Approved For Release 2001/08/09 : CIA-RDP78-053434-0010010010-5

SURVEY OF PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTING THE MEDITION OF APPRICACHES* Carden Schwich CREATORNE: Develop and disseminate uniform promotion criteria. A. Does the Career Service presently have uniform promotion criteria? yes 1. If yes, how long has it had them? weeks months years (Underline one) If no, has a target date been set for completing the development of uniform promotion criteria? ves Where Career Sub-Groups are involved has the Career Service reviewed the promotion criteria of these sub-groups? yes Where Career Sub-Groups are involved has the Career Service determined what, if any, promotion criteria must be used without modification or exception by all sub-groups? yes B. Has the Career Service examined and reaffirmed the value of its promotion policies and criteria? C. Have all Career Service and (as applicable) Career Sub-Group board/panel members been given appropriate promotion policy and promotion criteria information? · | ves Have all members of the Career Service been informed with respect to Carear Service and (as applicable) Carear Sub-Group promotion policies and promotion criteria as it applies to their individual grade and occupational category? Lyes

Passionne to questions should reflect the Cartan Service situation as of 30 September 1974.

Approved For Rulesse 2001/08/09 : CIA-RDP78-05343 00010010010-5

. 1848). O hi on Densoi	TIVE: Establish an a aduct, at least annua mel.	ppropriate par Hy, the eval	ol structure uation and r	and proced anking of p	ure nofession	al,
A. He	is the Career Service ank all professional p	established h Personnal?	oards/pauels	to evaluat	e and	AQ
			no	9.00		
1.	If not, has the Car establishment of su	eer Service s ch_panels?	et a target d	date for the	į	·.
	yes yes		по			
2.	Has the Career Serveach employee is proceede, i.e., as prof				that tegory	7. P
•	yes		no	,	. 4	
3.	Has the Career Servetechnical and cleric	car subiologics:				
	yes (technical)	no (technic	al) yes (clerical) [no (cl	erical
1.	If not, does the Car for the evaluation a	coer Service a	ntininata		tructure	*
	yes yes		no			
B. In fol	terms of board/panel lowing questions.	evaluation pro	ocedures, pla	ase answer ്	the	
1.	Do the evaluation bo made by supervisors?	ards/panels re	eview promoti	on recommen	dations	
	Lyes	·	no			
2.	Do they make promotion	on recommendat	ions?			
	yes		1 no			
3. 3.	Does inadequate time- consideration for prom	-in-grade make motion?	an employee	ineligible	for	
	yes #		Fno .			
	What is the term of o	ffice of pane	l members?			e Total Fel
	INDEFIN	ITE No. of	years.			
69 6. 1	Is it required that parties be	and members I ing evaluated?	oe of higher	grade than	the	
	Wyes .] 100			•
	Approved For Release 20	01/08/09 : CIA-RI	DP78-05343A00	0100100010-5		

Approved For helease 2001/08/09 : CIA-RDP78-05343 00010010010-5

	, ti	giton of tea are	- SASTADES LONG 1	ेलाक्षण ३५ ७ २	
		[4] Annua	lly	See 1	
	7.		s been notific	d who their and	Lacing -efficers are?
		yes		ne i	
	8.	performance, a	use rating sc and potential?		ish of qualifications
		Lyes		l no	
III.	that Wi	VE: Provide the second of the Provide the	he evaluation ployeas with t	panels with u///? he highest and l	east potential and
	A. Has	the Career Ser motion criteria	rvice identifi a) applicable	ed uniform rank! throughout the C	ng criteria (not areer Service?
		yes	*	no	en e
	B. Has	the Career Ser teria used to r	rvice provided rank_employees	for the systems to verify their	tic study of the yalidity?
		yes		no	
	C. Does	s the Career Sc measure employe	ervice have ex se career pote	pressly stated continuing.	riteria designed
		yes		no	
	D. Has	the Career Serstitutes "the 1	vice establis owest ranking	hed a definition employee(s)"?	explaining what
e ^{ji}		yes		no	
	E. Has havi	the Career Sering the lowest	vice establish ranking will h	hed a procedure : have this fact :	ensure that "those de known to them"?
		yes		no [
	F. When the Grou	employee ranki	oups are invol ng technique a	und procedure us;	reer Service review of by each of the Sub
,		[] yes		in the	not applicable
) Virginia	OBDĚCTIV activiti	E: Provide fo	r periodic rev •	view by the Lenu:	y of sevaluation
	eve l	vation activit	ies.	Lio give the	
		hot established	d []Amouall	5 Deniz	The fifther tuning
Arvarit					00100100010-5

