Post Office Box 6788 Fort Davis Station Washington, D. C. 20020

	Declass Review by NGA.
· .	
Reference:	Fransmittal Letter, dated July 20, 1966.
Gentlemen:	
1. It is our unders discussions with the Tech Officer regarding off axi addition of mutually agree cations of this contract. 2. We are unable to	standing that will conduct mical Representative of the Contracting is resolution which will result in the sed upon language to the technical execution
proper the concrete ST	I units must be produced in accordance with sations attached to and forming a part of
the drawings and specific the contract. 3. Your interpretat with regard to readjustme atood and agreed that suc	Limits must be produced in accordance with
the drawings and specific the contract. 3. Your interpretat with regard to readjustme atood and agreed that suc performed at the Government as a factory adjustment.	ion of the contract performance requirements at 12 acceptable provided that it is under-
the drawings and specific the contract. 3. Your interpretat with regard to readjustme stood and agreed that suc performed at the Government as a factory adjustment. 4. According to our	ations attached to and forming a part of ion of the contract performance requirements at is acceptable provided that it is under- the adjustment is limited to that type normally at facility and not normally to be required records the prototype instrument has now
the drawings and specific the contract. 3. Your interpretat with regard to readjustme stood and agreed that suc performed at the Government as a factory adjustment. 4. According to our	ations attached to and forming a part of ion of the contract performance requirements at is acceptable provided that it is under- the adjustment is limited to that type normally at facility and not normally to be required records the prototype instrument has now
the drawings and specific the contract. 3. Your interpretat with regard to readjustme stood and agreed that suc performed at the Government as a factory adjustment. 4. According to our	ations attached to and forming a part of ion of the contract performance requirements at is acceptable provided that it is under- the adjustment is limited to that type normally at facility and not normally to be required records the prototype instrument has now
the drawings and specific the contract. 3. Your interpretat with regard to readjustme stood and agreed that suc performed at the Government as a factory adjustment. 4. According to our	ations attached to and forming a part of ion of the contract performance requirements at is acceptable provided that it is under- the adjustment is limited to that type normally at facility and not normally to be required records the prototype instrument has now
the drawings and specific the contract. 3. Your interpretate with regard to readjustes at least and agreed that such performed at the Government as a factory adjustment. 4. According to our been delivered to your	ations attached to and forming a part of ion of the contract performance requirements at is acceptable provided that it is under- the adjustment is limited to that type normally at facility and not normally to be required records the prototype instrument has now
the drawings and specific the contract. 3. Your interpretat with regard to readjustme stood and agreed that suc performed at the Government as a factory adjustment. 4. According to our	ations attached to and forming a part of ion of the contract performance requirements at is acceptable provided that it is under- the adjustment is limited to that type normally at facility and not normally to be required records the prototype instrument has now

(23 Aug 66)

X1

5X1

Advanced Approved Release 2005/06/06: CIA-RDP78B0 20002500020032-9

140	_ 100 ezch	100
PA G	60 ezch	60
Pron	Mezot /	\ \ 3
PD	13 2264	3
NATSC	y ezih	
DIA	1 5 erch	201
SPAD	18 ezch 13	+ 1 PRE-PRO
	201	
TDS		
		1/2 years for 200
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	115 received AS OF 20 FEB 68
	J) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ON SCHEDULE
\	<i>b</i>	