Approved For Release 2001/03/22 : CIAIROR 79 T 01003 A 002400190001-4

NO FOREIGN DISSEM



CIA/RR CB 65-69 November 1965

217

INTELLIGENCE BRIEF

FRENCH NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL FORCES IN THE 1966 MILITARY BUDGET

DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE Office of Research and Reports

NO FOREIGN DISSEM SECRET

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

Approved For Release 2001/03/22: CIA-RDP79T01003A002400190001-4

Approved For Release 2001/03/22 : CIA-RDP79T01003A002400190001-4

WARNING

This material contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, Title 18, USC, Secs. 793 and 794, the transmission or revelation of which in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

Approved For Release 2001/03/22s: CIA-RDP79T01003A002400190001-4

FRENCH NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL FORCES IN THE 1966 MILITARY BUDGET*

The French military budget for 1966 reveals a continuation of the trend of increasing costs for the Force de Dissuasion (hereafter referred to as the Force) at the expense of funds for maintaining and modernizing the conventional forces. Total outlays for the Force during 1966 will be about 7.4 billion new francs (nf)** (\$1.5 billion) -- a level equal to about one-third of the total French military budget in that year. This is a level somewhat higher than had been anticipated in previous intelligence estimates. It is expected that these costs -- growing at about 10 to 12 percent per year -- will continue to absorb an increasing share of the French military budget during the next few years if the government continues its policy of holding total defense expenditures as a constant share of GNP. During this period, GNP at current market prices is not expected to achieve an average annual growth rate of more than about 6 to 8 percent. To avoid creating additional inflationary pressures on the economy, the government will make every effort to hold defense expenditures to this level, at least in the next few years.

For the first time in modern French history, investment in military equipment -- about half of which is for the <u>Force</u> -- exceeds appropriations for operating expenses of French armed forces. The generally successful efforts of the government to keep the development of the <u>Force</u> on schedule will probably continue during the next few years but will result in a further weakening of the combat effectiveness of the conventional forces.

Although it is consistent with present government doctrine that the development of the <u>Force</u> will have priority over the reequipping of the conventional forces during the period of the Second Program Law, *** the 1966 budget clearly indicates that several programs for modernizing the conventional forces are being delayed even beyond the target dates established under the Program Law. Moreover, the presentation of the

^{*} The estimates and conclusions in this brief represent the best judgment of this Office as of 16 November 1965.

^{**} At the official rate of exchange, 4.937 new francs equal US \$1.

*** The Second Program Law (Projet de Loi de Programme Relative

à Certains Equipements Militaires) was voted by the National Assembly
in 1964 and authorizes funds for the purchase of military equipment during the period 1965-70. Particular emphasis is given to the nuclear
weapons program.

Approved For Release 2001/03/22 : CIA-RDP79T01003A002400190001-4 S-E-C-R-E-T

1966 budget by the Defense Minister suggests that this trend will continue for two or more years. The cumulative effect of such delays will force the government at some future date to begin a new drive, at greater expense, to correct not only the initial planned imbalance between the nuclear and conventional forces but also the additional imbalance now being created. When that point is reached -- possibly by the end of the present defense plan period (1965-70) -- operational expenses for the Mirage IV bombers and for the IRBM force will be peaking, and the costs of the program to acquire a submarine-launched missile force will also be rising rapidly. The addition of further costs for the conventional forces at that time may force the government either to continue to stretch out its modernization program or to reexamine its policy of keeping total defense costs in a constant relationship to GNP.

1. Military Budget for 1966

Pierre Messmer, the French Defense Minister, presented the 1966 defense budget to the National Assembly on 21 October 1965. The budget has been approved by the National Assembly and is now being debated in the Senate. It is highly unlikely that any significant changes will occur. According to this budget, total defense expenditures will be 22,014 million nf, an increase of 5.8 percent over the 1965 budget. This increase is comparable to an estimated increase of 5.9 percent in the GNP and an increase of 7 percent in the national budget. Messmer emphasized the stability of the defense budget in relation to the GNP, reflecting the policy of the French government to maintain a balance between defense expenditures and economic growth. A significant change in the 1966 military budget is the fact that equipment expenditures exceed operating expenditures for the first time. Operating expenditures (Title III in the French budget) will be about 10,505 million nf, while equipment expenditures (Title V) will amount to 11,509 million nf. 1/

2. Nuclear Weapons Program

The military budget for 1966 reflects the continually growing cost of the <u>Force</u> and the resulting decline in the effectiveness of the conventional forces. Several important factors contribute to this upward trend in Force costs.

