

23 October 1967

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Project NICE GIRL

1. [redacted] stopped up, this date, to advise me of his apprehensions regarding the data collection for project NICE GIRL. It was his understanding that 2 project numbers have been assigned, one for each system being evaluated. However, to the best of his knowledge, no provisions were made for segregating time by type of output (e.g., IPIR versus Index). He suggested that I might want to make inquiry about this since P&M will probably be asked, after the fact, to supply information.

STAT
STAT
STAT
STAT

2. Subsequent to my conversation with [redacted] I visited [redacted] to determine what was being done regarding data collection. He told me that the question of assigning different project numbers for different phases of reporting was vetoed by [redacted]. He further explained that at [redacted] suggestion he asked [redacted] if PAG wanted P&M to assist in establishing a data collection procedure. [redacted] replied in the negative. I went on record to [redacted] that the MIS would be capable of providing only gross time against the 2 systems in the absence of multiple project numbers. I further stated that the type of additional information which he indicated was needed (e.g., set up time, scan rate, etc.) required a well conceived sampling program similar to that employed by DSG to collect data for the simulation model.

STAT
STAT
STAT

3. In view of the above, I have concluded that P&M will not be required to supply meaningful quantitative data.

STAT

[redacted signature box]

Deputy Assistant for Planning & Management