By Are the results reported so that the Deputy (or equivalent) may use the information in making decisions relative to his APP and PDP? Establish Directorate personnel objectives (through NBO, OBJECTIVE: APP, and PDP). The Career Service has explicit personnel management objectives. no Career Service personnel objectives are reviewed by the Deputy (or equivalent) prior to promulgation. ves Progress in meeting objectives is monitored periodically by the Deputy (equivalent). OBJECTIVE: Establish a program and criteria for the career management of VI. supergrade personnel at the Career Service Tevel. Special criteria have been established for the evaluation and management of supergrade personnel. ves Supergrade rankings are consolidated at the Career Service level. In conjunction with the PDP supergrades and candidate supergrades are provided career counseling and placement. Inc The Career Service has procedures for recommending and effecting the assignment of supergrades both within and without the Career Service. VII. Create a Directorate-wide counseling program... and a visible OBJECTIVE: counseling source. Has a formal Career Service-wide counseling program been instituted? l ves Has the Career Service informed its employees about its counseling program?

Approved For Release 2001/08/09 : CIA-RDP78-05343A000100100010-5

THE PERSON OF TH

c.	Have members of the counselors they cou assistance and guid	ra go to on their	och told the n	agas of the employer efto seek job
	yes		∏ no	
b.	Are low-ranking emp	loyees receiving o	ounseling?	
	yes		· no	
E.	Does the Career Service of employees on a sy	vice counseling pr vstematic basis?	rogram provide	for the counseling
	yes		[] no	
F.	Have those persons of training for that ro	lesignated as "cou le?	ngelors" been //	given any specific
	yes		no no	
G.	Do the Career Service	e Sub-Groups have	a separate co	unseling program?
	yes		no	
yra	DECTIVE: Organize log de, function, program Demant the personnel	. etc. basis, whi	ch for their m	evel on either a embers, will ns of the Directorate.
A.	Have "groups" been o their members, would instructions of the	. Implement the no	ne Career Serv rsonnel policy	ice "which for guidances and
	yes	· :	no	
В.	Have the former officareer sub-groups?	ce-level career se	ervices been d	esignated as
	yes		no	not applicable
С.	Have any non-office sequent to the appro-	level "Career Sub- val of this object	Groups" been dive?	organized sub-
	yes		no	
D.	If not, does the Care such "Sub-Groups"?	der Service antici	pate the organ	nization of any
• 1	yes	\$	no	
* * * F .	If yes, specify the P	dind of Sub-Group	contemble bed.	

Approved For Release 2001/08/09 : CIA-RDP78-05343A000100100010-5

λ_{i}	$0B_0$	ECH ME: Stablish Director at Stables For Selecting Canadiates
		atiend senior schools or courses .giving consideration to how
100	the	trainogs will be utilized afterwards.
	Λ.	llave Directorate-wide standards for senior schools been established?
in		
4 4 Wald		yes ne
	D	Is the selection of candidates based upon the PDP?
	D.	115 the selection of candrages based apon the for:
		yes no
	U .	Are employees notified of the basis for selection?
		yes no no
	D.	Are the post-training assignments of attendees to senior schools
		identified and made known to the employee before training is undertaken?
Tarit Dini		never seldom usually always.
v	ለ ም ነ	
Χ.		ECTIVE: Establish Directorate policy and standards for approving ernal full-time and part-time training
	CXU	citati furtherme and part come braining
	A.	Has the Career Service established policy and standards for approving
		external training?
		yes
	В.	At what level is approval for such training?
* ; *		Division Office Career Service Branch
		Totalea Service Distancia
	С.	Have Career Service guidelines been promulgated?
		yesno
	D.	Is external training approval meshed with PDP?
		yes La no
	Ε.	Do Evaluation boards/panels make training recommendations or
		indicate training deficiencies to supervisors?
		Tyes no
		The second secon
1.	0001	ICTIVE: Establish minimum training standards for magagerial positions
N.		for occupational positions and groups when training is considered
1 4,	s (gi	rificant for job performance and employee development.
	1.	Has the Career Service initiated action prepare to developmental pro-
		files where appropriate (PDP)?
	*	수있는 사람들은 사용한 경기를 통해 가는 수 있다. 그는 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은
		no la ves
90.79	sitti.	The state of the s