The first generation of the <u>Force</u> is becoming operational with 35 Mirage IV aircraft on hand and 15 more scheduled to be ready by next year. Two squadrons of 12 planes each are almost operational at the present time. Although the French government is maintaining a discreet

Approved For Release 2001/03/22 : CHACR REPT9T01003A002400190001-4

silence regarding the operating cost of the Mirage IV, it is probable that these costs are higher than expected. 2/

Intensive work is being done on the second generation of the Force, which will consist of 30 IRBM's in silos. Construction of silos is scheduled to begin in the fall of 1966, and some IRBM sites are expected to become operational by 1968. Progress on the nuclear Polaris-type submarine, the third generation of the Force, is generally on schedule. The first Polaris-type missile is scheduled to be test fired from the Gymnote, the non-nuclear missile test submarine, in 1966, but some slippage may occur in this schedule.

The French government still contends that the Pacific nuclear test site will be completed in 1966, despite some reporting that work on the site is not progressing according to plan. Particular difficulty is being experienced in supplying the test site, and construction costs are now expected to exceed earlier French estimates of about 3,500 million nf. The French Navy is committing about 40 percent of its operational tonnage to support the construction of the Pacific test site and is also required to provide additional ships for support of the Landes and French Guiana missile test bases. The cost of this effort is being financed through the Navy budget rather than through authorizations for construction of the test site. 3/

Another factor contributing to the increasing cost of the French nuclear weapons program is the development of tactical nuclear weapons and tactical missiles for the ground forces. Messmer indicated that tactical nuclear weapons would be available for the French Army about 1970. 4/ Research and development relating to miniaturizing nuclear warheads for tactical applications is expensive, and the work being done at present will add to the cost of the nuclear weapons program.

The impact of all of the above factors when taken together is obvious in the 1966 military budget. Expenditures for the Force under the 1966 budget will be about 5,600 million of, or about 25 percent of the defense budget. 5/ There is, furthermore, an additional factor to be considered. The 5,600 million of explicitly identified for the Force in the 1966 military budget understates the full cost of the Force. Certain expenditures from other budgetary categories are made either directly or indirectly in support of the Force and should consequently be attributed to the cost of the Force. The portion of the funds of the Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique (CEA) which should be applied to the Force have been previously estimated at about 800 million of annually during the period 1960-65. 6/ This estimate is still believed to be valid for 1966. As

Approved For Release 2001/03/22: CIA-RDP79T01003A002400190001-4

S-E-C-R-E-T

mentioned above, the French Navy is currently devoting more than 40 percent of its operational tonnage to the support of the Pacific test site and other test range activities. If the factor of about 40 percent is applied to the operational portion of the 1966 Navy budget, approximately 800 million of Navy operating funds are attributable to the cost of the Force. Some expenditures for other Navy, Air Force, and Army personnel and equipment at the Pacific test site and at the Landes missile range can also be viewed as part of the full cost of the Force. Although it is not possible to estimate such costs with precision, it is believed that they will lie in the range of between 100 million and 300 million of. The estimated full costs of the Force in 1965 and 1966, when CEA and service costs are included, are shown in the table.

Comparison of Estimated Full Cost of the Force de Dissuasion 1965 and 1966

		Million New Francs
	1965	1966
Direct appropriations	5,000	5,600
Indirect appropriations CEA (military portion) Military services (operational	800	800
support of the Force)	800	900 to 1,100
Total	6,600	7,300 to $7,500$

The total full cost of about 7,400 million nf (midpoint of the range of 7, 300 million to 7,500 million nf) for the Force represents a level equal to about one-third of the 1966 military budget. The budgetary figure attributed directly to the Force of 5,600 million of represents only 25 percent of military appropriations. Force expenditures have previously been predicted to run between 25 and 35 percent of the military budget by 1970, 7/ but these expenditures probably will reach the upper end of this range earlier in the program than had been expected. The appropriations specifically allocated to the Force in the 1966 budget show an increase of 12 percent over the budgetary appropriations for 1965. Although in 1965 and 1966 the expenditures of the CEA and the military services operational budgets directly related to the Force will not necessarily follow the same trend, it is probable that some growth will occur at least in the military category and that the full cost of the Force in 1966 will increase by at least 10 percent over the 1965 figure, as shown in the table. This estimate is based on reporting of planned large increases

Approved For Release 2001/03/22 & & R.D.P.J.9.T01003A002400190001-4

in service personnel at the Pacific site when testing begins in 1966. One result of the increasing expenditures for the Force has been a continued deterioration in the combat effectiveness of the conventional forces. Furthermore, it now appears that total expenditures for the Force during 1965-70 may well be pushed into the upper portion of the range of 45 billion to 60 billion of that was earlier estimated as probable expenditures for the Force during this period.

