

25X1

CONTRACT APPROVED FOR RELEASE 2005/06/06 : CIA-RDP78B04770A002400040025-6		CONTRACT NO. [REDACTED]	TASK NO. [REDACTED]		
TO: CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT BRANCH/PD/OL		DATE 2 February 1966	INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)		
		ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE Indefinite			
		NAME OF CONTRACTOR [REDACTED]			
Declass. Review by NGA.					
TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE Equipment and Studies (Series of Projects under our contract)					
THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.			
PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -					
HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)					
HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)					
INCENTIVES					
IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO IF YES, CHECK TYPE <input type="checkbox"/> COST <input type="checkbox"/> PERFORMANCE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DELIVERY		NOTE: USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS. FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.			
OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR					
1. <input type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING	3. <input type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE	5. <input type="checkbox"/> BELOW AVERAGE	7. <input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY		
2. <input type="checkbox"/> EXCELLENT	4. <input type="checkbox"/> AVERAGE	6. <input type="checkbox"/> BARELY ADEQUATE			
IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.					
Series of projects, described on reverse.					
RECOMMENDED ACTION					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED		<input type="checkbox"/> WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE			
<input type="checkbox"/> TERMINATE		<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify)			
IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:					
ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		
DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR 31 January					
SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR [REDACTED]			DIVISION		
INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION			SIGNATURE OF APPROVER		

25X1

25X1

PAR 207 "Definitive Study of Contact Printers." Final Report due in February. Proceeding satisfactorily.

PAR 202/224 "Briefing Print Enlarger." Breadboard and tests in final stage. Have waived the final report in order to construct the Prototype which has been authorized as PAR 243. This project is considered outstanding.

PAR 211 "Microdensitometer Study of Effects of Processing." Final Report received on 1 November 1965. Evaluation completed on 31 January. Considered Unsatisfactory.

PAR 212 "Color Acquisition System Review Study." Final Report was received 1 November. Evaluation completed 31 January. Considered Barely Adequate.

PAR 213 "Color Reproduction Systems Review." Final Report was evaluated on 28 December 1965. Considered Below Average.

PAR 216 "Laser Photographic Exposure." Evaluation of Final Report was completed on 29 October 1965. Considered Unsatisfactory.

PAR 217 "Optimization of Lasers." Final Report was received on 1 Nov. 1965. Evaluation was completed on 18 January 1966. Considered Unsatisfactory.

PAR 233 "Zoom Projection Lens." Work has just begun. Visited 31 January and 1 February 1966. Proceeding satisfactorily.

PAR 242A "Color Demonstration Materials." Proceeding satisfactorily.

PAR 243 "Briefing Print Enlarger Prototype." Commenced this project in January 1966. Great expectations!

COMMENTS:

PAR 211, PAR 212, PAR 213, PAR 216 and PAR 217 all appear to be challenges not met by this particular division They probably should not have been assigned as projects since so little has been given in return. Recommend we notify of general poor quality of research and reporting, then close out these particular projects as "water under the bridge".

Note: PARs 214 and 215 were delivered and installed in NPIC in October/November 1965. They are still undergoing experimental operations although the PARs are completed.

25X1

25X1

25X1

6 October 1965

Dear Rock

During your visit here on 29 September, I promised to check on one item concerning PAR 213 and to provide our appraisal and decision to you. Concerning a follow-on and your recommendation for study of stereo projection techniques for use in briefing, we do not desire a proposal. This item falls pretty generally into the same category as that of our answer on PAR 227. Much more needs to be known on how, when and where color will be utilized, and the size and type of materials that will be used, before we will seek proposals for color viewing equipment. We realize that in time we will become increasingly preoccupied with items similar to this and with other color film problem areas.

The conclusions and recommendations contained in the final report of PAR 213 are now being studied, along with other related technological materials. We hope to soon be able to determine those areas where our early efforts and expenditures could best apply in the exploitation of color. We plan to comment upon each of your recommendations listed in PAR 213.

Sincerely,

Boyd