

S-E-C-R-E-T

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION FOR THE COMMITTEE

12 December 1957

SUGGESTION NO: 58-103: dated 17 July 1957

[REDACTED], GP \$3.42 p.h. (GS-11),
Printing Service Division, Office of Logistics,
DD/S

25X1A

A. Summary of Suggestion

A convenient way to arrest the rusting of equipment within various printing establishments is to spray the metal parts with an anti corrosion agent. Liquid wax in pressurized pint-size cans provides an easy and economical way to preserve highly polished surfaces on cameras and other equipment. Cabinet Maker's Wax, procured from Port Jervis Flying Service, 693 Broad Street, Stafford, Connecticut, was applied to some equipment in the Administration Building Plant. The cost was \$.50 per can. This wax does not come off on your hands, eliminating possibly ruining negatives or other materials. This wax will last several months. When equipment rusts, many man hours are required to remove the rust. Therefore, a nominal cost will eliminate this problem.

B. Summary of Evaluation

On 12 September 1957 the Office of Logistics stated:

"The suggestion that a wax spray be used on exposed metal parts to prevent corrosion has been adopted by the Printing Services Division. All equipment which is subject to rust through exposure to dampness will be sprayed with the recommended material.

"It is difficult, if not impossible, to estimate the tangible savings which may result from this suggestion. It is therefore suggested that a nominal award be made on the basis of slight intangible benefits."

On 14 October 1957 TSS/GARD stated:

"Wax has been used on the various printing equipment especially paper cutters and items with flat surfaces for a number of years by TSS, [REDACTED] and found to be very satisfactory. This recommended use of this spray, however, is limited to areas and equipment which is not affected by solvents such as type wash or areas where oils will splash.

25X1A

S-E-C-R-E-T

S-E-C-R-E-T

Sugg. No. 58-103 Contd.

"In addition to the wax spray it has been found that Krylon and other types of plastic sprays are very good where wax is hard to get at."

On 21 October 1957 TSS/PD stated:

"We do not recommend the use of spray wax around photographic equipment, however, the suggestion states specifically "PRINTING ESTABLISHMENTS" and does not evidently include "PHOTOGRAPHIC DARKROOMS". We have wiped on oil, wax and various commercial compounds for some time now."

On 18 November 1957 the Machine Division, OCR stated:

"This suggestion is for printing establishments. The spraying of photographic equipment with wax or Krylon would not be recommended. We have experimented with sprays in and on the processors with no success."

C. Line of Duty Status

Not in line-of-duty. (Negative Engraver)

D. Executive Secretary's Recommendation


1. Recognize adoption of suggestion by PSD.
2. Award of \$10.00 based on intangible benefits. (Slight-Limited)

E. Decision of Committee

Adopted 10 Award _____ Letter of Appreciation

_____ Not Adopted

25X1A



*recom \$10
reconded*

S-E-C-R-E-T