3. Impact of Nuclear Expenditures on Conventional Forces

It is government policy that the Force, keystone of French military doctrine, will have priority over the conventional forces. Nevertheless, the Second Program Law authorized funds for modernizing the conventional forces and established target dates for the completion of this process. The increasing costs of the Force, however, are pushing the target dates for strengthening the conventional forces further into the future. In order to remain within authorized budget ceilings and still continue development of the Force generally on schedule, certain austerity measures will be implemented with regard to conventional forces. The Army will be cut in strength by about 5,000 men, and production of the AMX-30 tank will be held at around 100 per year -- a level to be reached by 1968 as opposed to the original plan for 150 to 200 per year, which was to have been reached by 1967. Only two divisions will be reequipped by 1970 instead of the three originally planned. Naval personnel stationed ashore will be reduced by 8 percent, and 15 ships will be deactivated. New ship construction will be mostly in the support category. Furthermore, the Navy will continue to devote a large part of its budget to support the construction and operation of the nuclear test site in the Pacific. One Air Force fighter-bomber wing has been deactivated. Interest is primarily centered on construction of support and training aircraft to be built jointly with the United Kingdom. Pay increases for all of the services are far below expectations, and morale is reported to be suffering. The number of civilian personnel in the office of the Ministry of Defense will be reduced by about 6,000. 8/ The French military, however, is very concerned about the prospects for the future. Most of the ships being operated by the Navy were constructed between 1954 and 1960 and were built on the basis of the needs of the Navy in the 1960's. Since 1960, ship construction has slowed down sharply. The Navy fears that the needs of the 1970's cannot be met if planning is not done now, and it is further concerned that the nuclear submarine program will require practically all of the funds available to the Navy. In 1965, nuclear submarine construction costs amounted to 68 percent of the total equipment budget of the Navy. 9/ The Air Force, while not suffering cutbacks as large as those of the Army and Navy, is fearful

Approved For Release 2001/03/22 : CIA-RDP79T01003A002400190001-4 S-E-C-R-E-T

that a gap will occur between the last of the Mirage III's and the Franco-British variable geometry aircraft. The Franco-British aircraft is probably at least 8 to 10 years in the future.

Finally, the Army sees the day that it will have five fully equipped divisions, promised in the Second Program Law, being pushed farther into the future. As the production of the AMX-30 tank is spread out over a longer period of time, the Army visualizes having to purchase obsolete equipment at continually increasing prices. The same concern is expressed with regard to the production of the task force helicopter which is supposed to be supplied to the ground forces. 10/

While the 1966 budget, together with other data, shows that costs for the nuclear Force appear to be rising more rapidly than planned and that some planned programs for conventional forces are experiencing at least temporary cuts, there is no basis for concluding that this presents an insurmountable difficulty for the French government. The government appears to have placed its two highest priorities -- developing the Force de Dissuasion and maintaining annual increases in total defense costs at the same rate as growth in GNP -- in the determining role. As a consequence, the government has accepted additional austerity for the conventional forces as a temporary expedient. What is not clear, however, is the basis for the seemingly implicit belief of the French government that either the rate of increase in the costs of the Force will slow down in the future or that increases in GNP over the next five or more years will rise sufficiently to outpace the growth in the costs of the Force and thereby generate funds to redress the additional imbalance now being created between the nuclear and conventional forces. If neither development occurs, it appears that the French are mercly pushing into some future date the difficult political decision to devote a larger share of GNP to defense.

Approved For Release 2001/03/22 : CIA-RDP79T01003A002400190001-4 SECRET

NO FOREIGN DISSEM

Analyst:

