater-thanexpected threat, which is what we have armed ourselves against. He tells us we must have weapons in development today so that in ten years, when we might need them, they will be ready. When the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency proposes a U.S.-Soviet ban on the testing of multiple warhead missiles, he is the man who in effect says: "Wait! Our studies show there are ten ways in which the Russians could cheat."

As a physicist, Foster specialized in designing nuclear warheads. Now, as director of defense research and engineering, he is our number one weapons planner. With a staff of over 200, he manages the Pentagon's \$7 billion research program, which includes \$2.3 billion for government research, \$4.5 billion to private industry, and \$200 million to universities.

On Capitol Hill, the anti-defense spending Congressmen and staff regard Foster as an eminence grise of the Pentagon. People dedicated to arms control tend to regard him as the largest single obstacle to that goal. "He loves his weapons," says an Arms Control and Disarmament Agency official datkly.

Foster is controversial, but aside from being a star witness on the ARM, he does not operate differently, now than he did the extent and premises of its defense establishment and the arms rose. The debate has enveloped Foster and made him a symbol of Pentagon power.

ohn Stuart Foster, Jr., is a handsome U symbol. He is a man of medium height and athletic build. His face is sharply featured, with strong lines across the brow and on either side of the mouth, a solid chin, bluish eyes, and brown hair, with a suggestion of grey at the temples. He was born in 1922 in New Haven, Connecticut, where his father was a Yale physics professor. After two years, the family moved to Montreal, where his father taught at McGill University. Foster grew up in Montreal and went to McGill, where he was a champion ski-jumper and gymnast. His wife, Barbara Anne, or Bobbie, says that when Foster was courting her he sometimes walked around on his hands or swung in great arcs from a tree.

There is no Dr. Strangelove quality about him. He has that kind of total normality you find in astronauts. His speech is unelevated. About twice in an hour you may hear a trace of a Canadian accent. His office is equally noneccentric -flags and seal behind the desk, pictures of his father, his family, and patrician scientist Ernest O. Lawrence, a world wall map, blackboard, conference table, perhaps a dozen models of planes, missiles, and tanks (all Pentagon offices have these models; they are a major secondary defense industry). There are books on war, science, and current affairs. There

deodorants.

Foster walks around the Pentagon

in meetings than by reading. "When he has a meeting, he'll pour coffee all the time," one colleague says, "He'll be pouring coffee for some GS 11, and the GS 11 will be saying, 'No, Johnny, you're wrong!"

He is normally at his desk at 7:15 A.M., at which time a messenger arrives and hands him a brown briefcase containing a morning intelligence summary. He leaves the office around 8 P.M., taking papers to read, either in the chauffeurdriven limousine or at home, a modern waterfront house at Lake Barcroft, Virginia. He sometimes breaks the work day with an early evening game of squash in the Pentagon athletic club. On Saturdays he winds up around 2.P.M. and goes

Foster's hobbý is flying military aircraft. He solos in propeller planes, But he also likes to ride in the high performance aircraft his office has developed, sometimes briefly taking the controls. "I fly aircraft to try to understand something of the nature of the problems that the pilots experience," he says. "We've got an enormous amount of effort involved in avionics and weapons systems and aircraft propulsion, and I just try to learn a little about that. I also do what I can to go into submarines, tanks, and other such things."

Foster's interest in airplanes dates from World War II. His father then was liaison officer between Canada and the United States on radar matters. The elder Foster spent a lot of time at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in Cambridge. According to Johnny, his father suggested that he drop out of McGill, where he was a sophomore, and go to Cambridge to work at the Harvard Radio Research Laboratory on radar countermeasures. This he did, from 1942 to 1944, holding his own with Ph.D.s. A scientist there at the time recalled him as "our brightest dropout." Then for a year Foster was in the Mediterranean theater as an advisor on radar countermeasures with the Fifteenth Air Force. Ever since those days, says an aide, he has loved the cockpits of airplanes. After the war he went back to McGill, graduated with honors, and received a Ph.D. in physics at the University of California.

Whithin the arms control community, many see Foster as a "disciple of Teller." In the 1950's, Edward Teller led that faction of American science which successfully urged development of the H-bomb against the faction led by J. Robert Oppenheimer, and he is in his early years at the Penagon. What is a bar of Safeguard soap someone gave has happened is that the begun to pedate, as if here. Release 2001/03/04cs. CIA-RDP80-01601 R000300346n116z, Teller was instrumental in setting up the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory at Livermore, Califor-