multi

OUT58358

1966 ARR 28 15 44Z

T O P S E C R E T 281522Z

25X1

CORONA

REF:

SUBJ: MISSION 1031 PHOTOGRAPHIC EVALUATION INTERIM REPORT

(PEIR)

1. NUMERICAL SUMMARY

MSN NO AND DATES:

1031-1. 7-14 APRIL 1966

1031-2, 15-18 APRIL 1966

LAUNCH DATE AND TIME:

7 APRIL 1966/22Ø3Z

VEHICLE NUMBER:

1627

CAMERA SYSTEM:

J-30

PAN CAMERA NOS:

FWD-LOOKING (MASTER) 184

AFT-LOOKING (SLAVE) 185

S/I CAMERA NOS:

MSN 1031-1: D83/101/89

MSN 1031-2: D86/106/86

RECOVERY REVS:

D113 AND D177

2. CAMERA SETTINGS

FWD-LOOKING:

Ø.225 INCH SLIT, WRATTEN 23A FILTER

AFT-LOOKING:

Ø.150 INCH SLIT. WRATTEN 21 FILTER

3. PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

THE OVERALL QUALITY OF THIS MISSION IS CONSIDERED TO BE BETTER THAN MISSION 1030. CERTAIN PORTIONS OF THE MISSION

2.8 APR 1986 Advance copy Sanitized

2 8 APR 1966

25X1

autematic

ECS SKIDSTOFF. golassification

TOP SECRET



ARE AS GOOD AS ANY OBSERVED IN RECENT MISSIONS. THERE WAS, HOWEVER, AN OVERALL REDUCTION IN QUALITY DUE TO ATMOSPHERIC CONDITIONS. THE GENERAL EFFECT OF ATMOSPHERICS ON 1031 IS CONSIDERED TO BE AS SEVERE AS WITH 1030. THIS CONDITION IS DISCUSSED FURTHER IN PARA 5, COMMENTS.

B. ONLY ONE RESOLUTION TARGET WAS IMAGED. THIS HIGH
CONTRAST TARGET, COVERED UNDER POOR WEATHER CONDITIONS BY THE
FWD CAMERA, INDICATED ALONG TRACK (IMC) RESOLUTION VALUE

FEET AND CROSS TRACK (SCAN) RESOLUTION VALUES

IN
GENERAL, THE IMAGE QUALITY OF THE FWD-LOOKING PAN CAMERA WAS
CONSIDERED, BY BOTH THE PI'S AND THE PET TEAM, TO BE BETTER
THAN THE AFT-LOOKING CAMERA. THE FWD-LOOKING CAMERA IMAGE
QUALITY ON 1031 WAS CONSIDERED BETTER THAN FWD CAMERAS FROM
OTHER RECENT MISSIONS.

4. ANOMALIES

ANOMALIES INCLUDING THOSE REPORTED IN THE 31 MESSAGES (REF A AND B) WERE REVIEWED.

A. NO AFT-LOOKING CAMERA FILM RETURNED ON MISSION 1031-2.

CAUSE: UNKNOWN. THERE IS NO INDICATION OF ANY ANOMALIES ON MISSION FILM THAT CAN BE ATTRIBUTED TO THIS FAILURE, NOR DOES AN EXAMINATION OF THE DATA AVAILABLE TO THE PET SHED ANY FURTHER LIGHT ON THE CAUSE OF THE FAILURE.

ACTION: CONTINUE INVESTIGATION (MONITOR:

B. SCRATCHING ON AFT-LOOKING CAMERA FILM.

25X1 25X1

CAUSE: PROBABLE INTERFERENCE OF THE FILM CHUTE IN 1031-1 RECOVERY SYSTEM.

ACTION: FUTURE FILM CHUTES HAVE BEEN DESIGNED WITH ADDITIONAL CLEARANCE AND IMPROVED ASSEMBLY TECHNIQUES. NO FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED.

C. ABNORMAL IMAGE QUALITY FALL-OFF AT FORMAT EDGE ON 1031-2 INDEX.

CAUSE: APPARENT ERROR IN POSITIONING OF FOCAL PLANE FOR MAXIMUM AWAR.

ACTION:	EXAMINE	Focus	SETTING	CRITERION	(MONITOR:

D. VEILING OF STARBOARD HORIZON CAMERA IMAGERY.

CAUSE: UNKNOWN.

ACTION: AWAITING CORRELARY DATA FROM GEMINI MISSIONS

AND EXAMINATION OF MISSION 1032 MATERIAL WHICH WILL BE A

NOSE FORWARD FLIGHT

E. STELLAR CAMERA SHUTTER MALFUNCTION; INTERMITTENT DELAY IN SHUTTER CLOSING.

CAUSE: EXCESSIVE INTERNAL FRICTION IN SHUTTER.

ACTION: INVESTIGATION UNDER WAY USING STRONGER SHUTTER CLOSING SPRING

F. SERIOUSLY FOGGED FILM ON LAST 13 INCHES OF BOTH STELLAR/INDEX CAMERAS.

CAUSE: PROBABLY CAUSED BY CRACKING OF FIBERGLASS

25X1

25X1

-4-

RECOVERY CAPSULE COVER.