Approved For Release 2001/03/22 : CIA-RDP79T01003A902400190001-4

RIES NUMBER		CLASSIFICATION OF		DISTRIBUTION TO RC	
CIA/RR C		SECRE		50	
November		NUMBER OF COPIES 290		NUMBER IN RC	
COPY		RECIPIENT	0EV4 A	DA	TE .
NO.(S)			25X1A	SENT	RETURNED
77-186	Trudy, O/D	D/ORR		19 Nov 65	247006
37	CGS/HR/Ops	s, 1B81, Hq.		22 Nov 65	
38		St/P	25X1C	11	
39		OCR	·	11	24 nov 63
90			25X1A		<u></u>
91-239	Filed in St/I	P/C		22 Nov 65	
91			PCR	23 Nov- 65	
5-197		- Shirlay &	or appleed hito	05/23 Nov- 65	
32-194		Thirley I		24 HW 65	24 Nov
39 '	CGS/RB			24 MW 65	
18-210	See attach	of nemo		"	
11-213	0/DDI-5	hirley		/*	26 Mas
14-216	OIDDI-S	hirley for V	yle, Dof. &	11 "	
	Keen	y White	House Sta	H	
,21455		in st	Ple	26 Mas 65	
76	Reid from	~5A/RR		26 721165	
8	Roberd	in 54	PIC	1/ Nochs	
8	ISICR Los) IA	<u>, </u>	2 Weeks	
54	Rec'd i	- 51/4/0	- 	7 Nec 65	1
92	DCI ma	01D/DER		16 alec 65	
22-239				20 Dec 65	25X1A
2/		PIC		11	
21		/A		22 Dec 65	1
380 50		for NAS	C	4 Jan 66	5 may 69
16				39 Juni 00	
220		- st/	25	6 may 66	
193 Ju	Ky Kihan, ACD:	1 / Suine & Tulo	alogo min LS/C.	1 9 June CS	25X1A
019	27	R/C/C25X	(1 ¹ / _A	11 Jul. 60	
41	Wille Hou	20. via PII	EIN	22 ml 66	
7-179	D/P			14 Oct 66	
55-	AF via	Lyler		21 700 66	
7 FS	TC, army	villaule.	CI.	19 apr 67	
4. 180-18	6, 194 2/2 2	13 218 / Dois	trong es	17 Jan 68	
., .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/	8		
		•			

25X1A

	T01003A0024001900	RETURNED
,		

CCPNCYApproved For Release 2001/03/22 : CIA-RDP79T01003A002400190001-4

· St/A/DS Distribution of Current Support Brief No. ____65-69. French

Nuclear and Conventional Forces in the 1966 Military Budget --- November 1965 (SECRET/NO FOREIGN DISSEM)

Copy No.	Recipient	
1 - 5	O/DDI, Room 7E32, Hq.	25X1A
6	O/DDI,	20/(1/(
7	D/ORR (hand carried, 19 Nov 65)	
8 - 10	DD/ORR (hand carried, 19 Nov 65)	
9 減 176 1 % 差 240	SA/RR (hand carried, 19 Nov 65) Ch/E	
11 - 13	D/ONE	
14 - 19	St/CS	
20	St/PR	
21 - 27	D/T (1 each branch)	
28 - 34	D/R (1 each branch)	
35	MRA	
36 - 40	D/P (1 each branch)	
41 - 46	D/F (1 each branch)	
47	St/PS	
48 - 53	D/I (1 each branch)	
54 - 58	D/A (1 each branch)	
59 - 60	GD/OBI	
61 - 62	CD/OBI	
63	CD/X/OBI	
64 - 69 70	RID/SS/DS, Unit 4, Room 1B4004, Hq.	25X1A
71	St/P/A	
72	St/FM	
73	Analyst/Branch GR/CR P/FW)	
74	BR/CR	
75	FIB/SR/CR, Room 1G27, Hq.	
76	Library/CR	
77	IPI/CR	
78	Archival File - Records Center	
79	Chief, OCR/FDD	
80	DCS/SD	
81	OCI/SA/R, Room 5G19, Hq.	
82	DDI/CGS, Room 7G00, Hq.	
83 - 84	DDI/CGS/HR, Room 7G00, Hg.	
85	DDI/RS, Room 4G39, Hq.	

Approved For Release 2001/03/22: CIA-RDP79T01003A002400190001-4

		Copy No.	Recipient	
		86 - 88	D/OSI	
•		89	D/OBI	
		90	DD/S&T/SpINT	
		91 - 92	OTR/IS/IP, Room 532, 1000 Glebe (1 - OTR/SIC)	
		93	NPIC/CSD/REF, Room 1S518,	25X1A
		94	NSAL, Room 3W136, Ft. Meade (via GB31, Hq.)	
		95 - 103	OCI Internal (via SDS/DD/OCR)	25X1A
25X1A		104 - 112	NSA (via GB31, Hq.)	20/(1/(
		113 - 114	National Indications Center, Room 1E821, Pentagon	
		115 - 126	State, INR Communications Center, Room 6527, State Dept. Bldg.	
		127 - 130	USIA, IRS/A, Room 1002, 1750 - Pennsylvania Avenue N. W., Attn: Warren Phelps	,
•		131 - 175	Defense Intelligence Agency, DIAAQ-3, A Building, Arlington Hall Station	
	177	124 - 1240239	St/P/C/RR, Room 4F41, Hq. (held in St/P/C, 22 Nov	65)
		241 - 290	Records Center	•

