

SECRET

Approved For Release 2005/05/20 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001700010017-6

UNCLASSIFIED

997556

CONFIDENTIAL

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT		CONTRACT NO.	TASK NO.		
			25X1		
TO: CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT BRANCH/PD/OL		DATE 3 August 1966			
		INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state) 3			
		ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE 15 September 1966			
NAME OF CONTRACTOR 					
TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE Servo Controls					
THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO		THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.			
PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 70%					
PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - 70%					
HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO (If yes, give detail on reverse side.)					
HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)					
INCENTIVES					
IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT IF YES, CHECK TYPE <input type="checkbox"/> COST <input type="checkbox"/> PERFORMANCE <input type="checkbox"/> DELIVERY		<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO NOTE: USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS. FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.			
OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR					
1. <input type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING	3. <input type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE	5. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BELOW AVERAGE	7. <input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY		
2. <input type="checkbox"/> EXCELLENT	4. <input type="checkbox"/> AVERAGE	6. <input type="checkbox"/> BARELY ADEQUATE			
IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.					
RECOMMENDED ACTION					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED		<input type="checkbox"/> WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE			
<input type="checkbox"/> TERMINATE		<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify)			
IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:					
ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		
DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR August 2, 1966					
SIGNATURE OF INSP				25X1	
INSPECTOR'S EXTEN					

FORM 10-65 1897 USE PREVIOUS EDITION

UNCLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

(12-36)

1. On June 8, 1966, [redacted] of [redacted] visited NPIC to evaluate ball point pen marking system developed by [redacted]. They both agreed that the system had some potential for use on the Plotter.

25X1

2. On August 2, 1966, [redacted] visited NPIC with three marking systems to be tried on the Plotter. Some difficulty was experienced in mounting each of these systems to the Plotter. They decided that future breadboards would be fabricated as a unit mounted on a block which could then be fastened to the Plotter. The unit would have provisions for adjusting the position and pressure of the marking system scribe with respect to the paper.

25X1

3. The marking systems tried during the August 2 visit and their performance is listed below:

1. Paper Mate ball point pen - Difficulty was encountered in setting pen pressure. When enough pressure was applied, the line was fairly dark.

2. Staedtler pens, 1.0 and 0.8 size with Staedtler free flowing ink. Both pens skipped on velum type paper but the 1.0 pen produced a dark, straight line on Cronar film. Line width varied slightly as a function of pen speed but not enough to be objectionable. This appears to be a good candidate for future development. The ink dried in less than a minute after application. No splattering during dotting mode.

3. Esterbrook capillary pen with Esterbrook medium dry all purpose ink. Skipped on paper and on Cronar. Ink was not dry after 20 minutes. No splattering during dotting mode.