ACT	ION:	EXAMINE	DRAWING	S AN	D MANU	FACTURING
TECHNIQUES	TO D	ETERMINE	CAUSE O	F FA	ILURE	

G. SEVERE FLARE OVER STELLAR IMAGERY ON MISSION 1031-2.

CAUSE: UNKNOWN.

ER INVESTIGATION

H. CHARACTERISTIC ANOMALIES

THERE ARE CERTAIN CHARACTERISTIC ANOMALIES THAT ARE CONSIDERED INHERENT TO THE OPERATION OF THE CORONA SYSTEM. WHILE THESE ITEMS WARRANT ATTENTION TO PREVENT FURTHER DEGRADATION, IT IS NOT FELT THAT SPECIFIC ACTION ITEMS SHOULD BE ASSIGNED. A SUMMARY OF THESE ITEMS AND THE DEGREE OF DEGRADATION IS PRESENTED BELOW.

- (1) SCRATCHES IN THE FORMAT OF THE AFT-LOOKING CAMERA WERE GREATER THAN NORMAL (SEE B ABOVE). SCRATCHES IN THE FORMAT OF THE FORWARD-LOOKING CAMERA WERE NORMAL.
 - (2) RAIL SCRATCHES FROM BOTH PAN CAMERAS WERE NORMAL.
- (3) RAGGED FORMAT EDGES FROM SCRAPED EMULSION WERE LESS THAN NORMAL.
- (4) STATIC DISCHARGE ALONG THE EDGES OF BOTH PAN CAMERA FILMS WAS LESS THAN NORMAL.
- (5) LIGHT LEAKS AFFECTING BOTH PAN CAMERA FILMS
 WERE LESS THAN NORMAL. THE LIGHT LEAKS NORMALLY PRESENT
 FROM THE -1 ABLATIVE SHELL WERE NOT PRESENT ON THIS MISSION

25X1

-5-

DUE TO THE INCORPORATION OF THE FILM CHUTE.

(6) MULTI-DIRECTIONAL, PLUS DENSITY STREAKS
APPEARED IN THE FIRST SEVERAL FRAMES OF STELLAR CAMERA
FILM. THIS CONDITION IS NORMAL.

5. COMMENTS

- A. FOR THE FIRST TIME A WRATTEN 23A FILTER WAS USED IN THE FWD-LOOKING CAMERA. THIS WAS USED WITH A NARROWER (Ø.225 INCH) SLIT. IT IS FELT THAT THE RESULTING IMAGE QUALITY WAS SLIGHTLY BETTER THAN NORMALLY ACQUIRED WITH THE FORWARD-LOOKING CAMERA.
- B. AN ANALYSIS OF THE INDEX CAMERA MATERIAL WAS MADE FOR SNOW AND ATMOSPHERICS. IN THIS ANALYSIS THE NUMBER OF CLEAR TERRAIN FRAMES WERE NOTED. THE DATA BELOW CLEARLY ILLUSTRATES THE LOW PERCENTAGE OF CLEAR TERRAIN INDEX FRAMES OBSERVED.
- (1) MSN 1031-1 (TOTAL INDEX FRAMES 419)

 TOTAL CLEAR TERRAIN FRAMES 33 OR 8 PER CENT

 TOTAL CLEAR AND SNOW FRAMES 63 OR 16 PER CENT
- (2) MSN 1031-2 (TOTAL INDEX FRAMES 426)

 TOTAL CLEAR TERRAIN FRAMES 24 OR 6 PER CENT

 TOTAL CLEAR AND SNOW FRAMES 91 OR 21 PER CENT
- C. THE MINIMUM DENSITY VALUES RECORDED WERE GOOD.

 SOME MAXIMUM DENSITY VALUES WERE HIGH, WITH MOST OF THESE

 OCCURRING IN SNOW COVERED AREAS. CALCULATED LUMINANCE VALUES

-6-

WERE HIGHER THAN NORMAL WITH SNOW COVER AND ATMOSPHERICS AFFECTING THESE VALUES.

	D.	THERE	WAS A	LOW GAMMA	TEST	RUN ON	MISSION	1030-1.	THE
PET	TEAM	CONCUR	s WITH	THE PREL	IMINAR	Y ANALY	SIS COND	DUCTED AT	
			4 Ø 8 1	NOT SENT	TO			AND AGREE	ΞS
THAT FURTHER TESTING IS WARRANTED. THE CAMERA PROGRAMS OF									
FUTURE MISSIONS SHOULD INCLUDE THE NECESSARY ENGINEERING									
OPER	ATIO	NS FOR	THE AC	QUISITION	S OF S	UITABLE	E TEST M	ATERIALS.	
A TE	ST PI	.AN WIL	L BE P	REPARED B	Y THE	PET TEA	AM.		
T O	PSI	ECRE	T						

_END OF MESSAGE