Approved For Release 2001/03/22: CIA-RDP79T01003A002400190001-4

24 November 1965

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Chief, Dissemination Control Branch, DD/CR

FROM

Chief, Publications Staff, ORR

SUBJECT

Transmittal of Material

It is requested that the attached copies of CIA/RR CB 65-69, French Nuclear and Conventional Forces in the 1966 Military Budget, November 1965, Secret/NO FOREIGN DISSEM, be forwarded as follows:

State, INR Communications Center, Room 6527, State Dept. Bldg, Suggested distribution for Embassies in Moscow, Bonn, Brussels, Copenhagen, The Hague, Lisbon, London, Luxembourg, Oslo, Paris, Rome, Ankara, and Athens

25X1A

Attachments: /3

Copies #198 - #210 of CB 65-69

cc: CGS/RB

ACTION COMPLETED

The dissemination requested by this memorandum has been completed:

BY: Sry

Date: 26 nov. 65

Approved For Release 2001/03/22 : CIA-RDR79 101003 A002400190001-4

Approved For Release 2001/03/22 PDP79T01003A002400190001-4

Project No. <u>57.6158</u>	Report Series CIA/RR CB 65-69
Title: French Nuclear and Convention	
Budget (SECRET/NO FOREIGN D	ISSEM)
Responsible Analyst and Branch	25X1A P/FW

RECOMMENDED DISTRIBUTION TO STATE POSTS

Bloc

Berlin, Germany
Bucharest, Romania
Budapest, Hungary
Moscow, USSR
Prague, Czechoslovakia
Sofia, Bulgaria
Warsaw, Poland

Europe

Belgrade, Yugoslavia Bern, Switzerland Bonn, Germany Brussels, Belgium Copenhagen, Denmark Geneva, Switzerland Helsinki, Finland The Hague, Netherlands Lisbon, Portugal London, England Luxembourg, Luxembourg Madrid, Spain Oslo, Norway Paris, France Rome, Italy Stockholm, Sweden Vienna, Austria

Pacific

Wellington, New Zealand Manila, Philippines Canberra, Australia Melbourne, Australia

Far East

Bangkok, Thailand
Djakarta, Indonesia
Hong Kong
Rangoon, Burma
Kuala Lumpur, Malaya
Saigon, Vietnam
Seoul, Korea
Singapore, British Malaya
Taipei, Formosa
Tokyo, Japan
Vientiane, Laos
Colombo, Ceylon

Near East & South Asia

Ankara, Turkey
Athens, Greece
Cairo, Egypt
Damascus, Syria
Kabul, Afghanistan
Karachi, Pakistan
New Delhi, India
Nicosia, Cyprus
Tehran, Iran
Baghdad, Iraq
Tel Aviv, Israel
Beirut, Lebanon
Amman, Jordon
Jidda, Saudi Arabia

Ottawa, Canada

ARA

Mexico
Guatemala
Panama
Brazillia, Brazil
Buenos Aires, Argentina
Bogota, Colombia
Santigao, Chile
La Paz, Bolivia
Montevideo, Uruguay
Caracas, Venezuela

Africa

Yaounde, Cameroun Leopoldville, Congo Addis Ababa, Ethopia Accra, Ghana Abidjan, Ivory Coast Nairobi, Kenya Monrovia, Liberia Tripoli, Libya Rabat, Morocco Lagos, Nigeria Mogadiscio, Somal Khartoum, Sudan Tunis, Tunisia Pretoria, South Africa Algiers, Algeria Cotonou, Dahomey Dakar, Senegal Bamako, Mali

SECRET

ALCOND OF	REVIEW OF			<mark>79T01003A00240019</mark> ECURITY/SANITIZATION	
SUBJECT	VI	THE POLICE	. , ono , on o	ANA	AT I NOTAL
	5. <i>(</i> 1				
57.6158	CK	65-69	•	BRANCH P/FW	extension 9372
SECURITY RE	EVIEW	T		SANITIZING INSTRUCTIONS	1 /3/2
1 TEM	DATE INIT	TIALS REMOVE			7.551
UNEDITED DRAFT 10	no 65)			25V4A	
EDITED DRAFT	1 1			25X1A	
		DELETE			
			05)/40		
			25X1C		
		SUBSTITUTE			
		:			
REMARKS					
REMARKS P MUCh	ac 1	VFD			
of many	•				
Approved Fo	זר Release	-2001/03/22	"CJĄ-RDP	79T01003A00240019	9001-4