; ;	Approved For Release 2001/08/09 CIA-RDP78-05343A000100100010
	Tyes Do
ŽŤĨ.	OBJECTIVE: Provide policy to facilitate inter-Directorate transfers and rotational tours.
	A. Has the Career Service publicized a policy to ensure that employees are not discouraged from requesting such assignments, if qualified?
	yes and no little in the second of the secon
	B. Has your PDP involved the use of inter-Career Service developmental assignments?
	yes no
	C. Has the Career Service negotiated with other Career Services in order mutually to identify positions to be used for developmental purposes?
	☐ yes ☐ no
XIII.	OBJECTIVE: Establish procedures and provide guidance for recommending honor and merit awards.
	A. Has the Career Service promulgated amplifying guidelines on policy for such recommendations?
	yes no
	B. Where applicable does the Career Service review recommendations for honors and awards initated by Career Sub-Groups?
	\square yes \square no
XIV.	OBJECTIVE: Provide a system for the annual review of supergrade personnel in Personal Rank Assignments to effect corrective action when needed.
	Does the Senior Personnel Resources Board or other designee review the status of supergrade personnel annually?
	☐ yes ☐ no
XV.	OBJECTIVE: Develop procedures to handle potential surplus cases, including counseling, consideration for retraining and/or reassignment, and notification of surplus status.
	A. Have specific procedures been developed to identify potential surplus employees?
	□ ne

							100010∺5 ovid	
ing parameter in the second of the second o	counseling, an	d given op	portunity	for ret	raining	and/or	reassignme	int:
	as [casible?							
	ye	5			no	***		
. C.	llave all emplo their rights u			e or the	surply:	s proce	dures and c),f
	cheir rights u	Mast cham:		 ~				
		S months where with the se			no			
(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	CCTYVI Cetabl		ava aniou	una e tura	godina i	uithin	the Divert	unda
CY II. O ODU	ECTIVE: Establ	ish a unii	orm grieva	uice: pro	cedure	MICHINI : Service	che bireca	mate.
Á.	Does the Cares		have a for	rmal gri	evance	procedu	re to hand]	le
	non-EEO issues	? Latinaria No	at men					
	ye	S			lno			
В.						re that	the employ	/ee
	understands hi	s rignus a	s a redera	u empio	yee.	ing Éir		
	ye	s			110	# 		
c	Daga Aba Caraa		ave \$ 0112500	nuo na du	na anti-	ainata	and manide	. £0.0
C.	Does the Caree the possibilit	r service v of emplo	grievance vees seeki	procedu Ing redr	re ancid ess thre	ough th	and provide e court?	2 10r
일본 선생 등 기간 기관 설립 기가 기간			y	י רייין	k			
	ye	s			no .			
D.	Has the Career	Service p	romulgated	d amplif	ying in:	struc ti	ons on its	
	grievance proc			•		- 1 - 1		
	r-1		20 T					•
	, an	S Single high		L1	no			
				•				
PROBLEM	I AREAS	A. The State of the	i.					
D	escribe any obs	tacles vou	have enco	ountered	in imp	lementi:	no the new	
	ersonnel approa		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				ond man	
						i j		
	•				· -			
W. reine		* *						
KOTES			• .		1		*** ***	
Ĩ	his space <mark>is av</mark>	ailable fo	r explaini	ing, as	desired	, any ai	nswer relat	ing
t	o the 16 object	ives.				i i		
							* 1	